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## FINAL TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM \#1

Sherman County Transportation System Plan Update

Plans and Policy Review

| Date: | April 3, 2015 | Project \#: 18054 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To: | Georgia MacNab, Sherman County |  |
|  | Michael Duncan, ODOT Region 4 |  |

This memorandum summarizes existing plans, policies, standards, rules, regulations, and other applicable federal, state, regional, and local documents as they pertain to development of the 2015 Sherman County Transportation System Plan (TSP) Update. This summary will serve as a reference for the project team throughout the project, and if new policies are proposed as part of the TSP they will be reviewed for consistency with existing policies.

The documents reviewed by the project team are identified in Table 1-1 and summarized in the following sections.

## BACKGROUND

Sherman County's Comprehensive Plan was acknowledged by the Oregon Department of Land Conservation and Development (DLCD) in 1979. The four incorporated cities, Rufus, Wasco, Moro and Grass Valley followed in 1980. Over the years, these jurisdictions' plans and ordinances have been updated many times. The 2007 updates to the County and the four incorporated Cities' comprehensive plans represent the latest versions and were acknowledged by the DLCD through the Post Acknowledgement Plan Amendment Process (PAPA) in that same year.

The County's first comprehensive Transportation System Plan (TSP) was completed and adopted in 2001. The 2001 TSP included the four Cities as an integral part of the Plan.

Table 1-1 Documents and Policies Reviewed

| Document/Policy | Page Reference |
| :---: | :---: |
| Statewide Planning Documents |  |
| Statewide Planning Goals (OAR chapter 660 division 012, known as the Transportation Planning Rule or TPR) | 3 |
| Transportation System Planning Guidelines | 4 |
| Oregon Transportation Plan | 4 |
| Oregon Highway Plan (as amended) | 6 |
| Oregon Aviation Plan | 13 |
| Oregon Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan | 13 |
| Oregon Freight Plan | 14 |
| Oregon Public Transportation Plan | 15 |
| Oregon Rail Plan | 16 |
| Transportation Safety Action Plan | 17 |
| OAR Chapter 734 Division 051 | 17 |
| ODOT Highway Design Manual | 18 |
| Statewide Transportation Improvement Program | 19 |
| House Bill 3379 Administrative Rule Background | 20 |
| Regional Planning Documents |  |
| ODOT Park and Ride Plan | 20 |
| County Planning Documents |  |
| Sherman County Comprehensive Plan 2007 Update | 21 |
| Sherman County Zoning Ordinance 2007 Update | 21 |
| Sherman County TSP 2001 | 22 |
| City/Local Planning Documents |  |
| City of Rufus Comprehensive Plan 2007 Update | 22 |
| City of Wasco Comprehensive Plan 2007 Update | 23 |
| City of Moro Comprehensive Plan 2007 Update | 24 |
| City of Grass Valley Comprehensive Plan 2007 Update | 24 |
| Wasco State Airport Layout Plan 2002 | 24 |

## STATE OF OREGON/OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (ODOT)

## Statewide Planning Goals

Oregon's Statewide Planning Goals first originated in 1973 to provide a coordinated vision of state land use policies. There are nineteen planning goals within OAR 660-015. Of these, Goal 15 is only relevant to the Willamette Greenway and Goals 16 through 19 are relevant only to coastal communities. While all of the goals are not mandatory, each has been adopted as an Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) to be followed by government agencies. A summary of the planning goals is provided below.

- Citizen Involvement (Planning Goal 1) - To develop a citizen involvement program that provides the opportunity for engagement in all phases of the planning process.
- Land Use Planning (Planning Goal 2) - To establish land use planning process and policy framework as a basis for all decisions and actions related to use of land, and to assure an adequate factual base for such decisions and actions.
- Agricultural Lands (Planning Goal 3) - To preserve and maintain agricultural lands.
- Forest Lands (Planning Goal 4) - To conserve forest lands by maintaining the forest land base and to protect the state's forest economy by making possible economically efficient forest practices that assure the continuous growing and harvesting of forest tree species as the leading use on forest land consistent with sound management of soil, air, water, and fish and wildlife resources and to provide for recreational opportunities and agriculture.
- Natural Resources, Scenic and Historic Areas, and Open Space (Planning Goal 5) - To protect those resources that promote a healthy environment and a natural landscape that contributes to Oregon's livability for present and future generations.
- Air, Water, and Land Resources Quality (Planning Goal 6) - "to maintain and improve the quality of the air, water, and land resources of the state".
- Areas Subject to Natural Disasters and Hazards (Planning Goal 7) - "to protect people and property from natural hazards", such as floods, landslides, earthquakes, tsunamis, coastal erosion and wildfires.
- Recreational Needs (Planning Goal 8) - To satisfy citizen and visitor's recreational needs. Also, to provide for the siting of necessary recreation facilities (including destination resorts), where appropriate.
- Economy of the State (Planning Goal 9) - To provide adequate opportunities throughout the state for a variety of economic activities vital to the health, welfare, and prosperity of Oregon's citizens.
- Housing (Planning Goal 10) - To provide housing needs for the residents of the state.
- Public Facilities and Services (Planning Goal 11) - "to plan and develop a timely, orderly and efficient arrangement of public facilities and services to serve as a framework for urban and rural development".
- Transportation Planning (Planning Goal 12) - To develop a coordinated transportation system plan that is safe, convenient, and economical, minimizing reliance on any single travel mode.
- Energy Conservation (Planning Goal 13) - To manage and control lands and associated land uses in order to "maximize the conservation of all forms of energy, based on sound economic principles."
- Urbanization (Planning Goal 14) - To provide for an orderly and efficient transition from rural to urban land use, to accommodate urban population and urban employment inside urban growth boundaries, to ensure efficient use of land, and to provide livable communities.

While all of the goals will help set the necessary policy framework for the TSP processes, Goal 12 (OAR 660-015-0000 (12)) provides the framework that must be followed as part of the preparation of the updated TSP. Specifically, sections 660-012-0020 through 660-012-0045 outline the requirements and implementation guidance. For compliance with Goal 12, the TSP must provide and encourage a safe, convenient and economic transportation system that is coordinated with urban and rural development.

The TSP must include strategies to reduce reliance on any single travel mode (provide mode choice), facilitate movement of goods and people, develop a system hierarchy for orderly and efficient multimodal travel, and preserve and protect streets and highways for their intended function. The TSP must be coordinated with and consistent with statewide, regional, and local plans.

## Transportation System Planning Guidelines (2008)

The TSP Guidelines suggests a logical sequence of planning steps tailored to help smaller, non-MPO jurisdictions in particular, prepare a TSP. One of the planning steps prescribes that jurisdictions include a summary to address how the planning project complies with new regulations, policies, and statutes that have been adopted since the TSP was last adopted, or amended. As such, the remainder of this memorandum summarizes applicable state, regional, and local plans, and frames how the existing 2001 Sherman County Transportation System Plan relates and complies with these.

## Oregon Transportation Plan (2006)

The Oregon Transportation Plan (OTP) is the state's long-range multimodal transportation plan, providing a framework for prioritizing transportation improvements based on future revenue conditions. The OTP is the overarching policy document among a series of plans that together form the state's Transportation System Plan. The plan calls for a transportation system that has a modal balance, is both efficient and accessible, provides connectivity among rural and urban places and between modes, and is environmentally and financially stable.

The OTP outlines the following seven goals, each with associated policies, to guide local, regional and state transportation plans.

Goal 1 - Mobility and Accessibility: Provide a balanced and integrated transportation system that ensures interconnected access to all areas of the state, the nation and the world. Promote transportation choices that are reliable, accessible and cost-effective.

Goal 2 - Management of the System: Improve the efficiency of the transportation system by optimizing operations and management. Manage transportation assets to extend their life and reduce maintenance costs.

Goal 3 - Economic Vitality: Expand and diversify Oregon’s economy by transporting people, goods, services and information in safe, energy-efficient and environmentally sound ways. Provide Oregon with a competitive advantage by promoting an integrated freight system.

Goal 4 - Sustainability: Meet present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their needs from the joint perspective of the environment, economy and communities. Encourage conservation and communities that integrate land use and transportation choices.

Goal 5 - Safety and Security: Build, operate and maintain the transportation system so that it is safe and secure. Take into account the needs of all users: operators, passengers, pedestrians and property owners.

Goal 6 - Funding the Transportation System: Create sources of revenue that will support a viable transportation system today and in the future. The goal recognizes that whether or not funds are increased, it is essential to maximize existing resources, invest strategically, consider return on investment and provide equity among rural and urban areas, equity among income groups and access to transportation options throughout Oregon.

Goal 7 - Coordination, Communication and Cooperation: Foster coordination, communication and cooperation between transportation users and providers so various modes of transportation function as an integrated system. Work to help all parties align interests, remove barriers and offer innovative, equitable solutions.

The OTP, as the guiding document for regional and local TSPs, establishes goals, policies, strategies and initiatives that address the core challenges and opportunities facing transportation in Oregon. The OTP includes modal components that outline recommended standards for various forms of transportation. Table 1-2 identifies the relevant modal elements as well as the year of adoption by the OTC.

Table 1-2 OTP Modal Plan Components

| Oregon Transportation Plan Element | $\quad$ Year Adopted |
| :--- | :--- |
| Oregon Highway Plan (OHP) | Originally adopted in 1999 (with subsequent amendments for <br> access management, mobility standards, freight routes, tolling <br> and pricing policy, and expressway classifications) |
| Oregon Aviation Plan (OAP) | Originally adopted in 2000 and updated in 2007 |
| Bicycle/ Pedestrian Plan | Originally adopted in 1995; Second Part of Plan updated in <br> 2011 and retitled the Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Design <br> Guide; Update expected in 2016. |
| Freight Plan | Adopted in 2011 |
| Public Transportation Plan | Adopted in 1997; update expected in 2017 |
| Rail Plan | Adopted in 2014 |
| Transportation Safety Action Plan (TSAP) | Originally adopted in 1995; the TSAP was last updated in 2011 <br> and will be updated again in 2015/2016. |

## 2001 TSP Assessment Relative to the OTP

The 2001 TSP is generally consistent with the policies listed within the OTP. The updated TSP will need to reflect amendments and revisions to the OHP.

The 2001 TSP does include a financial plan inclusive of near-, mid-, and long-term funding projections based on various types of revenue streams. The updated TSP will need to address current revenue projections and respond to the need for a financially constrained system.

## Oregon Highway Plan (as amended)

The Oregon Highway Plan (OHP) defines policies and investment strategies for Oregon's State highways for the next 20 years. The OHP further refines the goals and policies of the OTP, and serves as the policy basis for implementing the Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 734-051, which specifically addresses access to State facilities. The OHP has three main elements:

- A Vision for the future of the State highway system that describes economic and demographic trends in Oregon, future transportation technologies, the policy and legal context of the Highway Plan, and pertinent information on the current highway system.
- Goals, policies, and action items for: system definition, system management, access management, travel alternatives, and environmental and scenic resources.
- An analysis of the 20-year State highway needs, revenue forecasts, descriptions of investment strategies and implementation strategies, and performance measures.

The OHP provides policy and investment guidance for local corridor plans and TSPs, but it leaves the responsibility for identifying specific projects and modal alternatives to these more localized plans.

The OHP has been amended several times since its original adoption in 1999, the last amendments were adopted in 2012. These amendments since 1999 have addressed the designation of
expressways, changes in mobility standards, designation of Special Transportation Areas, and other changes affecting the classification and standards for highways throughout the state.

Policies in the OHP pertinent to the TSP update are described below.

## OHP Goal 1: System Definition

- Policy 1A, State Highway Classification System outlines functions and objectives for state highways to serve different types of traffic. Greater mobility is expected on interstate and statewide highways than on regional or district highways. Facility classification is used to guide planning, management and investment decisions regarding state highway facilities.

Figure 1-1 illustrates the existing state highway classifications. I-84, east to west, through the northern edge of the County is an Interstate Highway on the National Highway System. There are two Regional Highways traversing the County, US 97 and OR 206. US 97 is the main northsouth arterial through Central Oregon. OR 206 connects Wasco with the City of Condon and Gilliam County. OR 216 is classified as a major collector from Grass Valley to Shears Bridge.


- Policy 1B, Land Use and Transportation addresses the relationship between the highway and development patterns on and off the highway. It emphasizes development patterns that maintain state highways for regional and intercity mobility, and supports compact development patterns that are less dependent on state highways than linear development for access and local circulation. This policy is designed to clarify how ODOT will coordinate with local governments and others to link land use and transportation in transportation plans, facility and corridor plans, plan amendments, access permitting and project development.
- Policy 1C, State Highway Freight System identifies the need to balance the movement of goods and services with other uses and the importance of maintaining efficient through movement on major freight routes.

I-84 and US 97 are the designated freight routes through Sherman County.

- Policy 1F, Highway Mobility Targets ${ }^{1}$ establishes acceptable levels of mobility for the various levels of state highway facilities, and the condition of the transportation system. With respect to transportation system planning, the highway mobility targets are used to "identify state highway mobility performance expectations and provide a measure by which the existing and future performance of the highway system can be evaluated." As such, the targets may be used to identify system mobility deficiencies over a planning horizon of at least 20 years.

The OHP's mobility targets use volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratios as the primary metric. However, where it can be shown that it is infeasible or impractical to meet the targets, local jurisdictions may develop alternative targets in coordination with ODOT and other relevant stakeholders. The OHP states that "providing for better multimodal operations is a legitimate justification for developing alternatives to established OHP mobility targets." ${ }^{2}$

Table 1-3 summarizes the mobility standards that are applicable to Sherman County

- Policy 1G, Major Improvements require maintaining performance and improving safety by improving efficiency and management before adding capacity. ODOT coordinates with regional and local governments to address highway performance and safety.

[^0]Table 1-3 Volume to Capacity Ratio Targets for Peak Hour Operating Conditions

| Route Name | Facility Extents | Facility Designation | Inside UGB |  |  | Outside UGB |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-STAs } \\ & \text { where } \\ & \text { posted } \\ & \text { speed } \\ & \text { <= } 35 \mathrm{mph} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-STAs } \\ & \text { where } \\ & \text { speed > } 35 \\ & \text { mph but } \\ & <45 \mathrm{mph} \end{aligned}$ | Where speed limit $>=45$ mph | Unincorporated Communities | Rural <br> Lands |
| Interstate 84 | Entire Section within County Limits | Interstate | N/A | N/A | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
|  | Rufus City Limits | Interstate | N/A | N/A | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| US 97 (Freight Route) | Outside City Limits | Statewide Highway | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
|  | Moro | Statewide Highway | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
|  | Grass Valley | Statewide Highway | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
|  | Biggs Junction \& Kent (Unincorporated Communities) | Statewide Highway | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| OR 206 | Outside of Wasco City Limits, East of Wasco | Regional Highway | 0.90 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0.70 |
|  | Within Wasco City Limits, East of Clark Road | Regional Highway | 0.90 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0.70 |
|  | Within Wasco City Limits, West of Clark Road | District Highway | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.75 |
|  | Outside Wasco City Limits, West of Wasco | District Highway | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.75 |
| OR 216 | Within Grass Valley City Limits | District Highway | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.75 |
|  | Outside of Grass Valley City Limits |  | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.75 |
| Biggs - Rufus Highway | OR 206 to Biggs Junction | District Highway | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.75 |

Source: OHP, Table 6, modified for relevance

## OHP Goal 2: System Management

- Policy 2A, Partnerships establish cooperative interaction and communication between ODOT and state and federal agencies, regional governments, cities, counties, tribal governments, and the private sector.
- Policy 2B, Off-System Improvements help local jurisdictions adopt land use and access management policies.
- Policy 2E, Intelligent Transportation Systems places emphasis on considering a broad range of services to improve system efficiency and safety in a cost-effective manner.
- Policy 2F, Traffic Safety establishes the need to continually improve safety for all highway system users with solutions involving engineering, education, enforcement and emergency medical services.


## OHP Goal 3: Access Management

- Policy 3A, Classification and Spacing Standards define access spacing standards for the location, spacing and type of road and street intersections and approach roads on state highways. The adopted spacing standards consider highway classification, posted speed, safety, operational needs, and the surrounding environment. Revisions to the OHP were adopted by the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) on March 21, 2012 to address Senate Bill 264 (2011). The revisions included reductions in spacing standards outside of interchange areas and established unique spacing standards based on highway volume.

Interchange spacing standards for interstate highways is shown in Table 1-4. Access management spacing standards for highway segments with AADT of 5,000 vehicles or less are shown in Table 1-5.

- Policy 3D, Deviations establishes general policies and procedures for deviations from adopted access management standards and policies.

Table 1-4 Interchange Spacing Standards for Interstate Highways

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Route Name | Facility Extents | Facility <br> Designation | Area | Access Spacing <br> Standard (feet) |
| Interstate <br> 84 | Entire Section <br> within County <br> Limits | Interstate | Rural | 6 miles <br> (interchange) |
|  | Rufus City Limits | Interstate | Urban | 3 miles <br> (interchange) |

Source: Oregon Highway Plan, Appendix C Revisions to Address Senate Bill 264 (2011) Table 12

Table 1-5 Access Management Spacing Standards for Highway Segments (<5,000 ADT)

| Route Name | Facility Extents | Facility Designation | 2012 ADT | Posted Speed Limit (mph) | Access Spacing Standard (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US 97 <br> (Freight <br> Route) | Outside City Limits | Statewide Highway | <5,000 | 40/45/55 | 990/990/1,320 |
|  | Moro | Statewide Highway | <5,000 | 25/30/45 | 150/250/360 |
|  | Grass Valley | Statewide <br> Highway | <5,000 | 30/45 | 250/360 |
|  | Biggs Junction (Unincorporated Community) | Statewide Highway | <5,000 | 35/45 | 425/750 |
|  | Kent <br> (Unincorporated Community) | Statewide Highway | <5,000 | 55 | 1,320 |
| OR 206 | Outside of Wasco City Limits, East of Wasco | Regional Highway | <5,000 | 55 | 650 |
|  | Within Wasco City Limits, East of Clark Road | Regional Highway | <5,000 | 30/40/55 | 250/360/650 |
|  | Within Wasco <br> City Limits, West of Clark Road | District Highway | <5,000 | 35/45 | 250/360 |
|  | Outside Wasco <br> City Limits, West of Wasco | District Highway | <5,000 | 55 | 650 |
| OR 216 | Within Grass <br> Valley City <br> Limits | District Highway | <5,000 | 25 | 150 |
|  | Outside of Grass Valley City Limits |  | <5,000 | 55 | 650 |
| Biggs Rufus Highway (from OR 206 to Biggs Junction) | OR 206 to Biggs Junction | District Highway | <5,000 | 35/45/55 | 250/360/650 |

Source: Oregon Highway Plan, Appendix C Revisions to Address Senate Bill 264 (2011) Table 13

## OHP Goal 4: Travel Alternatives

- Policy 4A, Efficiency of Freight Movement establishes the need to maintain and improve the efficiency of freight movement on the state highway system and access to intermodal connections. The State seeks to balance the needs of long distance and through freight movements with local transportation needs on highway facilities in both urban areas and rural communities.
- Policy 4B, Alternative Passenger Modes establishes the need to advance and support alternative passenger transportation systems where travel demand, land use and other factors indicate the potential for successful and effective development of alternative passenger modes.


## 2001 TSP Assessment Relative to the OHP

The Oregon Highway Plan was and will continue to be relevant in the assessment of ODOT facilities in the current and updated TSP. The 2001 TSP includes a Streets and Highways Element that defines the street functional classification, and specifies classifications within the Sherman County roadway network. State mobility targets for the existing and no-build conditions will be developed based on the facility designations and the adopted mobility targets contained within the OHP.

## Oregon Aviation Plan

The Oregon Aviation Plan (OAP) is a comprehensive evaluation of Oregon's aviation system, thus providing a systematic approach to meeting improvements and development strategies recommended within the Plan. The plan looks beyond the traditional state aviation system planning elements by assessing the following three areas:

- Existing aviation infrastructure;
- The economic benefit of the aviation industry; and,
- National importance and state significance of each airport.

There is one airport in Sherman County, the Wasco State Airport. The Wasco State Airport is classified as a Local General Aviation Airport by the OAP.

## 2001 TSP Assessment Relative to the OAP

The 2001 TSP includes an Air Service Element, which recognizes that the Wasco State Airport is a part of the OAP. In addition, there is a 2002 Airport Layout Plan which considers and addresses OAP recommendations for the Wasco Airport.

## Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan

The Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan is divided into two parts, the Policy and Action Plan and the Bicycle and Pedestrian Design Guide. The first part was adopted in 1995, while the second part was updated in 2011. The Plan outlines key characteristics that should be considered related to accommodating bicycles and pedestrians when planning and designing state facilities. The Oregon

Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan does not require specific standards for non-ODOT facilities. However, the plan recommends that land use patterns, transportation system layout, public transportation system design, and other planning related issues consider the impact to bicycle and pedestrian users and to the bicycle and pedestrian system as a whole. To this end, the plan provides specific design recommendations to support bicycle and pedestrian travel.

The Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan recognizes the role that safe, attractive, convenient, and easy to use bicycle and pedestrian facilities play in the provision of the state and local transportation systems. The plan includes seven chapters that guide the planning and design of on-road bikeways, restriping, bicycle parking, walkways, street crossings, intersections, and shared use paths.

## 2001 TSP Assessment Relative to the Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan

The existing TSP contains a Bikeway Plan element and a Pedestrian System element that address bicycle and pedestrian system needs, goals and policies, respectively. The TSP update will include revised inventory information, incorporate Safe Routes to School program recommendations, seek to better connect pedestrian attractions such as parks and trails with County residents, and include specific analyses relative to the bicycle and pedestrian plan recognizing the important role that these modes play in the provision of a sustainable, safe, and efficient transportation system.

## Oregon Freight Plan

The Oregon Freight Plan was adopted in June 2011 and provides a 25 -year planning vision. The purpose of the Oregon Freight Plan (OFP) is to "improve freight connections to local, state, regional, national and global markets in order to increase trade-related jobs and income for Oregon workers and businesses." The OFP addresses challenges facing the freight system, including system operation and development, safety, communications, environmental considerations and funding.

While the freight plan serves as a modal element of the Oregon Transportation Plan, the OFP includes elements of several modes including marine, aviation, rail, pipeline, and truck transport. Key routes and transfer sites are presented and summarized within the plan.

Strategic freight corridors identified in Figure 1-2, from the OFP, by the Lower John Day Area Commission on Transportation (LJDACT) include: I-84 (Columbia River Corridor) and US 97 (Central Oregon Corridor).


Figure 1-2. Freight Strategic Corridors in Oregon (Source: Oregon Freight Plan, 2011)

## 2001 TSP Assessment Relative to the OFP

The 2001 TSP does not include a Freight Mobility Element which identifies improvements to the local street network to increase the efficient movement of freight and to decrease traffic impacts to local streets. The TSP Update should identify improvements to the street network in order to improve freight mobility.

## Oregon Public Transportation Plan

As a modal element of the OTP, the Oregon Public Transportation Plan provides a long-range vision for the public transportation system in Oregon. This system incorporates public and private transportation providers and is comprised of ridesharing and volunteer programs, taxi and minibus service, and intercity and intracity bus and passenger rail services. The Public Transportation Plan outlines three primary goals and associated policies and strategies that guide public transportation through the year 2015. In recognition of limited resources, the Plan prioritizes elements that deliver service to "those Oregonians most dependent on the public transportation system (seniors, disabled, low-income, and youth)."

## 2001 TSP Assessment Relative to the Public Transportation Plan

The 2001 TSP includes an inventory of public transportation facilities in the county and through the cities. The TSP update should document public transportation services available to residents, including trips within the County and the region.

Given that Sherman County does not have any urban areas containing a population of more than 25,000 , it is not required to evaluate the feasibility of public transit systems.

## Oregon Rail Plan

The Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) officially adopted the Oregon State Rail Plan at their September 18, 2014 meeting. The TSP update should take into account this revised planning document during the update.

The Oregon Rail Plan meets mandatory federal and state planning requirements related to the management and maintenance of the railway system, and provides general management goals for State rail facilities.

Chapter 2 is particularly relevant to Sherman County given the existing rail infrastructure. Because of the continuing dependence of many producers upon rail services, communities in their land use planning should attempt to ensure that a sufficient quantity of land with convenient access to rail service is planned and zoned for industrial development. There are several reasons why industrial parks and other industrially zoned property should have rail access:

1. Railroads tend to be more energy efficient than trucks and, therefore, can make better use of available energy resources.
2. Some commodities and products, especially those that are large, bulky, low valued, oversized, or not transportable over highways can be transported only by, or most efficiently by, railroad.
3. Access to rail service enable shippers to have a wider choice of transportation options, thus having a better bargaining position when negotiating rates with rail and truck carriers. While the initial occupant(s) of a particular site or industrial park may not require rail service, subsequent occupants may.
4. Rail service enables delivery of goods in periods of emergency, strike or inclement weather when trucks may not be able to operate.
5. A railroad right-of-way may take less space than roads, and a railroad spur track may handle more volume in less space than could be done with trucks.

The Oregon Rail Plan further describes the implications of rail service with respect to zoning, noting that industrial lands served by rail are more valuable than those without; whereas, residential lands near railways are less valuable. The plan also notes that communities with access to short lines have an advantage in attracting business that need frequent switching or rail car movements.

2001 TSP Assessment Relative to the Oregon Rail Plan
The Sherman County 2001 TSP has an element addressing Rail Service in the County. The Union Pacific Railroad lies at the extreme northern boundary of the County, along the Columbia River. There are no regular service stops or drops in the County. The Burlington Northern/Santa Fe railroad travels from Celilo to Bend on the west bank of the Deschutes River in Wasco County.

## Transportation Safety Action Plan

The Transportation Safety Action Plan (TSAP) serves as the state of Oregon's Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP), and satisfies federal requirements. The current TSAP was adopted in 2011 and an update is planned to be complete in 2015 to reflect requirements of the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21). The TSAP lays out a set of actions to reduce crashes. The set of actions are prioritized based on those factors that contribute to the greatest number of transportation-related deaths and injuries. The TSAP identifies impaired driving, not using safety constraints, vehicle speed, and inexperience drivers as Emphasis Areas that should be the focus of statewide safety projects. Beyond identifying actions to decrease the overall number of fatalities and injuries related to transportation, the TSAP also serves as a guide to prioritize investments.

## 2001 TSP Assessment Relative to the TSAP

The 2001 TSP does not address the Transportation Safety Action Plan. The updated TSP should include analysis that supports the TSAP Emphasis Areas, and reference national performance goals for Federal highway programs.

## OAR Chapter 734-051 (Division 51)

Commonly referred to as Division 51, ODOT has adopted OAR 734-051 to establish procedures and criteria to govern highway approaches, access control, spacing standards, medians and restriction of turning movements in compliance with statewide planning goals, in a manner compatible with acknowledged comprehensive plans and consistent with state law and the OTP. Any new street or driveway connections, as well as any changes to existing street or driveway connections, to state roads within the TSP study boundary must be in compliance with these rules.

OAR 734-051 policies address the following:

- How to bring existing and future approaches into compliance with access spacing standards, and ensure the safe and efficient operation of the highway;
- The purpose and components of an access management plan; and,
- Requirements regarding mitigation, modification and closure of existing approaches as part of project development.

Access management standards adopted by ODOT and applicable to the County's TSP are summarized in Table 1-4. OHP Policies 3A and 3C establish access management objectives for state highways and interchange areas based on facility type and set standards for spacing of approaches. These standards
have also been adopted as part of OAR 734-051, which provides the regulatory basis for implementation.

Senate Bill 408 changes Oregon law concerning management of access (private driveways) onto state highways. Its provisions streamline the management of access onto state highways for a large number of private driveways. The bill also provides local government, property owners and other stakeholders a place at the table during planning, development and design process for highway projects. The bill deals with the access management process in three priority areas:

1. Private driveways that do not have a permit issued by ODOT
2. Access management decisions made as part of highway planning projects
3. Access management decisions made as part of highway construction projects

A summary of the Senate Bill 408 changes is provided in Appendix A.

Senate Bill 264, passed in June 2011, amended temporary rules that took effect in May 2012. The bill directs ODOT to develop proposed legislation to "codify, clarify and bring consistency to issuance of access based on objective standards for highway segments where the annual amount of daily traffic is 5,000 vehicles or fewer." The temporary rules are reflected in the OHP amendment to the 2011 Access Management Standards.

## 2011 TSP Assessment Relative to the OAR 734-051

The 2001 TSP outlines the guiding principles used in the adoption of new access management standards consistent with OAR 734-051 and the 1999 OHP. Table 7-1 in the 2001 TSP summarizes the street design guidelines and includes access management standards based on the guiding principles. The TSP Update shall incorporate the amendments to OAR 734-051 through the adoption of Senate Bill 264 and Senate Bill 408 when establishing revised street design guidelines.

## ODOT Highway Design Manual

An update to the Highway Design Manual (HDM) was released in 2012, and includes ODOT standards and procedures for the location and design of new construction, major reconstruction, and resurfacing, restoration or rehabilitation (3R) projects. The HDM is used for all projects that are located on state highways. The following matrix in Table 1-6 shows which design standards are applicable for certain projects based on project type, and whether the project pertains to a state route.

Table 1-6 Design Standards Selection Matrix

| Project Type | Roadway Jurisdiction |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | State Highways | Local Agency Roads |
| Modernization/ Bridge <br> New/Replacement | ODOT 4R/ New Urban | AASHTO |
| Preservation/ Bridge Rehabilitation | ODOT 3R Urban | AASHTO |
| Preventive Maintenance | 1R | N/A |
| Safety- Operations- Miscellaneous/ <br> Special Programs | ODOT Urban | AASHTO |

Source: 2012 HDM, Table 1-1

In addition, the HDM identifies more stringent capacity standards than those within the Oregon Highway Plan when developing new highway facilities, to further leverage the investment in infrastructure.

## 2001 TSP Assessment Relative to the Highway Design Manual

The design standards in the HDM will be integrated into the detailed design and engineering that will occur for projects once they are incorporated into the TSP Update and are programmed as part of the County's Capital Improvement Program (CIP) for transportation.

## Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (2015-2018)

The Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) is Oregon's four-year transportation capital improvement program that identifies the funding for, and scheduling of, transportation projects and programs. It includes projects on the federal, state, county and city transportation systems, multimodal projects (highway, passenger rail, freight, public transit, bicycle and pedestrian) and projects in the National Parks, National Forests and Indian tribal lands. Oregon's STIP covers a four-year construction period, but is updated every two years in accordance with federal requirements. Four projects are included in the approved 2012-2015 STIP. One was completed in 2013; two are under construction; and one is scheduled for construction to be in 2015.

The 2015-2018 STIP was reviewed for projects to consider during the development of Gilliam TSP Update for complementary or conflicting traffic impacts. The 2015-2018 Draft STIP identifies three projects within Sherman County, as summarized in Table 1-7.

Table 1-7 2015-2018 Draft STIP Projects within Sherman County

| Section | Total |  | Description | Status |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Year <br>

(FFY)\end{array}\right]\)

## House Bill 3379 Administrative Rule

House Bill (HB) 3379, which passed during the 2009 legislative session, directed the Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) to adopt an administrative rule to establish an application process that local governments can use for economic development projects if they are not able to meet the funding or timing requirements of the Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) related to state highways.

The administrative rule describes how a local jurisdiction may work with the OTC and ODOT to do one of the following:

- Apply for a time extension to meet TPR requirements;
- Submit a plan proposing alternative methods of funding that will meet the standards adopted by the OTC;
- Apply to adjust traffic performance measures during an interim period prior to completion of construction of the proposed development; or,
- Apply to allow various types of traffic performance measures other than volume to capacity ratios (v/c).

The OTC adopted the Administrative Rule in December 2010 and provisions pertaining to the above can be found in OAR 731-017-0005 through -0055.

## REGIONAL PLANS

## ODOT Region 4 Park and Ride Lot Plan

The Central Oregon Intergovernmental Council and its partners - the Mid-Columbia Economic Development District and Klamath County Planning Department - developed a Park \& Ride Lot Plan for ODOT Region 4, which straddles the Highway 97 corridor from California to the Columbia. The Plan identifies there are currently no formally-designated Park and Ride lots or rideshare programs in

Region 4 outside of the Central Oregon Area (Jefferson, Crook and Deschutes counties). ODOT Region 4 has funded an analysis of rideshare feasibility in the Lower John Day (Wasco, Sherman, Gilliam, and Wheeler Counties) and South Central Oregon (Klamath and Lake Counties) Areas and preliminary findings from this work suggest that there is interest and demand for an expanded rideshare program in these areas. The Plan identifies several existing informal park and ride lots in Sherman County and indicates that formalizing park and ride locations is a medium priority in the County.

## COUNTY PLANS AND POLICIES

## Sherman County Comprehensive Plan (Last Amended 2007)

The Comprehensive Plan is a statement of public policy for the guidance of growth, development, and conservation of resources within the County. There is basic information in the Comprehensive Plan related to the transportation system within the County, listed under Goal 12 Transportation. There are a number of policies that directly relate to transportation system planning. These policies are provided in Appendix B.

The Comprehensive Plan describes the dynamic tension between rural and urban land uses and the County's role in providing a planning framework that both preserves agricultural land and provides for the smooth transition of rural to urban use. The policy framework set out in Chapter 14 is related to the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) and urbanization. These policies relate to the timing, location, and funding of public facilities. Pertinent to the TSP Update process, particularly within the areas of the UGB outside of city limits, policies specifically address the role of public facilities in supporting or restricting growth.

The 2007 Update included a Population Projection through the year 2030. State Statute requires Counties to use the projections prepared by the Office of Economic Analysis and, further, to allocate the future population growth throughout the County and its incorporated Cities and unincorporated areas. This was done in 2007 and relied on the past population ratios in the County to project future populations on a proportional basis for the four incorporated Cities of the County. The 2007 population projection called for a County-wide population of 2,102 by the year 2030. The 2013 population update prepared by OEA shrinks that number markedly. Now the County population is projected to be just 1,745 by 2035.

## Sherman County Zoning, Subdivision, Partitioning and Land Development Ordinance of 1994 (Last updated 2003)

The Sherman County Zoning and Land Development Ordinance was developed in 1994 and updated and adopted in 2003. This ordinance implements applicable provisions of relevant state administrative rules (OAR's) and Statewide Planning Goals 1-14 and generally promotes the public health, safety, convenience and general welfare through the implementation of the County's Comprehensive Plan. Article 4 provides provisions for access management and pedestrian and bicycle
access and facilities. Article 4 will need to be updated to reference revised policies provided in the updated TSP.

## Sherman County TSP (2001)

The 2001 Sherman County Transportation System Plan (County TSP) addresses the County's anticipated transportation needs through the year 2020. The long-range plan is intended to serve as a guide for managing existing County transportation facilities and developing transportation facilities to meet existing and future needs. Transportation Goals and Policies are found in Chapter 2.

Appendix C includes a list of projects that were listed in the 2001 TSP.

## CITY PLANS AND POLICIES

There are four incorporated Cities in Sherman County and all have adopted the required Comprehensive Plans and Ordinances. For simplicities sake, the cities are discussed north to south in the following analysis.

## City of Rufus Comprehensive Plan (2007 update)

The City of Rufus is the northernmost city in Sherman County, lying immediately adjacent to the Columbia River and I-84. The City's Comprehensive Plan was updated in 2007, and notes the City serves as local service center for the surrounding farming community. Over the last 25 years, the City of Rufus has represented approximately 15 percent of the County's population, on average. The 2010 population of 270 documented in the 2010 census is forecast to grow to 320 by 2030, as documented in the Sherman County Comprehensive Plan (2007).

The Comprehensive Plan begins with a brief description of the community and local history. The Plan then follows the Statewide Planning Goals, addressing each one individually to provide basic information. The Comprehensive Plan's discussions of Goal 10: Housing, Goal 12: Transportation, and Goal 14: Urbanization are of particular interest in this update of the County (and City's) TSP.

The City's housing stock ranges from houses built in the late 1890s to just a few homes that have been built in the last 10 years. Regarding multi-family dwellings, the City has one apartment building converted from a motel containing several apartments.

The City supports and allows, in its Zoning Ordinance, all types of single-family dwellings, including site built, modular homes and manufactured dwellings. There are provisions for multiple-family housing, including duplexes, triplexes, four-plexes and apartments.

The City joined with Sherman County to prepare the 2001 Transportation System Plan. That plan is adopted by reference into this Comprehensive Plan. In addition, the City has adopted the recommended street standards in the City's Public Works Standards. Those street design standards
are carried over into the City's Subdivision Ordinance and are implemented as development occurs in the City.

In 2001, the City undertook a Buildable Lands Inventory. The purpose of a Buildable Lands Inventory is primarily to determine if there is enough available land remaining within the City and Urban Growth Boundary to meet the projected population needs for the next twenty years. The secondary purpose is to ascertain where most of the development is occurring and determine the probability for needed urban services as the City continues to grow. The Buildable Lands Inventory, once completed, is generally outdated at the issuance of the next building permit and absolute accuracy is not required unless an Urban Growth Boundary Expansion is being contemplated.

A review of the Buildable Lands Inventory Spreadsheets of 2001 indicates a sufficient amount of land for future residential development. There are a considerable number of platted residential lots and there is a recently platted subdivision on the west side of the City, with full services awaiting development. There is adequate land available barring some unforeseen economic activity to boost the residential housing needs of the community

The 2010 Census Data indicated the population of the City is 270 . The Census found that that there are 162 occupied homes in the City to yield an average household size of 1.91 persons per home. This is particularly useful when determining future land needs in the City with any potential expansion of the Urban Growth Boundary.

The 2001 TSP does not provide specific goals and policies specifically for the City of Rufus. It does provide a guide for the City to meet its transportation goals and objectives. The 2001 TSP does provide specific recommended Street Development Standards that will need to be revisited during this TSP Update. There are no specific "in city" Street Improvement Projects listed for Rufus.

## City of Wasco Comprehensive Plan (2007)

The City of Wasco Comprehensive Plan was updated in 2007. The Comprehensive Plan begins with a brief description of the community and local history. The Plan then follows the Statewide Planning Goals, addressing each one individually to provide basic information. The Comprehensive Plan's discussions of Goal 10: Housing, Goal 12: Transportation, and Goal 14: Urbanization.

The Buildable Lands Map was completed in February of 2007 via a windshield survey by the City's staff. The analysis notes over 70 vacant residential lots available, along with over 400 acres of vacant residential land. There is a new subdivision in the north east corner of the City. It is the first residential subdivision in all of Sherman County in over 40 years.

Over the last 25 years, the City of Wasco has represented 20 percent of the County's population, on average. The 2010 population of 389 is forecast to grow to 423 by 2030, as documented in the Sherman County Comprehensive Plan (2007).

The 2001 Transportation System Plan does not contain specific goals for the City of Wasco nor does it contain a specific street improvement project listing.

## Wasco State Airport Layout Plan (2002)

The Wasco State Airport Layout Plan was developed in 2002 for the Oregon Department of Aviation, which owns the facility. The Plan was developed using a complete public process and copies of the plan were furnished to the City and the County with a recommendation for adoption.

The airport dates back to 1946 and has been continuously operated by the State of Oregon since it acquired it in 1958. The airport accommodates general aviation and agricultural users serving the local community and the surrounding region. The Airport was relocated to the east of Wasco in approximately 1987-1988. The original runway terminated inside the City Limits. Wasco State Airport has a land area of approximately 66 acres and is zoned Airport Development (A-D) by Sherman County. The outer periphery of the airport is predominantly zoned Exclusive Farm Use (A-E). The airport is located entirely outside the City's urban growth boundary (UGB). Both the City of Wasco and Sherman County have adopted the FAA Part 77 Imaginary Surfaces Plan for the Airport.

## City of Moro

The City of Moro lies nine miles south of the City of Wasco on US 97. Moro serves as the County Seat and most of the County Administrative Offices are located here. The town is bisected by US 97 and has a well-defined commercial area in the blocks alongside the highway. There has not been significant residential development in many years. The City Recorder's Office indicates just 14 new residences in the City since 2002. The current PSU Certified population is 325. The City did just revise and update its Subdivision Ordinance and in the course of doing so, revised its street standards in both the ordinance and in its Comprehensive Plan to require standard width streets for residential development.

The Buildable Lands Inventory Map prepared in 2007 indicates 186 vacant platted lots and over 170 acres of vacant land available in the City. Even with the 14 new homes, there is adequate land available to meet future residential needs.

The 2001 Transportation System Plan does not contain specific goals for the City of Moro nor does it contain a specific Street Improvements project listing.

## City of Grass Valley

The City of Grass Valley lies 9 miles south of Moro, on US 97. It is also bisected by US 97, and has a long lineal commercial strip along the highway. There are some light industrial lands at the south end of the City. There is a municipal domestic water system, but the City does not have waste water collection and treatment facilities. The lack of a sewer system severely limits any growth to the City. The most recent addition for economic development has been the construction and operation of the Oregon Raceway Park located approximately $1 \frac{1}{2}$ miles east of Grass Valley. This raceway is a $21 / 2 \mathrm{mile}$
paved road course that is receiving national attention since opening in 2010. The City and County see this as a major factor in the south County economy going forward.

The City has a fairly stable population of 160 people and is forecast to grow to 183 in 2030 . Over the last 25 years, the City of Grass Valley has represented less than 10 percent of the County's population, on average, as documented in the Sherman County Comprehensive Plan (2007).

The 2007 Buildable Lands Inventory indicated 150 vacant residential lots along with $100+$ acres of vacant residential land in the City. There have just been a handful of new homes placed in the City since 2007. There is more than an adequate amount of residential property available to meet future needs of the City.

The 2001 Transportation System Plan does not contain specific goals for the City of Grass Valley nor does it contain a specific Street Improvements project listing.

## Summary of TSP Update Actions

This review of plans and policies identified the following key elements of the 2001 TSP that need to be updated to remain consistent with current State, County, and City plans and policies.

- Update strategies to reduce reliance on any single travel mode (provide mode choice), facilitate movement of goods and people, develop a system hierarchy for orderly and efficient multimodal travel, and preserve and protect streets and highways for their intended function.
- Assess and update system inventory for all modes of travel, including capacity, access, and physical condition.
- Incorporate Safe Routes to School program recommendations, and identify new sidewalk and bike lane connections between pedestrian attractions such as parks and trails with County residents.
- Identify opportunities to improve safety for all highway system users with solutions involving engineering, education, enforcement, and emergency medical services.
- Classify roadways to reflect their purpose and balance between mobility and access.
- The updated TSP will need to address current revenue projections and respond to the need for a financially-constrained system.
- Identify capacity improvements to the street network to accommodate growth through 2035.
- Identify opportunities to improve freight mobility, consistent with the Oregon Freight Plan.
- Document public transportation services available to residents of Sherman County, Oregon that support the goals of the Public Transportation Plan.
- Account for revisions to the Oregon State Rail Plan.
- Include analysis that supports the TSAP Emphasis Areas, and identify performance goals consistent with the Oregon Transportation Safety Action Plan.
- Incorporate the amendments to OAR 734-051 through the adoption of Senate Bill 264 and Senate Bill 408 when establishing revised street design guidelines.


## APPENDICES

Appendix A Summary of Senate Bill 408 Amendments to OAR 734-051
Appendix B Comprehensive Plan Policies
Appendix C 2001 TSP Projects

## Appendix A Summary of Senate Bill 408 Amendments to OAR 734-051

Senate Bill 408 changes Oregon law concerning management of access (private driveways) onto state highways. Its provisions streamline the management of access onto state highways for a large number of private driveways. The bill also provides local government, property owners and other stakeholders a place at the table during planning, development and design process for highway projects. The bill deals with the access management process in three priority areas.

## 1. Private driveways that do not have a permit issued by ODOT

SB 408 clarifies how to manage the large number of existing private driveways to state highways that exist today, but do not have a written permit issued by ODOT. The bill changes statute to create the presumption that these driveways have written permission from the department as required by ORS 374. The bill places the burden on the department to show where available documentation does not support this presumption. This enables the department, and the adjacent property owners, to treat existing driveways that do not have a written permit as if they are permitted.

- Examples of private driveways covered by SB 408 include driveways onto a state highway that:
- Existed prior to 1949 when the statute managing access onto state highways and county roads became law
- Were built before April 1, 2000 when the department established statewide standards for issuing permits for driveways onto state highways
- Were built by the department as part of highway improvement projects and the department failed to issue a permit


## 2. Access management decisions made as part of highway planning projects.

SB 408 clarifies the process by which ODOT will engage local governments and abutting property owners to address how decisions affecting access to state highways would occur as part of facility plans (interchange area management plans, corridor plans, transportation refinement plans and access management plans). Facility plans document the agreement between ODOT and local government concerning the location of county roads and city streets that connect to the state highway for which the plan is prepared.

The department must develop key principles to evaluate how properties abutting the state highway will retain or obtain access to the highway. The key principles must balance the state's investment in the highway facility with local government plans, approved land uses, and the economic development objectives of the affected property owners.

When a facility plan identifies the need to modify, relocate or close an existing private driveway, the key principles must have sufficient detail so that affected property owners are informed of the changes.
3. Access management decisions made as part of highway construction projects. SB 408 clarifies the process by which ODOT will engage local governments and abutting property owners. The bill requires ODOT to develop an access management strategy for a highway improvement and highway modernization project. In developing an access management strategy, the department must engage affected property owners when accesses are proposed for modification, relocation, or closure, or when the department proposes to purchase all rights of access to a segment of state highway.

In addition, SB 408 includes provisions to address opportunities for the applicant to resolve disputes as part of planning or construction projects that identify the need to modify, relocate, or close existing private driveways on a state highway. SB 408 is the third of a series of bills beginning with the 2010 session that address management of access onto state highways. The bill was developed by the Access Management Oversight Task Force

## Appendix B Comprehensive Plan Policies

## Transportation Policies from the June 2007 Sherman County Comprehensive Land Use Plan

Policy IV. The County road system shall be maintained and improved consistent with the needs of the Sherman County citizenry, when funds are available. It shall be the policy of the County Court to maintain school bus routes. Further oiling and graveling of existing roads shall be undertaken to provide the greatest benefit to the greatest number of rural residents.

Policy V. The construction of new public roads and highways shall be located whenever possible to avoid dividing existing farming units.

Policy VI. The Wasco State Airport shall be retained within the State system and in State ownership. The airport shall also be protected from incompatible land uses.

Policy VIII. Roads developed into recreation facilities should be maintained at standards consistent with the resources carrying capacity and the facilities planned level of use.

Policy X. Transportation Planning Policies (Ord No. 21-05-2003)
A. The Transportation System Plan and Land Use Review Policies

1. The Sherman County Transportation System Plan, including the incorporated cities, is an element of the County Comprehensive Plan. It identifies the general location of transportation improvements. Changes in the specific alignment of proposed public road and highway projects shall be permitted without plan amendment if the new alignment falls within a transportation corridor identified in the Transportation System Plan.
2. All development proposals, plan amendments, or zone changes shall conform to the adopted Transportation System Plan.
3. Operation, maintenance, repair, and preservation of existing transportation facilities shall be allowed without land use review, except where specifically regulated.
4. Dedication of right-of-way, authorization of construction and the construction of facilities and improvements, for improvements designated in the Transportation System Plan, the classification of the roadway, and approved road standards shall be allowed without land use review.
5. For State projects that require an Environmental Impact Study (EIS) or Environmental Assessment (EA), the draft EIS or EA shall serve as the documentation for local land use review, if local review is required.

## B. Local-State Coordination Policies

1. The County shall coordinate with the Oregon Department of Transportation to implement the highway improvements listed in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) that are consistent with the Transportation System Plan and County Comprehensive Plan.
2. The County shall provide notice to ODOT of land use applications and development permits for properties that have direct frontage or direct access onto a State highway. Information that should be conveyed to reviewers includes project location, proposed land use action, and location of project access points.
3. The County shall consider the findings of ODOT's draft Environmental Impact Statements and Environmental Assessments as integral parts of the land use decision-making procedures. Other actions required, such as a goal exception or plan amendment, will be combined with review of the draft EA or EIS and land use approval processes.
C. Protection of Transportation Facilities Policies
4. The County shall protect the function of existing and planned roadways as identified in the Transportation System Plan.
5. The County shall include a consideration of a proposal's impact on existing or planned transportation facilities in all land use decisions.
6. The County shall protect the function of existing or planned roadways or roadway corridors through the application of appropriate land use regulations.
7. The County shall consider the potential to establish or maintain accessways, paths, or trails prior to the vacation of any public easement or right-of-way.
8. The County shall preserve right-of-way for planned transportation facilities through exactions, voluntary dedication, or setbacks.

Appendix C 2001 TSP Projects

Table C-1: Prioritized 20-Year Transportation Project List (2001 Sherman County Transportation System Plan)

| Links | Project Number/Description | Estimated Cost Allocation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Local | State | Total |
| High Priority (2001-2006) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1b. Design and implement Bike path along US 97 | \$15,000 | \$150,000 | \$165,000 |
| 4 | 2. Improve roadway grade on Van Gilder Road | \$30,000 |  | \$30,000 |
|  | 4. Implement no-passing zone in Kent |  | \$3,000 | \$3,000 |
|  | Sa. OR 206 at Fairview intersection improvements |  |  | \$7,000 |
|  | 5b. OR 206 at Smith Lane intersection improvements |  |  | \$15,000 |
|  | 6a. Lighting for intersections at Wasco exits |  | \$30,000 | \$30,000 |
| 1 | 8. Improve Fields Corner at Highway 97 |  | \$800,000 | \$800,000 |
| 1,2,3 | 10. Biggs Junction Refinement Plan improvements. |  | \$251,000 | \$251,000 |
|  | 12. Move Guardrail back/widen Krusow St. Entrance | \$3,000 |  | \$3,000 |
|  | 13. Pave 2nd Street in Moro from US 97 | \$50,000 |  | \$50,000 |
| 1 | 14. Install warning signs on Hwy 97 at Biggs \& Moro |  | \$80,000 | \$80,000 |
|  | 15. Mud Hollow Bridge | \$50,000 |  | \$50,000 |
| 2 | 21. Install rumble strips on US 97 |  |  |  |
|  | 23. Improve Dewey Street in Moro | \$50,000 |  | \$50,000 |
|  | 24. Replace Moore Street Bridge in Moro |  |  |  |
| 2 | 25. Construct kiosk in Biggs | \$15,000 |  | \$15,000 |
|  | 29. Replace bridges at Scott Cyn. And Gerking Cr. In Rufus |  | \$200,000 | \$200,000 |
|  | 30. OR 206 Cottonwood Grade Curve Correction |  | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 |
|  | 31. Monkland Road Curve Corrections |  |  |  |
|  | Subtotal High Priority Projects | \$163,000 | \$3,014,000 | \$3,177,000 |
| Medium Priority (2007-2012) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1a. Design/install multi-purpose paths in all four cities | \$15,000 | \$400,000 | \$415,000 |
|  | 6b. Redesign Southern Wasco entrance |  | \$25,000 | \$25,000 |
|  | 9. Safety measures at Hwy 30 intersection \& US 97. |  | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |
|  | 1L Widen Scott Canyon Road \& install signage | \$750,000 |  | \$750,000 |
|  | 116. Directional signs on US 97 at Wasco |  |  | \$5,000 |
| 2 | 117. Establish visa at MP 13 |  | \$150,000 | \$150,000 |
| 1,2 j | 18. Implement Streetscaperrraffic calming measures-Moro |  | \$300,000 | \$300,000 |
| 2 | 19. Establish vista turnout at MP 32 |  | \$150,000 | \$150,000 |
| 2 | 20. Implement Streetscape/ traffic calming measures- Grass Valley |  | \$300,000 | \$300,000 |
| 2 | 22. Install 2 passing lanes south of Grass Valley on US 97 |  | \$3,000,000 | \$3,000,000 |
|  | 26a.Train local law enforcement for truck inspections |  |  |  |
|  | 26b. Increase traffic enforcement | \$30,000 |  | \$30,000 |
| 2 | 27. Reconstruct I-84/US 97 interchange |  | \$15,000,00 | \$15,000,000 |
|  | 28. Repair or replace OR 206 bridge at Spanish Hollow |  | \$150,000 | \$150,000 |
|  | Subtotal Medium Priority Projects | \$795,00 | \$19,480 | \$20,275,000 |

Low Priority (2013-2023)

|  | 1a. Design and implement multi-purpose path system in <br> all four cities |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1b. Design and implement Bike path along US 97 | $\$ 15,000$ | $\$ 150,000$ | $\$ 165,000$ |
|  | 3. Placement of warning signs on US 97 at <br> cities/enforcement | $\$ 60,000$ | $\$ 4,000$ | $\$ 64,000$ |
| 2 | 7a. High School Loop road North entrance |  | $\$ 150,000$ | $\$ 150,000$ |
| 2 | 7b High School Loop road south entrance | $\$ 75,000$ | $\$ 454,000$ | $\$ 150,000$ |
|  | Subtotal Low Priority Projects | $\$ 529,000$ |  |  |
|  | Sherman County Total | $\$ 23,981,000$ |  |  |

References:
1 2001-2004 STIP Project
2 US 97 Corridor Plan Project
3 Biggs Refinement Plan Project
4 Sherman County Five Year Plan
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# FINAL TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM \#2 

Sherman County Transportation System Plan Update
Plan Goals, Objectives, and Evaluation Criteria

Date: April 3, 2015<br>Project \#: 18054<br>To: Georgia MacNab, Sherman County<br>Michael Duncan, ODOT Region 4<br>From: Casey Bergh, PE, Ashleigh Griffin, and Marc Butorac, PE, PTOE

This memorandum documents the guiding principles, goals, objectives, and evaluation criteria for the Sherman County Transportation System Plan (TSP) update. The goals and objectives will guide the TSP update process to ensure key issues are addressed within this process.

This document is organized into three sections:

- Background - An overview of the goals and objectives from the 2003 Sherman County TSP. Key transportation issues and changes in Sherman County since the adoption of the current TSP.
- Goals and Objectives - Desired project outcomes and transportation needs that support the land use and growth vision for Sherman County. Plan goals for the Updated TSP were developed based on the prior TSP, the County's 2011 Comprehensive Plan, and County and ODOT input. Objectives outline the discrete elements that, taken as a whole, support and promote the goals.
- Evaluation Criteria - Establishes a method for evaluating future alternatives and policies that move in the direction of achieving the identified plan goals and objectives.

This document was developed with input from the County and State, and it will be refined to incorporate feedback from the Project Advisory Committee members who represent the cities and other local interests.

## BACKGROUND

Transportation System Plans provide the County, Cities, and ODOT with guidance for operating and improving a multimodal transportation system. The TSP focuses on priority projects, policies, and programs for the next 20 years, and provides a vision for longer-term projects that could be implemented should funding become available. The TSP is intended to be flexible to respond to changing community needs and revenue sources over the next 20 years and will be updated approximately every 10 years. The TSP builds consensus among Cities, the County, and ODOT on the
transportation needs and priority projects for the communities, allowing the local citizens to inform projects that are carried forward for funding from state and federal agencies.

The existing 2003 Sherman County TSP focused on mobility, livability, and economic development as outlined in the following goals:

- Focus on management, maintenance, operations and service improvements in the county, rather than modernization and large capital improvements;
- Reduce auto/truck conflicts through the strategic use of passing and climbing lanes on US 97;
- Establish a Special Transportation Area in Moro to improve safety for a variety of modes trucks, bicycles, pedestrians and autos; and
- Develop transportation alternatives that reduce reliance on automobiles.

The complete goals and objectives of the existing plan are provided as Attachment A.
Since the 2003 TSP was developed, time, growth, and development patterns have altered the County's forward vision. The following information provides context and illustrates the challenges, opportunities, and needs tied to the County's evolving transportation system:

- The incorporated cities of Rufus, Wasco, Moro, and Grass Valley are out of compliance with state rules and regulations, and have exhausted the project lists identified in the 2003 TSP. In addition, the current TSP does not properly reflect any revised zoning ordinances nor fully align with the County's Comprehensive Plan.
- The County has prioritized building livable, connected communities. The TSP Update will need to include strategies that promote accessibility and connectivity to preserve the local character of the Cities, including:
- Networks that provide safe and more comfortable access for pedestrians and bicyclists to and from residential areas, schools, and downtown. The cities of Wasco, Grass Valley, and Moro have new sidewalks or bicycle facilities that connect schools, grocery stores, government facilities, or healthcare - with the exception of the City of Moro.
- Balancing freight capacity and community accessibility and safety associated with the designated freight routes that bisect downtown neighborhoods and central business districts. The movement of freight is important to the County, as is providing safe, livable, and vibrant transportation corridors. US 97 is the primary arterial of the County, running north-south from Washington to California. US 97 will need continued focus to maintain and improve it to carry freight through the state.
- The TSP will revisit the Cities' street development standards. The standards identified in the current TSP, in particular, the "skinny street" residential standards have not been successful in Sherman County communities. The City of Moro has
revised its own standards to require a more common street improvement width in its development code.
- Since the 2003 TSP, land use patterns have changed. The County recognizes that transportation system improvements are required to support these recent emerging trends. As the County's population has been declining in recent years, the County would like to facilitate economic development to attract new residents to Sherman County.
- The City of Rufus has developed a 60 -acre industrial area that is shovel-ready and has access to I-84 in Rufus.
- The County is home to a growing wind turbine industry. The ability to transport turbines for both installation and servicing is central to the development of this industry.
- In recent years there have been two new residential developments in the County. These two subdivisions, one in Rufus and one in Wasco, are the first residential developments in over 40 years in the County. The Wasco development has a few constructed homes, but no construction has moved forward in the Rufus subdivision. A existing residential subdivision on the west side of Wasco has available lots in addition to these new developments.
- The four Cities are widely dispersed and rely on a sizable and remote system of roadways for safe and effective travel. A number of these roadways are aging and could benefit from widened roadbeds, minimized grades, straightened curves, snow fencing, offset intersection/junction realignment or bridge upgrades. These improvements address the basic transportation needs of these communities and their industries. Enhancement and preservation projects such as these would also bolster the system of the emergency routes available in the event of a natural disaster and school bus routes transporting the students.


## GUIDING PRINCIPLE AND PLAN GOALS

The overall guiding principle of the plan is to update it to provide and encourage a safe, convenient, efficient, and economic transportation system. To achieve this guiding principle, the following plan goals have been developed:

## GOAL 1: MOBILITY AND CONNECTIVITY

Promote a transportation system within the County that links all four cities, and serves existing and future needs for transporting goods and people throughout the County and within each City.

## Objectives

- Identify the 20-year roadway system needs to accommodate developing or undeveloped areas without undermining the rural nature of the county. Emphasis should be placed on maintenance, operations, management, and service improvements rather than large capital improvements.
- Promote transportation linkages between the dispersed cities of Moro, Wasco, Grass Valley, and Rufus by promoting an integrated system of principal highways that move people and goods throughout the County and connects to other adjoining Counties, a County road system that facilitates transportation between various areas of the County and between principal highways, and a local road system that serves as access to commercial and residential areas. The County recognizes that automobiles will continue to be the primary mode of transportation between cities, given the rural nature of the County.
- Preserve the function, operation, capacity, level of service, and safety of state highways and local roads in a manner consistent with adopted State and local plans.
- Balance truck freight on US 97 with automobile needs by providing adequate passing and climbing lanes, expanded pull out areas, and shoulders.
- Update roadway cross section standards to balance the needs of all users and the primary purpose of the roadway.
- Coordinate with the Oregon Department of Transportation and local cities to identify priority roadway improvements and maintenance needs.
- Improve traffic circulation within the four cities, while maintaining the local character of each community.
- Balance local community and state goals for the state highways that run through the Cities. Provide alternative solutions to address the needs of downtown businesses (access and visibility) with the need to preserve through traffic functions of US97, OR206, and OR216.
- Promote and plan for future industrial, commercial, and residential growth areas.
- Retain countywide school bus service.
- Update roadway performance standards to ensure the efficient movement of people, goods, commodities, and commercial waste.
- Update policies and standards that address street connectivity, spacing, and access management.
- Plan for roads created in land division and development so that they are designed to tie into existing and anticipated road circulation patterns.
- Work with the local jurisdictions in establishing right-of-way needed for new roads identified in the TSP.


## GOAL 2: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Provide a transportation system that supports existing industry and encourages economic development in the County.

## Objectives

- Develop and promote a multi-modal transportation network that supports the existing agriculture and wind turbine industries and supports economic diversification in the future.
- Identify the 20-year roadway system needs to accommodate developing or undeveloped areas without undermining the rural nature of the county.
- Promote railroad and waterway freight service when possible, and upgrade highways in nexus areas that lack this option.
- Prioritize improving and maintaining the key freight routes of US 97 and I-84 through the County.
- Identify truck routes to focus truck traffic to a limited number of roads in urban areas.
- Support long-term improvements in connections to major agricultural distribution facilities in Biggs and Moro.
- Support truck access to industrial sites, including turn and acceleration/deceleration lanes where appropriate and improvements to the Biggs Junction Interchange with I-84.
- Retain and promote rail freight service along I-84 in a manner consistent with the OTP and adopted Oregon Rail Freight Plan.
- Review transportation connections to the Wasco State Airport to ensure that it is adequately served by the transportation system and that the transportation system supports the development of supporting land uses around the airports.
- Protect the Wasco State Airport from the encroachment of incompatible land uses to ensure efficient aviation operations and to minimize the noise and safety problems for the general public in a manner consistent with the adopted Oregon Aviation Plan.
- Actively encourage the development of enterprises and commerce in the Port at Biggs Junction.
- Maintain travel times for the movement of freight through the corridor to port facilities.
- Support improvements to access and intermodal connections to port facilities.
- Encourage bicycle tourism by promoting and upgrading recreational routes through the County.


## GOAL 3: SAFETY

Provide a transportation system that promotes the safety of current and future travel modes for all users.

National and state safety evaluations have evolved from qualitative assessments to quantitative analyses that utilize data to inform priorities. The TSP will apply the latest tools and methods from the Highway Safety Manual to provide an objective and repeatable analysis of all crashes in Sherman County.

## Objectives

- Promote a transportation system that facilitates the use of state highways for safe and efficient travel but also provides safe, livable, and vibrant multimodal corridors in the downtown neighborhoods and central business districts.
- Review existing roadways and roadway standards to ensure that they are designed, constructed, and maintained to an appropriate standard for their expected use, vehicle speeds, and vehicle traffic.
- Reduce incidence and severity of motor vehicle crashes.
- Evaluate crash trends associated with an aging population.
- Provide a transportation system that allows for adequate emergency vehicle access to all land uses.
- Update County access management and roadway cross-section standards for all county roads.


## GOAL 4: MULTIMODAL USERS

Provide a multimodal transportation system that permits the safe and efficient transport of people and goods through active modes.

## Objectives

- Promote alternative modes, transit/dial-a-ride service, and rideshare/carpool programs that reduce reliance on the automobile through community awareness and education. Increase the use of alternative modes of transportation (walking, bicycling, rideshare/carpooling, and dial-a-ride transit) through improved access, safety, and service within urban areas and rural service centers within the County.
- Encourage development to occur within existing urban areas and rural service centers where services are presently available so as to reduce the dependence on automotive transportation.
- Consider bicycle and pedestrian facility needs during construction of new roads and during upgrades of existing roads.
- Review facilities for compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- Promote an interconnected network of bicycle, pedestrian, and transit facilities throughout the County.
- Promote a transportation system that includes pedestrian and bicycle facilities within the cities to promote active transportation to and from schools, downtown areas, grocery stores, government buildings, and healthcare facilities.
- Develop plan elements that guide pedestrian and bicycle pathways and facilities to achieve maximum connectivity between bicycle, pedestrian, transit, and vehicle routes and facilities, securing an intermodal network of safety and access for all types of users.
- Undertake bicycle facility improvements, such as establishing bike lanes and paths, where appropriate, within the cities of Rufus, Wasco, Moro, and Grass Valley that will balance the need for safe and convenient bicycle travel within the communities against the need to preserve through movement of traffic on the roadway.
- Identify needs for sidewalks and bicycle lanes in urban areas and develop programs to fulfill needs.
- Support maintenance of State highways as bicycle routes, with use of local parallel routes as alternative routes where feasible.
- Emphasize shoulder maintenance (surfacing, cleaning, vegetation removal), particularly in the peak summer cycling months
- Support widening shoulders as for bicycle travel as part of roadway preservation and improvement projects or as separate projects.
- Provide pedestrian facilities, such as establishing sidewalks and paths, where appropriate, within the cities of Rufus, Wasco, Moro, and Grass Valley that connect residential areas with important destinations such as parks, schools, commercial areas, and community buildings.
- Encourage development of connective sidewalk systems in commercial areas, and along arterials, and major and minor collectors within urban areas.
- Examine the need for specific pedestrian crossing locations in urban areas.
- Ensure that adequate services are provided for the transportation disadvantaged.
- Support the development of regional public transit opportunities.
- Provide paratransit, dial-a-ride service to all residents within the county matched to the availability of financial resources.
- Coordinate paratransit service with other providers and between modes within and outside the county to optimize use of equipment and minimize costs to government and the user.


## GOAL 5: ENVIRONMENT

Provide a transportation system that balances transportation services with the need to protect the environment.

## Objectives

- Develop a multi-modal transportation system that avoids reliance upon one form of transportation as well as minimizes energy consumptions and air quality impacts.
- Encourage development patterns that decrease reliance on motor vehicles within cities.
- Promote design standards that support acquiring only the minimum roadway width necessary for the roadway, including facilities for all users for the roadway classification, and maintenance to reduce weed infestation and conserve agricultural land.
- Develop and upgrade transportation facilities in such a manner consistent with the adopted Oregon Transportation Plan (OTP), the Oregon Highway Plan (OHP), and the Transportation Planning Rule (TPR), and ensure that valuable soil, water, scenic, historic, and cultural resources are not damaged or impaired.
- Comply with all applicable state and federal noise, air, water, and land quality regulations.
- Design all transportation improvements to preserve and enhance natural and scenic resources, i.e., new roads should not be constructed in areas identified as sensitive wildlife areas.


## GOAL 6: PLANNING AND FUNDING

Maintain the safety, physical integrity, and function of the County's multi-modal transportation network, consistent with Goal 6 of the OTP. None of the cities in Sherman County contain a population of 2,500 or more; therefore, a transportation financing program is not required as provided in OAR 660-12-0040.

## Objectives

- Maintain long-term funding stability for transportation maintenance projects.
- Evaluate new innovative funding sources for transportation improvements.
- Ensure that the existing transportation network is conserved and enhanced through maintenance and preservation.
- Identify interim, short-term, and long-term transportation solutions that will encourage development within the existing city boundaries.
- Identify areas where refinement plans or interim measures would increase the life of a facility or delay the need for improvements.
- Continue and enhance relationships and improve coordination among Sherman County, ODOT, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and local jurisdictions.
- Cooperate with ODOT in the implementation of the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP);
- Encourage the improvement of state highways;
- Encourage planning coordination between local jurisdictions, the County, and the State.
- Work with local jurisdictions in establishing cooperative road improvement programs, funding alternatives, and schedules;
- Work with the local jurisdictions in establishing the right-of-way needed for new roads identified in the TSP;
- Leverage federal and state highway funding programs.
- Encourage citizen involvement in identifying and solving local issues.


## EVALUATION CRITERIA

A qualitative process using the six goals and corresponding objectives above will be used to evaluate the policies and alternatives developed during the TSP update process. The policies and alternatives will be qualitatively scored for each criteria based on the following scale:

- Most Desirable: The concept addresses the criterion and/or makes substantial improvements in this criteria category.
- Moderately Desirable: The concept partially addresses the criterion and/or makes some improvements in this criteria category.
- No Effect: The criterion does not apply to the concept or the concept has no influence on the criteria.
- Least Desirable: This concept does not support the intent of and/or negatively impacts the criteria category.

At this level of screening, the qualitative comparison will be used to inform discussions about the benefits and tradeoffs of each alternative.

## ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: 2003 Sherman County TSP Goals and Objectives

ATTACHMENT A: 2003 SHERMAN COUNTY TSP GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

## CHAPTER 2 <br> GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The purpose of the TSP is to provide a guide for Sherman County to meet its transportation goals and objectives. The following goals and objectives were developed from information contained in the county's and cities' comprehensive plans and public concerns as expressed during public meetings. ODOT's US Highway 97 draft Corridor Plan and Biggs Junction Refinement Plan were also considered. An overall goal was drawn from the plan, along with more specific goals and objectives. Throughout the planning process, each element of the plan was evaluated against these parameters.

## Overall Transportation Goal

To accommodate the efficient movement of people, goods and services while maintaining the livability of existing communities within the county by encouraging development within communities and rural service centers, protecting the integrity of the environment, enhancing travel safety and supporting economic development within the county, region and the state.

## Overall Direction

The role of and management solutions for the auto differ throughout the Corridor. In the urban area, it is one of many possible travel choices. In the rural area, in many cases the automobile is the only transportation mode available, making the rural portion of the Corridor more reliant on automobile travel.

In the rural areas, it is recognized that the automobile will, out of necessity, continue to be the overwhelmingly dominant mode for moving people in the Corridor. Travel distances between residences and destinations are generally too great for bicycling and walking. The absence of transit service reduces travel options for those without ready access to an auto in rural areas. Generally, the management approach is to:

Focus on management, maintenance, operations and service improvements in the county, rather than modernization and large capital improvements.
Reduce auto/truck conflicts through the strategic use of passing and climbing lanes on US 97.
Establish a Special Transportation Area in Moro to improve safety for a variety of modes - trucks, bicycles, pedestrians and autos.

Continue to develop transportation alternatives that reduce reliance on the auto.

## Policies:

## General

1. Maintain and upgrade the overall transportation system within the county and cities to meet present and future needs.
2. Cooperate with ODOT in the implementation of the STIP.
3. Take advantage of federal and state highway funding programs.
4. Increase the use of alternative modes of transportation (walking, bicycling, rideshare/carpooling, and dial-a-ride transit) through improved access, safety, and service within urban areas and rural service centers within the county.
5. Ensure planning coordination between the local jurisdictions, the county and the state.
6. Seek Transportation and Growth Management (TGM) and other funding for projects evaluating and improving the environment for alternative modes of transportation.
7. Develop and upgrade transportation facilities in such a manner consistant with the adopted Oregon Transportation Plan (OTP), The Oregon Highway Plan (OHP), and the Transportation Planning Rule (TPR), and insure that valuable soil, water, scenic, historic, or cultural resources are not damaged or impaired.
8. Encourage citizen involvement in identifying and solving local problem spots.
9. Work with the local jurisdictions in establishing cooperative road improvement programs, funding alternatives, and schedules.
10. Comply with all applicable state and federal noise, air, water, and land quality regulations.
11. Promote alternative modes and rideshare/carpool programs through community awareness and education.
12. The general policy of the Planning Commission will be to not create any traffic hazard in the granting of variances, conditional uses permits, and zone amendments.
13. Encourage active pedestrian and bicycle use within urban areas and along state highways.
14. Promote use of available dial-a-ride transit, carpooling, and telecommuting.

## Auto

15. Preserve the function, capacity, level of service, and safety of the state highways and local roads in a manner consistent with the adopted OTP, OHP, TPR, draft US Highway 97 Corridor Plan, and the February 2001 Biggs Junction Refinement Plan.
16. Adopt access management standards that will meet the requirements of the TPR, the OHP, US Highway 97 Corridor Plan, Biggs Junction Refinement Plan, and Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 734-051, and also consider the needs of the affected communities.
17. Provide for safe and efficient high-speed continuous flow operation in rural areas (a $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}$ of 7.0 or less) and moderate-speed operations of flow in the urban areas of Rufus, Wasco, Moro and Grass Valley and the rural development centers of Biggs Junction and Kent (a V/C ratio of 0.75) and 0.85 within an STA.
18. Improve and maintain all existing public roadways to: 1) achieve a pavement condition of $70 \%$ in fair or better condition, 2) provide bike lanes on all arterials within urban areas, 3) provide shoulder widths adequate to accommodate bicycles on rural arterial and major collectors, and 4) provide crosswalks when warranted.
19. Improve the access on to and off of arterial roadways to accommodate projected growth in a manner consistent with adopted comprehensive plans and implementing regulations.
20. Encourage development to occur within existing urban area and rural service centers where services are presently available so as to reduce the dependence on automotive transportation.
21. Provide adequate signage along major and minor county roads for the purpose of easy identification.
22. Adopt policies and standards that address street connectivity, spacing, and access management.
23. Work with the local jurisdictions in establishing the right-of-way needed for new roads identified in the TSP.
24. Ensure that roads created in land division and development be designed to tie into existing and anticipated road circulation patterns.
25. Direct commercial development and use access onto major arterials by means of improved county roads.
26. Continue to develop and maintain the road system as the principal mode of transportation both for access to the county and within the county.
27. Review and revise, if necessary, street cross section standards for local, collector, and arterial streets to enhance safety and mobility.
28. Analyze the safety of traveling speeds and consider modifying posted speeds as necessary.
29. Expanded shoulder areas, and pull-outs along U.S. 97 .
30. Design all transportation improvements to preserve and enhance natural and scenic resources, i.e., new roads should not be constructed in areas identified as sensitive wildlife areas.
31. Retain countywide school bus service.

## Bicycle

32. Incorporate balanced opportunities for bicyclists in new or reconstructed transportation facilities.
33. Develop a county bicycle plan.
34. Identify needs for bike lanes in urban areas and develop programs to fulfill needs.
35. Support maintenance of State highways as a bicycle routes, with use of local parallel routes as alternative routes where feasible.
36. Undertake bicycle facility improvements, such as establishing bike lanes and paths, where appropriate, within the cities of Rufus, Wasco, Moro, and Grass Valley that will balance the need for safe and convenient bicycle travel within the communities against the need to preserve through movement of traffic on the roadway.
37. Support widening shoulders as for bicycle travel as part of roadway preservation and improvement projects or as separate projects. Where feasible, provide standard continuous five-foot (4-foot at a minimum) shoulders on all State highways.
38. Emphasize shoulder maintenance (surfacing, cleaning, vegetation removal), particularly in the peak summer cycling months.

## Pedestrian

39. Provide pedestrian facilities, such as establishing sidewalks and paths, where appropriate, within the cities of Rufus, Wasco, Moro, and Grass Valley that connect residential areas with important destinations such as parks, schools, commercial areas and community buildings.
40. Identify needs for sidewalks in urban areas and develop programs to fulfill needs.
41. Encourage development of connective sidewalk systems in commercial areas, and along arterials, and major and minor collectors within urban areas.
42. All pedestrian facilities and crossings should be accessible to people with disabilities to meet the standards of the Americans with Disabilities Act.
43. Examine the need for specific pedestrian crossing locations in urban areas.
44. Sidewalks should be buffered from the Highway with adequate landscaping, shoulders, and/or parking in areas with design speeds of 45 mph or above.
45. Within the corridor's urban section, provide, at a minimum, six-foot sidewalks to increase mobility and safety of pedestrian activities.
46. Where feasible, provide separation between pedestrians and autos through access management and landscaping, or street design guidelines within urban areas.
47. Provide adequate shoulders on rural collector and arterial roads to support biking and walking.
48. Incorporate traffic calming measures (curb extensions, raised medians, landscape treatments) within designated Special Transportation Areas as part of new highway projects or major reconstruction. Retrofit projects should be programmed based on need.
49. Provide adequate pedestrian warning signs in rural service centers.

## Public Transit

50. Support OTP policies to develop a "seamless" public transportation system over time with multimodal alternatives and proper facilities.
51. Work with existing inter-city bus districts and special needs transportation operations to maintain or increase bus service frequency.
52. Explore potential for a new passenger collector depot station, where local service providers from the surrounding counties (Sherman, Gilliam and Wheeler) could meet and transfer passengers to larger busses bound for The Dalles and other destinations.
53. Ensure that adequate services are provided for the transportation disadvantaged in the Corridor.
54. Provide paratransit, dial-a-ride service to all residents within the county matched to the availability of financial resources.
55. Coordinate paratransit service with other providers and between modes within and outside the corridor to optimize use of equipment and minimize costs to government and the user.
56. Enhance and/or maintain regularly scheduled commercial transit service along the corridor.
57. Support local efforts to establish a public or private bus passenger terminal at Biggs Junction.

## Rail Freight

58. Retain and promote rail freight service along I-84 and southward along the Deschutes River in a manner consistent with the OTP and adopted Oregon Rail Freight Plan.
59. Support long-term improvements in connections to major agricultural distribution facilities in Biggs and Moro.
60. Partner with carriers and receivers to facilitate transfer of highway freight to rail where economically feasible.
61. Work with the Burlington Northern/Santa Fe and Union Pacific railroads and Sherman County staff, key businesses, and other interested parties to explore redevelopment of a truck/rail distribution facility in Biggs.

## Truck Freight

62. Provide for safe and efficient high-speed continuous flow operation in rural areas and moderatespeed operations of flow in urban and urbanizing areas and rural development centers.
63. Partner with carriers and receivers to facilitate transfer of highway freight to rail where economically feasible.
64. Identify truck routes to focus truck traffic to a limited number of roads in urban areas.
65. Support long-term improvements in connections to major agricultural distribution facilities in Biggs.
66. Support construction of additional truck climbing/passing lanes on US 97 .
67. Support truck access to industrial sites, including turn and acceleration/deceleration lanes where appropriate.
68. Support improvements to US 97 Interchange with I-84 in Biggs Junction to improve overall operation of the interchange as part of the Statewide Freight System.

## Water Transport

69. Actively encourage development of enterprises and commerce in the Port at Biggs Junction.
70. Maintain travel times for the movement of freight through the corridor to port facilities.
71. Support improvements to access and intermodal connections to port facilities.

## Air Transport

72. Protect the Wasco State Airport from the encroachment of incompatible land uses to ensure efficient aviation operations and to minimize the noise and safety problems for the general public in a manner consistent with the adopted Oregon Aviation Plan.
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This memorandum inventories and evaluates existing and 2035 forecast conditions of the Sherman County transportation system to identify existing system needs and anticipate future needs that can be incorporated into the Transportation System Plan (TSP) update. This memorandum will identify existing and future transportation needs based on current performance measures. Needs identified in this memorandum will be addressed in the Transportation System Plan (TSP) Update through policies, projects, programs, pilot projects and refinement studies to improve the system.
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## STUDY AREA

The Transportation System Plan (TSP) focuses on the entire county, including the cities of Wasco, Rufus, Grass Valley, Moro, and the unincorporated community of Biggs Junction, as shown in Figure 3-1. Fourteen intersections and two roadway segments will be evaluated operationally during the study. These study intersections and segments are shown in Figure 3-1 and summarized in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1. Study Intersections and Segments

| ID | Intersection/Segment Name | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Van Gilder Rd / OR 206 | Wasco |
| 2 | Klondike / OR 206 | Wasco |
| 3 | Biggs-Rufus Hwy / US 97 | Biggs Junction |
| 4 | I-84 WB / US 97 | Biggs Junction |
| 5 | I-84 EB / US 97 | Biggs Junction |
| 6 | OR 206 / US 97 NB | Wasco |
| 7 | OR 206 / US 97 SB | Wasco |
| 8 | Clark St / OR 206/Old Wasco-Heppner Hwy | Wasco |
| 9 | Clark St / OR 206 | Wasco |
| 10 | I-84 WB / John Day Dam Rd | Rufus |
| 11 | I-84 EB / John Day Dam Rd | Rufus |
| 12 | Krusow St / OR 216 | Grass Valley |
| 13 | Lone Rock Rd/ US 97 | Moro |
| 14 | $4^{\text {th }}$ St / US 97 | Moro |
| A | Herin Lane at Scott Canyon Road | County |
| B | Main Street at $1^{\text {st }}$ Street/Biggs Rufus Highway | Rufus |




## LAND USE AND POPULATION

The land use and population inventory identifies existing, planned, and potential land uses. The land use and population inventory will inform existing and future conditions analyses, particularly as the project team works with the community to develop future alternative scenarios that capture the County's vision. Figure 3-2 illustrates the current zoning for the County and Cities.

Key activity centers and destinations within the County include:

- Sherman Elementary School, located in Grass Valley
- Sherman Junior Senior High School, located in Moro (The County has plans to consolidate both schools, the elementary and junior/senior high school, at this site.)
- Wasco, Moro, and Grass Valley City Parks
- Sherman County RV Park outside of Moro, adjacent to the County fairgrounds and DeMoss Park north of Moro
- Cottonwood Canyon State Park
- Deschutes State Park
- Oregon Raceway Park
- Wind Turbine Farms
- Mid-Columbia Producers
- Azure Standard
- Agricultural farms
- Biggs Junction commercial center

In addition to these key activity centers in the County, US 97 within Sherman County is designated as an Oregon State scenic byway and may attract visitors from other regions of the state. The cities also have downtown commercial centers that generate regional trips for shopping, dining, and other purposes.

The following sections describe the buildable lands inventory for the communities of Wasco, Moro, Grass Valley, and Rufus. These exhibits show existing land uses and areas where future growth is possible within the respective Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) areas. The following three sections describe the buildable lands within each of the four cities.
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## City of Rufus

The City of Rufus, the northernmost city in Sherman County, lies immediately adjacent to the Columbia River and I-84. The City's Comprehensive Plan was updated in 2007, and notes the City serves as local service center for the surrounding farming community. Over the last 25 years, the City of Rufus has represented approximately 15 percent of the County's population, on average. The 2010 population of 270 documented in the 2010 census is forecast to grow to 320 by 2030, as documented in the Sherman County Comprehensive Plan (2007).

In 2001, the City undertook a Buildable Lands Inventory. The purpose of a Buildable Lands Inventory is primarily to determine if there is enough available land remaining within the City and Urban Growth Boundary to meet the projected population needs for the next twenty years. The secondary purpose is to ascertain where most of the development is occurring and determine the probability for needed urban services as the City continues to grow. The Buildable Lands Inventory, once completed, is generally outdated at the issuance of the next building permit and absolute accuracy is not required unless an Urban Growth Boundary Expansion is being contemplated.

A review of the Buildable Lands Inventory Spreadsheets of 2001 indicates a sufficient amount of land for future residential development. There are a considerable number of platted residential lots and there is a recently platted subdivision on the west side of the City, with full services awaiting development. There is adequate land available barring some unforeseen economic activity to boost the residential housing needs of the community

The 2010 Census Data indicated the population of the City is 270 . The Census found that that there are 162 occupied homes in the City to yield an average household size of 1.91 persons per home. This is particularly useful when determining future land needs in the City with any potential expansion of the Urban Growth Boundary.

## City of Wasco

The City of Wasco Comprehensive Plan was updated in 2007. The Buildable Lands Map was completed in February of 2007 via a windshield survey by the City's staff. The analysis notes over 70 vacant residential lots available, along with over 400 acres of vacant residential land. There is a new subdivision in the north east corner of the City. It is the first residential subdivision in all of Sherman County in over 40 years.

Over the last 25 years, the City of Wasco has represented 20 percent of the County's population, on average. The 2010 population of 389 is forecast to grow to 423 by 2030, as documented in the Sherman County Comprehensive Plan (2007).

## City of Moro

The City of Moro lies nine miles south of the City of Wasco on US 97. Moro serves as the County Seat and most of the County Administrative Offices are located here. The town is bisected by US 97 and has a well-defined commercial area in the blocks alongside the highway. There has not been significant residential development in many years. The City Recorder's Office indicates just 14 new residences in the City since 2002. The current PSU Certified population is 325. The City did just revise and update its Subdivision Ordinance and in the course of doing so, revised its street standards in both the ordinance and in its Comprehensive Plan to require standard width streets for residential development.

The Buildable Lands Inventory Map prepared in 2007 indicates 186 vacant platted lots and over 170 acres of vacant land available in the City. Even with the 14 new homes, there is adequate land available to meet future residential needs.

## City of Grass Valley

The City of Grass Valley lies 9 miles south of Moro, on US 97 . It is also bisected by US 97, and has a long lineal commercial strip along the highway. There are some light industrial lands at the south end of the City. There is a municipal domestic water system, but the City does not have waste water collection and treatment facilities. The lack of a sewer system severely limits any growth to the City. The most recent addition for economic development has been the construction and operation of the Oregon Raceway Park located approximately $1 \frac{1}{2}$ miles east of Grass Valley. This raceway is a $21 / 2$ mile paved road course that is receiving national attention since opening in 2010. The City and County see this as a major factor in the south County economy going forward.

The City has a fairly stable population of 160 people and is forecast to grow to 183 in 2030 . Over the last 25 years, the City of Grass Valley has represented less than 10 percent of the County's population, on average, as documented in the Sherman County Comprehensive Plan (2007).

The 2007 Buildable Lands Inventory indicated 150 vacant residential lots along with $100+$ acres of vacant residential land in the City. There have just been a handful of new homes placed in the City since 2007. There is more than an adequate amount of residential property available to meet future needs of the City.

## Priority Development Areas

Based on these inventories, areas prioritized to support existing and future economic development within the Cities and County include:

- Industrial development within the shovel-ready, 60 -acre industrial area in Rufus;
- Existing commercial development within the cities, including Oregon Raceway Park near Grass Valley;
- Existing and future freight services at Biggs Junction, including truck parking and intermodal connections for wheat transfer from trucks to barges.
- Supporting infrastructure for transporting goods to support the wind turbine industry and agriculture.
- Dense residential development within the cities, particularly in the subdivision on the west side of Rufus and the subdivision in the northeast corner of Wasco.


## Population Inventory

By Oregon Revised Statute 195.034, the Counties are directed to formulate and adopt coordinated population projections among the County and its incorporated Cities. The County's 2007 Comprehensive Plan Update included a Population Projection through the year 2030. State Statute requires Counties to use the projections prepared by the Office of Economic Analysis and, further, to allocate the future population growth throughout the County and its incorporated Cities and unincorporated areas. This was done in 2007 based on the past population ratios in the County and the projected future populations on a proportional basis for the four incorporated Cities of the County and updated in 2013. Table 3-2 below summarizes the projected population in each City and the entire County based on the 2007 projections. The 2007 population projection called for a County wide population of 2,102 by the year 2030, which would result in a growth of 169 people or 8.7 percent of the 2010 population. However, the 2013 population update prepared by OEA, shown in Table 3-3, shrinks that number markedly, projecting a County population of just 1,745 by 2035, a net loss of 188 people or 9.7 percent of the 2010 population.

Table 3-2. Sherman County Population Projection, based on 2007 County Projections

| Year |  | Population Projections |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sherman <br> County (Total) | Unincorporated <br> Area <br> $(39.4 \%)$ | Grass Valley <br> $(8.7 \%)$ | Moro (16.6\%) | Rufus <br> $(15.2 \%)$ | Wasco <br> $(20.1 \%)$ |
| 2010 | 1933 | 761 | 168 | 321 | 294 | 389 |
| 2015 | 1986 | 786 | 173 | 330 | 302 | 399 |
| 2020 | 2043 | 804 | 179 | 339 | 310 | 411 |
| 2025 | 2081 | 820 | 181 | 345 | 317 | 418 |
| 2026 | 2085 | 822 | 181 | 346 | 317 | 419 |
| 2030 | 2102 | 827 | 183 | 349 | 320 | 423 |

Table 3-3. Sherman County Population Projection, based on 2013 County Projections

| Year |  | Population Projections |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sherman <br> County (Total) | Unincorporated <br> Area <br> $(39.4 \%)$ | Grass Valley <br> $(8.7 \%)$ | Moro (16.6\%) | Rufus <br> $(15.2 \%)$ | Wasco <br> $(20.1 \%)$ |
| 2015 | 1735 | 684 | 151 | 288 | 264 | 348 |
| 2020 | 1716 | 677 | 149 | 285 | 261 | 344 |
| 2025 | 1718 | 677 | 149 | 285 | 261 | 345 |
| 2030 | 1731 | 682 | 151 | 287 | 263 | 348 |
| 2035 | 1745 | 687 | 152 | 290 | 265 | 351 |

## STREET SYSTEM AND TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

Four state highways and a network of highways, arterials, collectors, and local streets maintained by the County serve Sherman County. Primary roadway facilities, their characteristics, and existing operational performance are summarized below.

## Street System Overview

Roadways within Sherman County fall under the jurisdiction of the state (ODOT), the County, or local cities. The following sections describe the jurisdiction and characteristics of the roadways.

## State Roadways

The state facilities within Sherman County provide interstate, statewide, and regional connectivity. These facilities include Interstate 84 (I-84), US Highway 97 (US 19), Oregon Highway 206 (OR 206), and Oregon Highway 216 (OR 216). The state facilities serve all four cities in Sherman County. I-84 provides access to Rufus, US 97 provides a connection to Wasco and passes through Moro and Grass Valley, OR 216 connects Grass Valley with Highway 197 to the West, and OR 206 connects Wasco with Gilliam County to the east.

## County Roadways

The County has jurisdiction over 127 roads that cover approximately 471 miles. Approximately 26.5 percent of these are paved, 62 percent are gravel, and 11.5 percent are dirt roads. The roads are typically two lanes wide. Paved roads typically have two 24 -feet travel lanes and two-foot gravel shoulders. Gravel roads are typically 20 feet wide.

## Street System Characteristics

The following set of figures and tables illustrate and summarize the current street characteristics within the County including roadway classifications, roadway standards, and intersection characteristics.

Functional classification levels for roadways are used to establish a hierarchy of roadways based on their primary function (moving people across regions or providing access to local destinations). These classification levels are identified by ODOT for state facilities, the County for County facilities, and local agencies for their own classification levels within their community. The classification levels also determine the recommended roadway cross-section for different facilities. The functional classification of roadways that local agencies typically establish is based on the following hierarchy:

- Arterials represent the highest class of roadway (other than Interstates). These roadways are intended to provide mobility by serving high volumes of traffic, particularly through traffic, at higher speeds. They also serve truck movements and should emphasize traffic movement over local land access. In some cases, arterial streets are further designated as "major/principal" or "minor." Major/principal arterials have higher design speed, fewer accesses per mile, and usually do not permit direct private driveway access. Minor arterial provide slightly lower travel speeds and have a few more accesses than major/principal arterials.
- Collectors represent the intermediate roadway class. As their name suggests, these roadways collect traffic from the local street system and distribute it to the arterial street system. These roadways provide a balance between traffic movement and land access and should provide extended continuous stretches of roadway to facilitate traffic circulation through the county. Collector streets are sometimes divided into two categories - urban collector/rural major collector and minor collector. Urban collector/rural major collector have the same basic roadway design but are differentiated by urban features like bike lanes and sidewalk as well as adjacent land use (i.e., the land is inside or outside the Urban Growth Boundary). Minor collectors serve lower volume of traffic and have lower design speeds than the urban collector/rural major collector.
- Local roads and streets are the lowest roadway class. Their primary purpose is to provide local land access and to carry locally generated traffic at relatively low speeds to the collector street system. Local streets should provide connectivity through neighborhoods but should be designed to discourage cut-through vehicular traffic.


## State Facilities

Figure 3-3 shows the ODOT functional classification for state facilities in the County. Table 3-4 summarizes the roadway characteristics of each of these facilities, including posted speed limit, number of lanes, and current pavement condition. Because the local cities are bisected by state
highways that are classified as minor arterials, the highways must balance carrying through traffic and accommodating access to local destinations.

Table 3-4. State Functional Classification

| Route Name | Facility Extents | ODOT Facility Designation | ODOT <br> Functional Classification | Posted Speed <br> Limit (mph) | Number of Lanes | Pavement Condition (2012) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate 84 | Entire Section within County Limits | Interstate | Rural Interstate | 65 | 4 | Fair (West of Rufus) to Very Good (East of Rufus) |
|  | Rufus City Limits | Interstate |  | 65 | 4 | Fair |
| US 97 (Freight Route) | Outside City Limits | Statewide <br> Highway | Other Rural <br> Principal <br> Arterial | 40/45/55 | 2-4 | Poor (south of Grass Valley) to Good (North of Grass Valley) |
|  | Moro | Statewide Highway |  | 25/30/45 | 2 | Good |
|  | Grass Valley | Statewide Highway |  | 30/45 | 2 | Poor to Good |
|  | Biggs Junction (Unincorporated Community) | Statewide Highway |  | 35/45 | 2 | Good |
|  | Kent <br> (Unincorporated Community) | Statewide <br> Highway |  | 55 | 2 | Poor |
| OR 206 | Outside of Wasco City Limits, East of Wasco | Regional Highway | Rural Minor Arterial | 55 | 2 | Good |
|  | Within Wasco City Limits, East of Clark Road | Regional Highway |  | 30/40/55 | 2 | Good |
|  | Within Wasco City Limits, West of Clark Road | District Highway |  | 35/45 | 2 | Fair |
|  | Outside Wasco City Limits, West of Wasco | District Highway | Rural Major Collector | 55 | 2 | Fair |
| OR 216 | Within Grass Valley City Limits | District Highway | Rural Major Collector | 25 | 2 | Good |
|  | Outside of Grass Valley City Limits |  |  | 55 | 2 |  |
| Biggs - Rufus Highway (from OR 206 to Biggs Junction) | OR 206 to Biggs Junction | District Highway | Rural Major Collector | 35/45/55 | 2 | Fair |

Figure 3-4 summarizes the lane configurations and traffic control devices at the study intersections. Each of the study intersections is unsignalized and under ODOT's jurisdiction.
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## County Facilities

Sherman County follow's ODOT's roadway functional classification system by dividing county roads into three levels: urban collector/rural major collector, minor collector, and local roads. The existing functional classification system is summarized in Figure 3-3. Changes in development patterns and transportation trends (increased truck traffic, seasonal influences of the Cottonwood Canyon State Park, etc.) that have occurred in the past ten years will be reflected in proposed changes to functional classification during this TSP Update.

## City Facilities

The local cities do not have a separate functional classification system. The majority of the roads within the Cities, other than the state highways, generally have the characteristics of local streets.

## Roadway Cross-Section Standards

Roadway functional classifications typically reflect the roadway's function and influence the recommended roadway cross-section design. The cross-section standards typically inform new roadways or roadway modification projects. Older roadways are only required to be upgraded to current standards if modified or reconstructed.

## County Facilities

The County's current TSP identifies rural roadway design standards, as summarized in Table 3-5. The County also has recommended roadway widths that are intended to serve the forecast future traffic demands in the County, as summarized in Exhibit 3-1.

Rural roadways in the County are not currently required to have bike lanes or marked bicyclist facilities. The roadway design standards indicate that bicyclists shall be accommodated on the shoulder, when appropriate, based on the facility's traffic volumes. Rural roadways are not required to have separate pedestrian facilities, which reflects the rural nature of the roadway.

Table 3-5. Sherman County Rural Roadway Design Standards

| Classification | Right-of- <br> Way | Roadway |  | Shoulder |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Width (ft) | Surface | Width (ft) | Surface |
| Arterial Street | $80-120$ | $32-40$ | Paved | $4-8$ | Paved |
| Collector Street | $60-80$ | $24-32$ | Paved/gravel | $2-4$ | Paved/gravel |
| Local Street | 60 | $24-28$ | Paved/gravel | $2-4$ | Paved/gravel |
| Radius for cul-de-sac <br> turn-around | 50 | 40 | - | - | - |



Exhibit 3-1. Rural Street Standards for Local Streets, Collectors, and Arterials from the 2003 TSP

## Local Facilities

Street design standards for the local cities were developed during the last TSP Update. These design standards were based on ADT, storm drainage, type and density of development, fiscal constraints, and community character. The cities have only collector and local streets, except where state highways bisect the cities.

The exhibits in Appendix A illustrate the current design standards for each city and the roadways that these design standards are applied to. Since the primary purpose of local roadways is to provide access to properties, the recommended local roadway width is 20 to 24 feet. The roadway surfaces could be paved, but most local roadways are gravel. Although the standards do not call for sidewalks, there is space in the right-of-way to add these where appropriate.

## Access Spacing and Access Management

Providing adequate access to other public roadways, land uses, and destinations is a critical part of an effective transportation system. However, it is necessary to balance access with the need for mobility and safety on the system. Providing access via other public streets and driveways to land uses creates friction from a traffic operations perspective thereby reducing mobility and introducing conflict points that increase the potential for crashes.

Access management strategies and implementation require careful consideration to balance access and mobility in a safe and efficient manner. In general, access management is generally more stringent on higher classified roads where mobility is the highest priority. Exhibit 3-2 illustrates the relationship between access and mobility relative to the street classifications in the Sherman County area. US 97, OR 216, and OR 206 bisect the cities of Grass Valley, Moro, and Wasco and run through the downtown commercial areas of both cities. Therefore, these facilities must balance carrying through traffic and providing access within the downtown cores.


Exhibit 3-2. Relationship between Access, Mobility, and Functional Classification

## State Facilities

ODOT specifies access management spacing standards for the state facilities in the Oregon Highway Plan (OHP, Reference 1). The corresponding access management spacing standards for state facilities within Sherman County are summarized in Table 3-6 and Table 3-7. On non-interstate facilities, these standards are based on the 2012 AADT (Annual Average Daily Traffic volume), posted speed limit, proximity to urban areas, and functional classification. Interchange spacing for interstates is not dependent on traffic volume or posted speed limit.

Table 3-6. Interchange Spacing Standards for Interstate Highways

| Route Name |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Facility Extents | Facility <br> Designation | Area | Access Spacing <br> Standard (feet) |
|  | Entire Section <br> within County <br> Limits | Interstate | Rural | 6 miles <br> (interchange) |
|  | Rufus City Limits | Interstate | Urban | 3 miles <br> (interchange) |

[^1]Table 3-7. Access Management Spacing Standards for Highway Segments

| Route Name | Facility Extents | Facility Designation | 2012 ADT | Posted Speed Limit (mph) | Access Spacing Standard (feet) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US 97 <br> (Freight Route) | Outside City Limits | Statewide Highway | <5000 | 40/45/55 | 990/990/1,320 |
|  | Moro | Statewide Highway | <5000 | 25/30/45 | 150/250/360 |
|  | Grass Valley | Statewide Highway | <5000 | 30/45 | 250/360 |
|  | Biggs Junction <br> (Unincorporated Community) | Statewide Highway | <5000 | 35/45 | 425/750 |
|  | Kent <br> (Unincorporated Community) | Statewide Highway | <5000 | 55 | 1,320 |
| OR 206 | Outside of Wasco City Limits, East of Wasco | Regional Highway | <5000 | 55 | 650 |
|  | Within Wasco City Limits, East of Clark Road | Regional Highway | <5000 | 30/40/55 | 250/360/650 |
|  | Within Wasco <br> City Limits, West of Clark Road | District Highway | <5000 | 35/45 | 250/360 |
|  | Outside Wasco City Limits, West of Wasco | District Highway | <5000 | 55 | 650 |
| OR 216 | Within Grass <br> Valley City Limits | District Highway | <5000 | 25 | 150 |
|  | Outside of Grass <br> Valley City Limits |  | <5000 | 55 | 650 |
| Biggs - Rufus Highway (from OR 206 to Biggs Junction) | OR 206 to Biggs Junction | District <br> Highway | <5000 | 35/45/55 | 250/360/650 |

AADT = Average Annual Daily Traffic
MPH = miles per hour
Source: Oregon Highway Plan, Appendix C Revisions to Address Senate Bill 264 (2011) Table 13

## County Facilities

The County has access spacing standards for their roadways. These standards are intended to be applied as new development occurs, rather than to be used to eliminate existing driveways. The access spacing standards for County facilities are summarized in Table 3-8.

Table 3-8. Access Management Spacing Standards for Rural Sherman County Segments

| Functional Classification | Intersection |  |  |  | Signal Spacing | Median Contro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Public Road |  | Private Drive |  |  |  |
|  | Type | Spacing | Type | Spacing |  |  |
| Collector | At grade | $1 / 4$ mile | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Lt} / \mathrm{Rt} \\ & \text { Turns } \end{aligned}$ | 1,200 ft | N/A | N/A |
| Local Street | At grade | 200-400 ft | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Lt} / \mathrm{Rt} \\ & \text { Turns } \end{aligned}$ | Vary | N/A | N/A |

## Street System Traffic Analysis

The focus of this section is to report the existing traffic operations for study intersections and roadway segments identified for the TSP update. The sub-sections below present information on the traffic count data used in the evaluation, the analysis methodology applied, the operational standards used to assess the results, and the traffic operations results for the study intersections. Appendix $B$ contains the traffic count data obtained from ODOT and used in the analysis. Appendix $C$ contains the Methodology Memorandum documenting the analysis method applied. Appendix $E$ contains the existing conditions traffic operations and queuing analysis worksheets.

## Analysis Methodology and Performance Standards

All operations analysis described in this report were performed in accordance with the procedures in the 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (Reference 2).

Per the Methodology Memorandum (see Appendix C) and the ODOT Analysis Procedures Manual (APM) (Reference 3), intersection operational evaluations were conducted based on the peak 15minute flow rate observed during the weekday peak hour. Using the peak 15 -minute flow rate ensures this analysis is based on a reasonable worst-case scenario. For this reason, the analysis reflects conditions that are likely to occur for 15 minutes out of each average weekday peak hour. The transportation system will likely operate under conditions better than those described in this report during other typical time periods.

The operational results for study intersections and segments were compared with their corresponding mobility targets, summarized in Table 3-9 and Table 3-10, to assess performance and identify potential areas for improvement. Sherman County does not have operational standards for roadway facilities. ODOT operational targets are identified in the Oregon Highway Plan (OHP, Reference 1) and are summarized below for the state highways within the County.

Table 3-9. Volume to Capacity Ratio Targets for Peak Hour Operation Conditions

| Route Name | Facility Extents | Facility Designation | Inside UGB |  |  | Outside UGB |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Non-STAs where posted speed $<=35 \mathrm{mph}$ | Non-STAs where speed > 35 mph but <45 mph | Where <br> speed limit $>=45 \mathrm{mph}$ | Unincorporated Communities | Rural <br> Lands |
| Interstate 84 | Entire Section within County Limits | Interstate | N/A | N/A | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
|  | Rufus City Limits | Interstate | N/A | N/A | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| US 97 (Freight Route) | Outside City Limits | Statewide Highway | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
|  | Moro | Statewide Highway | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
|  | Grass Valley | Statewide Highway | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
|  | Biggs Junction \& Kent (Unincorporated Communities) | Statewide Highway | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| OR 206 | Outside of Wasco City Limits, East of Wasco | Regional Highway | 0.90 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0.70 |
|  | Within Wasco City Limits, East of Clark Road | Regional Highway | 0.90 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0.70 |
|  | Within Wasco City Limits, West of Clark Road | District Highway | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.75 |
|  | Outside Wasco City Limits, West of Wasco | District Highway | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.75 |
| OR 216 | Within Grass Valley City Limits | District Highway | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.75 |
|  | Outside of Grass Valley City Limits |  | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.75 |
| Biggs - Rufus Highway | OR 206 to Biggs Junction | District Highway | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.80 | 0.75 |

Source: OHP, Table 6, modified for relevance

Table 3-10. Intersection Performance Standards

| ID | Intersection Name | Location | Jurisdiction | Type of Intersection Control* | Performance Standard (v/c ratio)** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Van Gilder Rd / OR 206 | Wasco | ODOT | TWSC | 0.80 (OR 206) |
| 2 | Klondike / OR 206 | Wasco | ODOT | TWSC | 0.75 (OR 206) |
| 3 | Biggs-Rufus Hwy / US <br> 97 | Biggs Junction | ODOT | TWSC | 0.70 for all approaches |
| 4 | I-84 WB / US 97 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Biggs } \\ \text { Junction } \end{gathered}$ | ODOT | TWSC | 0.70 for all approaches |
| 5 | I-84 EB / US 97 | Biggs Junction | ODOT | TWSC | 0.70 for all approaches |
| 6 | OR 206 / US 97 NB | Wasco | ODOT | TWSC | 0.75 for OR 206 approaches, 0.70 for US 97 approaches |
| 7 | OR 206 / US 97 SB | Wasco | ODOT | TWSC | 0.75 for OR 206 approaches, 0.70 for US 97 approaches |
| 8 | Clark St / OR 206/Old Wasco-Heppner Hwy | Wasco | ODOT | TWSC | 0.90 for EB (OR 206) approach; <br> 0.85 for NB and SB approaches (OR 206) |
| 9 | Clark St / OR 206 | Wasco | ODOT | TWSC | 0.85 for WB approach; <br> 0.85 for SB approach |
| 10 | I-84 WB / John Day Dam Rd | Rufus | ODOT | TWSC | 0.70 for l-84 ramp approaches |
| 11 | I-84 EB / John Day Dam Rd | Rufus | ODOT | TWSC | 0.70 for I-84 ramp approaches |
| 12 | Krusow St / OR 216 | Grass Valley | ODOT | TWSC | 0.90 for OR 216 approach; 0.80 for US 97 approaches |
| 13 | Lone Rock Rd / US 97 | Moro | ODOT | TWSC | 0.85 for US 97 approaches |
| 14 | $4^{\text {th }}$ St / US 97 | Moro | ODOT | TWSC | 0.85 for US 97 approaches |

*TWSC = Two-way stop-controlled intersection
** v/c = volume-to-capacity ratio

## Traffic Volumes

The following sub-sections discuss the weekday peak hour traffic volume development and the seasonal adjustment factor used to adjust the 2014 traffic counts.

## Roadway Segment Hourly Traffic Profiles

Two study segments were identified throughout the County. Traffic volumes were collected for 48 hours between Tuesday October 21, 2014 and Thursday, October 23, 2014. These traffic volumes
were used to conduct capacity analysis to determine how the facility operates under peak hour conditions. No vehicle classification information was collected during these counts. In addition, they were used to illustrate the demand profile of the roadway by the time of day. Appendix $D$ summarizes the hourly traffic volume profiles for the two roadway segments studied. Based on these counts, the hour with the highest traffic volume was identified as the peak hour for that facility. Twolane highway capacity analysis was conducted for each roadway segment based on the peak hour traffic volumes. Table 3-11 summarizes the peak hour, traffic volumes, and volume-to-capacity ratio for each study segment. Although the County does not have operational targets for Country facilities, the peak hour analysis reveals that all of the roadways currently operate below the roadway's capacity.

Table 3-11. Roadway Segment Operations Analysis

| ID | Roadway | ADT <br> from <br> 2014 <br> Traffic <br> Counts | Peak <br> Hour <br> Time <br> Period | Seasonally- <br> Adjusted <br> Peak Hour <br> Count | PHF* | Two- <br> Way <br> Demand <br> Flow | Critical <br> Flow Rate | Units | Calculated <br> V/C Ratio |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AHerin Lane, East <br> of Scott Canyon <br> Road | 90 | $6: 00-$ <br> $7: 00$ a.m. | 16 | 0.67 | 26 | 3200 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 0.0079 |  |
| B | Main Street, <br> South of 1 <br> Street in Rufus | 558 | $4: 45-$ <br> $5: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 58 | 0.83 | 74 | 3200 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 0.0230 |

*PHF = peak hour factor

## Weekday Peak Hour Development for Intersections

Traffic counts at the fourteen study intersections were completed on Tuesday, October 21, 2014 between the hours of 5:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m. Traffic volumes typically peak during the evening commute period, between 4:00 and 6:00 p.m. However, traffic counts at the study intersections revealed that the peak hours for some of the study intersections occurred midday or during the afternoon, due to the rural nature of the County. Based on these counts, the peak hour and peak 15minute period within each peak hour were identified for each intersection. System-wide peak hours were developed for each community rather than using a system-wide peak hour for the entire County due to the long distances between study intersections throughout the County.

As summarized in the Methodology Memo (see Appendix C), traffic volumes were adjusted to reflect seasonal fluctuation in traffic patterns. Figure 3-4 shows the existing intersection traffic control and lane configurations. Figure 3-5 summarizes the existing peak hour traffic volumes after seasonal adjustments were applied and the peak hour time period for each intersection.
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## Intersection Traffic Operations Analysis Results

Level-of-service (LOS), volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratios, average delay, and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths were calculated for each of the study intersections identified for the Sherman County TSP update. Queue lengths were calculated using ODOT's Two-Way Stop-Controlled method, and the remaining analysis were conducted using 2010 HCM methods with Vistro software. Table 3-12 summarizes the results of this analysis as well as whether the corresponding operational targets for the study intersections are met. Figure 3-6 summarizes the turning movement volumes and resulting operations at each intersection. As shown in the table, all fourteen study intersections currently operate acceptably. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths reflect the maximum queue length expected during the peak 15 minutes. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths do not exceed two vehicles in length at all study intersections.

Table 3-12. Existing Conditions Intersection Operational Analysis Results

| ID | Name | Critical Movement | $\begin{aligned} & \text { V/C } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | LOS | Delay (sec) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 95^{\text {th }} \% \\ \text { Queue (\# } \\ \text { vehicles) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Performance Standard Met |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Van Gilder/OR 206 | NBL | 0.021 | A | 8.8 | 1 | Yes |
| 2 | Klondike Rd/OR 206 | WBL | 0.000 | A | 8.9 | 1 | Yes |
| 3 | Biggs-Rufus Hwy/US 97 | NEBL | 0.211 | B | 14.9 | 1 | Yes |
| 4 | I-84 WB/US 97 | WBT | 0.003 | C | 18.3 | 2 | Yes |
| 5 | I-84 EB/US 97 | EBT | 0.002 | C | 16.2 | 2 | Yes |
| 6 | OR 206/US 97 NB | NBT | 0.000 | A | 9.3 | 1 | Yes |
| 7 | OR 206/US 97 SB | SBT | 0.000 | A | 9.3 | 1 | Yes |
| 8 | Clark St/OR 206/Old Wasco-Heppner Hwy | WBT | 0.018 | B | 10.0 | 1 | Yes |
| 9 | Clark St/OR 206 | NWBL | 0.001 | A | 9.5 | 1 | Yes |
| 10 | I-84 WB/John Day Dam Road | WBT | 0.000 | B | 10.8 | 1 | Yes |
| 11 | I-84 EB/John Day Dam Road | EBT | 0.001 | A | 9.8 | 1 | Yes |
| 12 | Krusow St/OR 216/Mill St/ US 97 | EBL | 0.006 | B | 10.1 | 1 | Yes |
| 13 | Lonerock Rd/US 97 | NWBT | 0.002 | B | 11.7 | 1 | Yes |
| 14 | $4^{\text {th }}$ St/US 97 | SEBT | 0.000 | B | 11.7 | 1 | Yes |

[^2]
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## Summary of Existing Traffic Conditions

Below is a summary of the major findings of the existing conditions operational analysis.

- The existing demand volume at the two study segments is below capacity.
- The fourteen study intersections currently operate within their performance targets.
- $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths are not expected to exceed two vehicles at any of the study intersections during the peak hour.


## HISTORIC CRASH ANALYSIS

Crash data from the latest five years (January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2013) was obtained from ODOT for all roadways within Sherman County. Figure 3-7 illustrates reported crash locations throughout the County. As shown in Figure 3-7, the majority of reported crashes are located along state highways, particularly US 97 and I-84. Crash data is provided in Appendix F.

## County Crash Patterns

A total of 334 crashes were reported in Sherman County between 2009 and 2013. Table 3-13 summarizes the reported crashes by severity. Almost half of the reported crashes involved an injury, with 13 crashes resulting in an incapacitating injury and eight crashes resulting in a fatality. Of the 21 reported severe injury or fatal crashes, several trends were noted:

- Of the 21 severe crashes, 11 were fixed-object crashes, four were non-collision crashes, two were head-on collisions, one was a rear-end crash, one was a turning movement crash, one was a sideswipe crash, and one was not recorded.
- The roadway conditions were recorded as ice during four crashes, snow during one crash, wet during three crashes, and dry for the remainder.
- Six of the 21 severe crashes involved alcohol-impaired drivers.
- Ten of the 21 crashes occurred on Saturday or Sunday.
- Eight crashes occurred during dark light conditions.

The severe injury crashes were located throughout the County on the interstate, state highways, and County and local roads. Exhibit 3-3 shows the number of crashes reported by month and severity.

Table 3-13. Reported Crashes by Severity in Sherman County (2009-2013)

|  | Crash Severity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fatal | Injury A | Injury B | Injury C | PDO | Total |
| Number of <br> Reported <br> Crashes | 8 | 13 | 67 | 61 | 185 | 334 |
| Percentage of <br> Total Crashes | $2.4 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $55.4 \%$ | $100 \%$ |



Exhibit 3-3. Reported Crashes by Month (2009-2013)


As shown in Exhibit 3-3, the highest crash frequency occurred during winter months, from November through January. Winter months in Sherman County can include inclement weather conditions producing wet, icy, and/or snowy conditions. Further review of crashes in November, December, and January ( 140 crashes) indicate that $73 \%$ ( 102 crashes) occurred on roadway surfaces that were wet, icy, or snow-covered. Just over $43 \%$ ( 61 crashes) occurred in dark, dawn, or dusk lighting conditions. Just over $73 \%$ of the crashes between November and January ( 103 crashes) were reported as fixedobject or non-collision crashes.

Over the study period, approximately $65 \%$ of crashes ( 217 crashes) were reported as fixed object or non-collision crashes. The most commonly reported crash cause ( $40 \%$ of crashes) was drivers traveling at speeds too fast for conditions. Over $40 \%$ ( 135 crashes) occurred on roadway surfaces that were wet, icy, or snow-covered. Approximately $36 \%$ (121 crashes) occurred in dark, dawn, or dusk lighting conditions.

Just over $22 \%$ of the crashes ( 75 crashes) occurred on I-84 in the County. Of the 259 crashes that occurred on non-interstate facilities, 173 crashes ( $52 \%$ ) occurred on other rural principal arterials, 12 crashes ( $4 \%$ ) occurred on rural minor arterials, 40 crashes ( $12 \%$ ) occurred on rural major collectors, 12 crashes ( $4 \%$ ) occurred on rural minor collectors, and 22 crashes ( $7 \%$ ) occurred on rural local streets or roads.

## Intersection and Segment Crash Analysis

Study intersections and segments were analyzed individually and compared to statewide averages for similar facilities, when possible.

Reported crashes at study intersections are summarized in Table 3-14. Several of the study locations did not experience any crashes during the five-year study period. Intersection exposure was measured in terms of total entering vehicles (TEV), derived from the peak hour volumes used in the intersection operational analysis. The peak hour was assumed to be ten percent of the daily volume. ODOT identifies $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile crash rates in the Analysis Procedures Manual, Exhibit 4-1 (Reference 3). These crash rates are presented in Table 3-14. The ODOT APM indicates that intersections that exceed the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile should be further analyzed. Two of the study intersections in Sherman County exceeded the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile crash rates:

- Van Gilder Road / OR 206: This intersection is a 3-leg, two-way stop-controlled intersection with no turn lanes present. It is located just east of the City of Wasco. One crash occurred during the five-year study period, and no injuries were reported with the crash. According to crash reports, it was a turning movement crash that involved a piece of farm equipment as one of the vehicles. The high crash rate at this intersection was due to the low traffic volumes rather than a crash pattern.
- Biggs - Rufus Highway / US 97: This intersection is a 4-leg, two-way stop-controlled intersection with left-turn lanes present on three legs. The intersection is adjacent to a Pilot

Center gas station and truck rest area. There were 23 crashes at this intersection, resulting in a crash rate of 2.275 crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV), which is substantially higher than the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile crash rate of 1.08 crashes per MEV. The majority of these crashes, as shown in Table 3-14, were turning movement or angle crashes. Nineteen of the 23 crashes occurred during daylight conditions. At least 11 of the 23 crashes involved large trucks. Among these crashes, the most commonly reported crash level cause was "did not yield right-of-way," which accounted for 19 of the crashes. This intersection will be further evaluated for safety treatments during the TSP Update process.

Table 3-14. Reported Crashes at Study Intersections

|  | Intersection Name | TEV ${ }^{1}$ | $\#$ <br> Reported <br> Crashes <br> (2009- <br> 2013) | Crash Rate per $\mathrm{MEV}^{3}$ | Statewide 90th Percentile Crash Rates | Crash Type |  |  |  |  | Crash Severity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID |  |  |  |  |  | Angle | RearEnd | Turning | FixedObject | Other | PDO ${ }^{2}$ | Possible Injury | NonIncapacitating Injury | Incapacitating Injury | Fatal |
| 1 | Van Gilder Rd/ OR 206 | 56 | 1 | 0.98 | 0.46 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Klondike / OR 206 | 29 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Biggs-Rufus Highway / US 97 | 554 | 23 | 2.28 | 1.08 | 8 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | I-84 WB / US 97 | 530 | 7 | 0.72 | 1.08 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 | I-84 EB / US 97 | 554 | 8 | 0.79 | 1.08 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | OR 206 / US 97 NB | 46 | 0 | 0.00 | 1.08 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | OR 206 / US 97 SB | 37 | 0 | 0.00 | 1.08 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Clark St / OR 206 / Old Wasco-Heppner Highway | 154 | 1 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Clark St / OR 206 | 128 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | I-84 WB / John Day Dam Rd | 91 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | I-84 EB / John Day Dam Rd | 103 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Krusow St / OR 216 / Mill St / US 97 | 194 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Lonerock Road / US 97 | 277 | 2 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 4th St / US 97 | 280 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## TEV = Total entering vehicle

${ }^{3}$ Crash Rate $=$ Crashes per million entering vehicles

Reported crashes along study roadway segments are summarized in Table 3-15. Exposure on the segments was measured based on ADT calculated from 201424 -hour volume counts. ODOT publishes statewide average roadway segment crash rates for the past five years for urban and rural areas, by functional classification. The statewide average roadway segment crash rates for rural minor collectors and urban collectors are provided in Table 3-15 for comparison to calculated crash rates for highways in Sherman County. Four crashes were reported on the Herin Lane segment during the fiveyear study period, and one crash was reported at the intersection of Main Street $/ 1^{\text {st }}$ Street in Rufus, where the Main Street segment began. The crash rate for the Main Street segment was below state average for urban collectors, but the crash rate for the Herin Lane segment was above state average.

Further review of the four crashes on Herin Lane showed that two of the crashes were fixed object crashes and two were reported as non-collision crashes. Two crashes occurred during dark light conditions on icy roadways, and two occurred during the daylight in clear weather. Three of the crashes were property-damage only crashes, and one resulted in a non-incapacitating injury.

Table 3-15. Reported Crashes at Study Roadway Segments

| Segment Name | Segment Boundaries <br> Segment <br> Length <br> (miles) | Number of <br> Crashes | ADT <br> Crash Rate <br> (2009 - <br> 2013 <br> average) | State <br> Average |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herin Lane | Scott Canyon Road to <br> Oehman Road | 3.65 | 4 | 90 | 6.672 | 1.300 |
| Main Street in Rufus | 1st Street to East City <br> Limits | 0.6 | 1 | 558 | 1.637 | 1.882 |

Findings from the crash analysis indicate the following:

- The intersection of US 97 / Biggs-Rufus Highway had the highest number of crashes during the study period, and its resulting crash rate was higher than the state average. Many of the crashes involved trucks, and the majority of crashes were turning movement or angle crashes.
- The intersection of Van Gilder / OR 206 had a crash rate higher than the state average, but there was only one crash at the intersection which did not result in an injury. The high crash rate at this location is likely due to low traffic volumes.
- The Herin Lane segment from Scott Canyon Road to Oehman Road had four crashes during the five-year study period, resulting in an average crash rate above the statewide average. All four crashes were fixed object or non-collision crashes, and two occurred during dark and icy conditions. One crash resulted in an injury.
- Approximately $65 \%$ of crashes in the County were fixed object or non-collision crashes.
- Approximately $42 \%$ of crashes in the County occurred between November and January, and many of these occurred on roadways that were wet, icy, or snow covered.
- The most commonly reported contributing cause was vehicles traveling at speeds that were too fast for conditions.
- A high number of fatal (8) and injury A (13) crashes occurred in the County. Of these, 15 were fixed object or non-collision crashes.


## Statewide Priority Index System (SPIS)

ODOT developed the Safety Priority Index System (SPIS) to identify and prioritize sites where countermeasures could be implemented to potentially reduce the number of crashes. No segments or intersections within Sherman County were identified in the top ten percent of the 2014, 2013, and 2012 SPIS lists (which use crash data from 2011 to 2013, 2010 to 2012, and 2009 to 2011, respectively).

## Observed Safety Issues

The issues described above document safety needs based on crash data. Observations of conditions from the Project Advisory Committee may highlight safety concerns or issues that may not have a documented crash history but may have roadway designs that are associated with a perceived safety issue. These issues will also be reviewed as part of the TSP process and are summarized below.

- The Project Management Team noted that crashes frequently occur on US 97 between Grass Valley and Kent, especially during inclement weather.
- The Project Advisory Committee indicated that there is concern about the high traffic speeds and high truck volumes traveling through towns in Sherman County.
- The Project Advisory Committee also indicated that there is concern about the lack of turn lanes and deceleration lanes at key intersections on US 97 throughout the County.
- The Project Advisory Committee expressed concern at the lack of passing lanes on US 97 throughout Sherman County. Observations indicate that this may result in drivers attempting passing movements in locations without adequate sight distance to do so.


## PEDESTRIAN SYSTEM

The pedestrian system in the Cities within Sherman County is summarized in Figure 3-8. The inventory was completed based on maps from the current TSP, a list of projects provided by the County that summarizes new sidewalks or treatments completed since the last TSP update, and a review of Google Earth imagery. No sidewalks are located within the City of Rufus.

The pedestrian facilities inventory map shows the location of existing sidewalks within the Cities of Wasco, Moro, and Grass Valley. With the exception of new sidewalks in Moro and Grass Valley along US 97, the sidewalks in the County are generally in poor condition or of narrow width. In Wasco, sidewalks are primarily located along Clark Street, Fulton Street, and OR 206 west of Clark Street. In Moro, sidewalks extend along the majority of US 97 and many of the connecting streets. In Grass Valley, sidewalks are located along the northern section of US 97 through the City, but they do not extend far off of the highway.

Both County schools, the Sherman Elementary School in Grass Valley and the Sherman High School in Moro, are not connected with sidewalks to the rest of the pedestrian system. In Grass Valley, a short gap of approximately 0.05 mile in length exists between the school and the sidewalks along US 97. The Sherman High School is located approximately 0.6 miles south of the Moro City Limits. There are no sidewalks connecting the school with the rest of the City.

Many recreational walkers use the track at the Sherman High School in Moro to exercise. Others use the local roads leading out of the cities to for recreational walks. Commuters who walk to work are generally located in the towns and use the sidewalks or the streets to commute to work.



## BICYCLE SYSTEM

The only existing bicycle facilities in Sherman County are located in Moro. Within the City limits of Moro, striped bicycle lanes are located along both sides of US 97. Exhibit 3-4 illustrates the bike lanes along US 97 in Moro. The local, lower speed and lower volume residential streets within cities are typically not marked for bicyclists as the bicyclists can share the roadway with the slower vehicles.


Exhibit 3-4. Image illustrating the bicyclist and pedestrian facilities along US 97 in Moro

Recreational bicyclists commonly ride along US 97 and the local County roads. Occasionally larger groups of bicyclists pass through the County. Sherman County developed a marketing brochure of activities the County offers, and the brochure included a map with cyclist routes. The number of residents that commute via bicycle is small due to the rural nature of the County, the distances between towns, and the lack of bicycle lanes on state and local roads. Many cyclists do not feel comfortable riding on US 97 and will take alternate routes along County roads, sometimes out of direction, to avoid the highway.

## PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

Sherman County Community Transit provides a dial-a-ride transit service to residents for a fare of \$5 per rider. This service is available on Monday and Thursday each week. Residents must request a pickup 24-hours in advance and can be picked up anywhere in the County or Cities. The bus typically takes residents to The Dalles for shopping, business, and medical appointments. They also travel to Hood River and Portland for medical trips. Since July 2013, a total of 7,480 rides had been provided. Of these, 6,031 rides were for Seniors, and a total of 133,962 miles were traveled.

Sherman County Community Transit owns nine vehicles. ODOT is the lien holder for these vehicles. Drivers are paid for their time rather than operating on a volunteer basis. Currently, the funding that Sherman County Community Transit receives from ODOT meets their transit needs. Beginning in August 2014 and extending until August 2015, the County is being reimbursed for Veteran medical trips by the Veteran's Administration. This funding is provided by a highly rural transportation grant that was awarded in early 2015.

## TRUCK FREIGHT ROUTES

I-84 and US 97 are the only state facilities in Sherman County designated as state truck freight routes. National and regional truck freight movements are intended to occur via I-84, which is part of the National Highway System. US 97 runs north-south through Central Oregon and serves as an important regional connection for Oregon as well as between California and Washington.

## RAIL SYSTEM

The Union Pacific Main Line (UP) and the Burlington Northern/Santa Fe Bend Branch (BNSF) serve Sherman County at Biggs Junction. The UP line includes a spur serving the Mid-Columbia Grain Growers Terminal at Biggs. However no grain has been hauled from this spur for approximately 10 years. Therefore, there are no train stops in Sherman County today. There is currently no passenger rail service in the County.

As shown in Exhibit 3-5, the UP railroad that runs along the Columbia River through Sherman County is designated as a Class I Railroad.


Source: Oak Ridge National Laboratory Rail GIS Data, FRA, ODOT

Exhibit 3-5. State of Oregon Railroads

## AIR TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

The Wasco State Airport is located on the east side of Wasco in Sherman County. The airport dates back to 1946 and has been continuously operated by the State of Oregon since it acquired it in 1958. The airport accommodates general aviation and agricultural users serving the local community and the surrounding region. The Airport was relocated to the east of Wasco in approximately 1987-1988. The original runway terminated inside the City Limits. Wasco State Airport has a land area of approximately 66 acres and is zoned Airport Development (A-D) by Sherman County. The outer periphery of the airport is predominantly zoned Exclusive Farm Use (A-E). The airport is located entirely outside the City's urban growth boundary (UGB). Both the City of Wasco and Sherman County have adopted the FAA Part 77 Imaginary Surfaces Plan for the Airport.

## INTERMODAL CONNECTIONS

Intermodal connections for passenger service exist in the form of transit, pedestrian and bicycle, and automobile connections. Intermodal connections for freight exist in the form of rail, truck, air, and water transport connections. This section describes those connections.

## Freight Transportation

Industrial activities are important economic catalysts in Sherman County, with energy and agriculture being key industries in the County. Therefore, the intermodal connections for freight are important for the County.

Biggs Junction serves as an important terminal for trucks in the County and within the State. A high number of trucks travel through the state on US 97 and pass through Biggs Junction. However, current intermodal connections between trucks, rail, and river cargo operations are limited at this location. The existing rail service does not stop within Sherman County. As traffic at Biggs Junction continues to grow, the ability for more intermodal connections in this location may be evaluated.

## Passenger Transportation

ODOT completed a Park and Ride Plan for Region 4 in 2012. As part of this process, four stakeholders from Sherman County were interviewed about the demand for park and ride in the County as well as existing information lot locations and activities. The results of these surveys indicated that park and ride is a medium priority for Sherman County, as residents are unlikely to change behavior but they acknowledge that gas prices are increasing and there may be a need for more options. The primary demand is for trips to and from The Dalles. There are no existing formal park and ride lots in the County, but several locations are used as informal park and ride lots:

- Fulton Canyon and Highway 30 Junction;
- Biggs Junction;
- Wasco Triangle (across from Wasco City Hall, Junction of Highway 206 and old 97);
- Sherman County Senior Center;
- Moro City Hall; and
- Rufus Community Center.

These existing informal lots would be the priority locations for formal park and ride lots in the future.

## BRIDGE CONDITIONS

ODOT maintains an inventory of bridge conditions within the County. This inventory is provided in Appendix G. This table includes State, County, and City owned facilities.

Sufficiency rating is a measure between 0 and 100 calculated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), based on factors such as condition, materials, load capacity, and geometry (i.e., dimensions). FHWA uses the rating as a tool to prioritize the allocation of funds for bridge repairs. In general, bridges with a sufficiency rating of less than 50 are given priority. The sufficiency rating is used to identify deficiencies, which may include structural issues or functional issues. For example, older bridges may be narrow and not designed to the same width or height clearance of today's standards. Therefore, a sufficiency rating does not necessarily indicate a structural issue.

There are four bridges with sufficiency ratings below 50 within Sherman County:

- The Columbia River, Highway 42, Bridge 00849A (ODOT's jurisdiction): US 97 where it crosses the Columbia River at Biggs Junction.
- Spanish Hollow Creek, Highway 42 at MP 2.18, Bridge 08892 (ODOT’s jurisdiction): Mud Hollow Road where it crosses Spanish Hollow Creek.
- Finnegan Creek, Finnegan Road, Bridge 5SC003 (County’s jurisdiction): Finnegan Road where it crosses Finnegan Creek.

These four structures are all open today. No structures in Sherman County are currently posted for load.

## MARINE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

Sherman County is located on the Columbia River, a major water transportation route. The only river cargo operations that currently exist in the County are located at Biggs Junction, where Mid-Columbia Producers export much of their grain in the region.

Rufus also has access to the river which could be developed for recreational or industrial purposes in the future if the demand exists.

## PIPELINE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

Two natural gas pipelines run through Sherman County although they do not currently serve the County. If larger commercial or industrial development came to the County, the County may support the development of pipeline access for the County.

## FUNDING INVENTORY \& ANALYSIS

Roadways within Sherman County fall under the jurisdiction of the Cities, County, and ODOT. This section discusses the County's existing funding revenue sources for transportation capital improvement projects as well as operations and maintenance activities.

As summarized in Table 3-16, Sherman County has had an annual revenue of approximately $\$ 2.2$ million per year over the past ten years. This funding covers all transportation related projects, including maintenance and capital improvements projects. Approximately half of the County's transportation revenue each year comes from property taxes. The remaining amounts are obtained from a variety of sources, including ODOT, as shown in Table 3-16 and vary by year. ODOT has historically been able to fund the County's transportation operations and maintenance activities for state facilities.

Table 3-17 summarizes the County's transportation expenditures over the past ten years. As shown in the table, the majority of the County's transportation expenditures are used to cover maintenance and system preservation projects throughout the County. The average annual expenditures over the past ten years was approximately $\$ 2.0$ million per year, leaving the County with approximately $\$ 200,000$ extra on average each year to invest in additional capital projects.

Table 3-16. Ten Year Sherman County Transportation Revenue Budget

|  | STATE REVENUE |  |  |  |  | FEDERAL REVENUE |  |  | LOCAL REVENUE |  |  |  |  | TOTAL <br> REVENUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal <br> Year | State Hwy <br> Fund App | Special Co <br> Allotment | State Hwy Fund Exchange | ODOT <br> Permit Fees | Other State <br> Funds-SB 994 | BLM Mineral <br> Leases | Federal <br> Flood Control | ARRA Stimulus Funds | Property <br> Tax | Special <br> Road Bond | Misc Local <br> Revenue | SIP <br> Revenue | Interest <br> Income |  |
| 2004-05 | 137,621 | 472,026 | 87,349 | 6,016 | - | 200 | - | - | 609,579 | 236,270 | 49,577 | - | 16,741 | 1,615,379 |
| 2005-06 | 140,862 | 472,877 | 96,825 | 3,616 | - | 113 | 983 | - | 490,221 | 185,521 | 100,625 | - | 36,411 | 1,528,054 |
| 2006-07 | 138,123 | 469,544 | 91,336 | 11,065 | - | 211 | 66,861 | - | 547,619 | - | 73,178 | - | 50,648 | 1,448,586 |
| 2007-08 | 132,194 | 461,347 | 100,834 | 19,719 | - | 6,012 | 282 | - | 565,112 | - | 901,781 | - | 53,430 | 2,240,711 |
| 2008-09 | 120,561 | 151,239 | 124,143 | 17,561 | 761,973 | 1,228 | 29,027 | - | 663,775 | - | 107,022 | 241,802 | 37,605 | 2,255,936 |
| 2009-10 | 136,163 | 107,777 | 113,027 | 17,883 | - | 2,299 | 14,655 | 267,095 | 1,061,808 | - | 95,016 | 703,766 | 12,709 | 2,532,198 |
| 2010-11 | 163,216 | 110,295 | 117,890 | 7,206 | - | 1,859 | 14,628 | - | 927,776 | - | 115,389 | 564,451 | 9,651 | 2,032,361 |
| 2011-12 | 189,965 | 68,475 | 135,832 | 5,808 | - | 1,900 | 14,629 | - | 1,082,374 | - | 159,872 | 855,294 | 11,721 | 2,525,870 |
| 2012-13 | 196,868 | 101,240 | 134,794 | 6,027 | - | 1,371 | 13,165 | - | 1,064,854 | - | 225,336 | 2,233,527 | 14,317 | 3,991,499 |
| 2013-14 | 209,650 | 98,016 | 160,576 | 11,023 | - | - | - | - | 1,128,331 | - | 124,833 | 659,620 | 13,369 | 2,405,417 |

Table 3-17. Ten Year Sherman County Transportation Expenditures Budget

| Fiscal Year | OPERATIONS \& MAINTENANCE |  |  |  | CAPITAL PROJECTS |  |  |  <br> Engineering | Payments to Other Local Govts | Reimbursed Expenses for <br> Work on Others' Roads | Debt Service | TOTAL <br> EXPENDITURES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | General Maintenance | Safety \& Traffic Mntc | Snow \& Ice Removal | Extraordinary <br> Mntc (FEMA) | New <br> Facilities | System Preservation | System <br> Enhancement |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004-05 | 687,170 | 23,250 | 1,000 | 0 | 0 | 550,394 | 0 | 85,000 | 51,687 | 0 | 0 | 1,398,501 |
| 2005-06 | 569,623 | 21,780 | 1,000 | 85,195 | 0 | 452,758 | 0 | 85,000 | 162,304 | 0 | 0 | 1,377,660 |
| 2006-07 | 841,666 | 24,428 | 10,198 | 0 | 0 | 275,945 | 0 | 80,000 | 41,079 | 56,712 | 156,610 | 1,486,638 |
| 2007-08 | 652,576 | 25,650 | 13,879 | 0 | 0 | 607,882 | 0 | 80,000 | 43,795 | 67,002 | 156,609 | 1,647,393 |
| 2008-09 | 799,399 | 28,450 | 21,115 | 0 | 0 | 501,491 | 0 | 114,467 | 43,245 | 76,036 | 0 | 1,584,203 |
| 2009-10 | 1,307,919 | 32,681 | 9,590 | 0 | 0 | 1,348,541 | 0 | 154,270 | 51,719 | 68,276 | 0 | 2,972,996 |
| 2010-11 | 850,646 | 31,592 | 11,493 | 0 | 0 | 704,494 | 93,589 | 179,946 | 46,651 | 93,725 | 0 | 2,012,136 |
| 2011-12 | 1,037,443 | 9,854 | 13,066 | 0 | 106,560 | 787,041 | 0 | 8,189 | 57,011 | 112,556 | 0 | 2,131,720 |
| 2012-13 | 3,130,316 | 14,576 | 13,667 | 0 | 0 | 809,961 | 0 | 49,030 | 58,066 | 95,583 | 0 | 4,171,199 |
| 2013-14 | 950,223 | 51,786 | 17,691 | 0 | 0 | 649,114 | 0 | 63,013 | 62,219 | 80,712 | 0 | 1,874,758 |

## DEVELOPMENT OF YEAR 2035 TRAFFIC FORECASTS

## Traffic Forecast Projections

Future (2035) traffic volumes were developed using Oregon Department of Transportation's (ODOT's) historical trends method, which relies on historic traffic volumes to develop an annual growth rate. ODOT maintains Future Volumes Tables that summarize current and future year traffic volumes for state roadways. Based on guidance from ODOT's Analysis Procedure Manual (APM), the projected average annual growth is 1.3 percent for all Sherman County roadways (Reference 3).The same growth rate was used on state and county roadways.

The Methodology Memo, which is included as Appendix C, provides the traffic volumes projections for the locations that were used to develop the growth rate.

## FUTURE TRAFFIC CONDITIONS AND NEEDS

The forecast 2035 traffic operations are summarized in the following sections. The technical analysis of the forecast 2035 transportation system is based on ADT for roadway segments and $30^{\text {th }}$ highest hour traffic volume forecasts for intersections.

## Year 2035 Forecast Traffic Volumes

The projected 1.3 percent annual growth rate was applied to existing 2014 volumes to estimate forecast year 2035 traffic volumes.

## Year 2035 Forecast Intersection Operations

Forecast 2035 transportation system capacity analysis was conducted based on forecast traffic volumes. The operational results indicate that no operational improvements are anticipated to meet State, County, or City operational standards for each respective facility in 2035.

The future conditions operational analysis was conducted based on the peak 15-minute period of traffic flow at each study intersection. No changes to the existing lane configurations and traffic control devices were incorporated in this analysis because there are no planned improvements at the intersections.

Figure 3-9 summarizes the $203530^{\text {th }}$ highest hour traffic volumes and the resulting intersection operations. All study intersections are expected to operate with volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio of less than 0.4. All intersections are expected to meet their performance standards in 2035. Appendix $H$ includes the operational analysis worksheets for all study intersections.


## 2035 Traffic Volumes and Operations Analysis Results Sherman County, Oregon

Figure
3-9

## FUTURE NEEDS

Based on the assessment of existing and future conditions, Table 3-18 documents future transportation needs within the County and Cities.

Table 3-18. Future Transportation Needs in Sherman County

| Category | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safety | US 97 / Biggs - Rufus Highway Intersection | High frequency of crashes, particularly turning movement/angle crashes involving trucks. Crash rate is above the statewide 90th percentile. |
| Safety | Herin Lane | High frequency of crashes, particularly fixed object and non-collision crashes as well as icy road conditions. Crash rate is above the statewide $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile. |
| Safety | Fixed-object and noncollision crashes | High frequency of fixed-object and non-collision crashes. |
| Safety | US 97 from Grass Valley to Kent | Observations from the County indicate that there is a high frequency of crashes in this location. |
| Safety | Weather-related crashes | High frequency of weather-related crashes. |
| Safety | US 97 Passing Lanes | Observations from the County indicate that there is desire for additional passing lanes on US 97 to discourage unsafe passing movements. |
| Safety | US 97 Turn Lanes and Deceleration Lanes | Observations indicate that turn lanes and/or deceleration lanes may be needed at some key intersections on US 97 in Sherman County. |
| Safety | Traffic Speeds and Volumes on US 97 | Residents have concerns about the high traffic speeds on US 97 within communities and the high truck volumes. |
| Active <br> Transportation | Sidewalks to Elementary <br> School in Grass Valley | No sidewalks exist. However, there are plans to relocate this school to Moro. |
| Active <br> Transportation | Sidewalks to High School south of Moro | No sidewalks exist. |
| Active <br> Transportation | Recreational Walking Routes | No recreational walking paths exist. Potential locations may include from Moro to the fairgrounds, Fulton Canyon Road, and to the high school. |
| Active <br> Transportation | Sidewalks along Lonerock Road | No sidewalks exist. |
| Active <br> Transportation | Bicyclist Routes | Bicyclists are uncomfortable riding on US 97. |
| Bridge | Columbia River, Hwy 42 (Biggs Rapids, Sam Hill) | Review bridge characteristics to determine contributing factors to low sufficiency rating and determine whether repair or upgrade is needed. |
| Bridge | Spanish Hollow Cr, Hwy 42 Rt @ MP2.18 (Mud Hollow) | Review bridge characteristics to determine contributing factors to low sufficiency rating and determine whether repair or upgrade is needed. |


| Category | Name | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bridge | Finnegan Creek, Finnegan <br> Rd Bridge | Review bridge characteristics to determine contributing <br> factors to low sufficiency rating and determine whether <br> repair or upgrade is needed. |
| Bridge | Rufus Bridges | Residents expressed concern about the condition of <br> several bridges in Rufus, including two on Biggs-Rufus <br> Highway. |
| Modernization | Roadway Design Guidelines | Roadway design guidelines for cities are not reflective <br> of the rural character of the communities. |
| Roadway | Fulton Canyon Road Truck <br> Access | Fulton Canyon Road access is restricted; trucks cannot <br> use this road due to limited width. This is a popular <br> alternate route to I-84 to avoid Biggs Junction. |
| Roadway | Scott Canyon Road Truck <br> Access | Scott Canyon Road is difficult for trucks to traverse; <br> trucks are discouraged from using this route. This is a <br> popular alternate route to I-84 to avoid Biggs Junction. |
| Intermodal | Intermodal connections at <br> Biggs Junction | Intermodal connections are limited at Biggs Junction - <br> opportunities for improved connections between <br> trucks, rail, and river cargo may be evaluated. |

## CONCLUSION

The assessment of the existing and future land use and transportation system conditions identified the following:

- Multiple jurisdictions own and manage the public roadway system within Sherman County, including the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT), Sherman County, and the cities of Moro, Rufus, Wasco, and Grass Valley.
- Sherman County is connected to the national and statewide highway network via one Interstate Highway (I-84), one Statewide Highway (US 97), one Regional Highway (OR 206), and two District Highways (OR 206 and OR 216).
- Population projections for Sherman County show a decrease in population over the next 20 years. The County would like to promote economic development.
- Existing traffic volumes do not exceed capacity, and future traffic volumes are not expected to exceed capacity at the fourteen study intersections.
- County two-lane roads are not subject to ODOT standards; however, both County roadways studied operate well below ODOT standards in terms of delay under existing conditions as well as projected future volumes.
- The intersection of Biggs-Rufus Highway / US 97 and the segment of Herin Lane both have crash rates above the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile statewide crash rate for similar facilities. Both locations will be further evaluated during the TSP update to determine if opportunities for safety treatments are available.
- General County-wide trends indicate that fixed object crashes and weather related crashes are common in Sherman County. Low-cost systemic treatments will be considered.
- Both County schools lack continuous sidewalks connecting the school with the surrounding areas. The City of Rufus does not have any existing sidewalks.
- Four bridges in the County were identified as having low sufficiency ratings. Further evaluation will determine whether the reason for these ratings is structural or functional.
- There is no fixed route transit service in the County. The County operates a dial-a-ride service, available to all residents, twice a week.
- The County's largest industries are agriculture and wind energy. There is an industrial ready piece of land in Rufus.
- Freight traffic travel occurs by truck, rail, and boat. Biggs Junction is a major hub for the trucking industry and experiences high truck volumes. Better intermodal connections between rail, freight, and marine transportation may further encourage economic development of the region.
- Historically, the County and ODOT have funded the general maintenance and upkeep of the Sherman County roadways. No additional funds are available for large capital projects.

The needs documented in this memorandum were reviewed by the Project Advisory Committee and will be used to develop project alternatives. Appendix I provides the meeting minutes from the Project Advisory Committee meeting.
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## Appendix A Current Roadway CrossSection Guidelines for Cities



Exhibit A-1.Street Design Standards for Rufus


Exhibit A-2.Map of Street Design Standards for Rufus

City of Wasco


Figure 7-4

Exhibit A-3.Street Design Standards for Wasco


Exhibit A-4.Map of Street Design Standards for Wasco

| TYPE OF <br> STREET | RIGHT-OF-WAY <br> WIDTH | PAVING WIDTH <br> BETWEEN <br> CURBS | CURB <br> RETURN <br> RADIUS | MAXIMUM <br> PERCENT <br> OF GRADE | MINIMUM <br> RADIUS OF <br> CURVATURE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arterial (4) | $60^{\prime}$ | $36-42^{\prime}$ | $35^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $400^{\prime}$ |
| Collector (4) | $50^{\prime}$ | $24-28$ | $35^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $300^{\prime}$ |
| Residential <br> $(4)$ | $50^{\prime}$ | $20-24$ | $25^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |
| Half Street (4) | $50^{\prime}$ | $18-20$ | $25^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |
| Cul-de-sac <br> $(4)$ | $50-60^{\prime}(1)$ | $26^{\prime}-36^{\prime}(1)$ | $25^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |
| Alley | $20^{\prime}$ | $15-20$ | $15^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |

1. The paving radius at the turn-around of a cul-de-sac shall be $38^{\prime}$ on a right-of-way radius of $50^{\prime}$.
2. Minimum grade of $0.3 \%$. If unavoidable conditions exist, a grade of $2 \%$ steeper than that shown will be allowed.
3. One street name sign shall be provided at each intersection for each street.
4. Curbs and gutters shall be provided on both sides of the street on Arterial and Collector Streets, Curbs, Gutters, pedestrian walkways and bike lanes may be required on Residential, Half Street, and Cul-de-sac streets

Exhibit A-5.Street Design Standards for Moro (Note: Moro has updated their street design guidelines since the previous TSP was completed, resulting in a different methodology than the other three cities.)


Exhibit A-6.Street Design Standards for Grass Valley


Exhibit A-7.Map of Street Design Standards for Grass Valley

## Appendix B Traffic Count Data

All Traffic Data Services, Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name: \#1 VANGILDER\&OR206 Site Code : 48044
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | VAN GILDER RD Southbound |  |  |  | OR 206 Westbound |  |  |  | VAN GILDER RD Northbound |  |  |  | OR 206 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 05:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 05:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 05:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 06:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 06:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 09:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 09:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 01:00 PM \| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

All Traffic Data Services, Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name: \#1 VANGILDER\&OR206 Site Code : 48044
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No :2
Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | VAN GILDER RD Southbound |  |  |  | OR 206 Westbound |  |  |  | VAN GILDER RD Northbound |  |  |  | OR 206 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 01:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| 02:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 02:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 02:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 02:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 03:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 03:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 22 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 9 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| 06:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 06:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 06:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 06:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 07:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 07:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 07:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 07:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 08:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 08:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 08:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 08:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 17 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 141 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 597 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89.2 | 10.8 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 51.6 | 48.4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23.5 | 2.8 | 0 | 4.2 | 0 | 23.8 | 0 | 23.6 | 22.1 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lights | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 | 16 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 134 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 571 |
| \% Lights | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97.9 | 94.1 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 95.1 | 0 | 95 | 93.9 | 0 | 0 | 95.6 |
| Mediums | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| \% Mediums | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | 5.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.9 | 0 | 5 | 6.1 | 0 | 0 | 4.4 |
| HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## All Traffic Data Services,Inc.

9660 W 44th Ave Wheat Ridge,CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net


|  | VAN GILDER RD Southbound |  |  |  |  | OR 206 <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | VAN GILDER RD Northbound |  |  |  |  | OR 206 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 05:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 23 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 21 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 24 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 65 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0 |  | 20.8 | 0 | 79.2 | 0 |  | 85 | 15 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 900 | . 750 | . 000 | . 875 | . 250 | . 000 | . 594 | . 000 | . 462 | . 472 | . 375 | . 000 | . 000 | . 455 | 707 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 12:00 PM

| 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 43 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 45 | 55 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 375 | . 250 | . 000 | . 438 | . 000 | 000 | . 563 | . 000 | . 563 | . 750 | 917 | 000 | 000 | . 833 | . 827 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 08:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 02:45 PM

| 02:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 15 |
| 03:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 15 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 14 |
| Tot | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 62 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 17.6 | 0 | 82.4 | 0 |  | 56.7 | 43.3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | . 00 | . 536 | 00 | 00 | 5 | . 750 | 000 |  | 00 | 708 |  |  | 00 |  | 833 |  |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc. 9660 W 44th Ave Wheat Ridge,CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name: \#1 VANGILDER\&OR206
Site Code : 48044
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 6


All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#2 OR206\&KLONDIKE Site Code : 48050
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | OR 206 Southbound |  |  |  | KLONDIKE RD Westbound |  |  |  | OR 206 Northbound |  |  |  | KLONDIKE RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 05:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 05:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 05:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |


| 06:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $06: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| $06: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| $06: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Total | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |


| $07: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $07: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| $07: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $07: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |


| 08:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Total | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |


| $09: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $09: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| $09: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| $09: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |


| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |


| 11:00 AM | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |


| 12:00 PM | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total | 0 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| 01:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 01 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $0 \mid$ | 0 | 4 | 0 | $0 \mid$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \mid$ | 8 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#2 OR206\&KLONDIKE Site Code : 48050
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 2
Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | OR 206 Southbound |  |  |  | KLONDIKE RD Westbound |  |  |  | OR 206 Northbound |  |  |  | KLONDIKE RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 01:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 02:00 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 02:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 02:30 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 02:45 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Total | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 03:15 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 03:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Total | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Total | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Total | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |


| 06:00 PM | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 06:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 06:45 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Total | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |


| $07: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $07: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $07: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $07: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |


| 08:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 08:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 201 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 426 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 95.3 | 4.7 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 47.2 | 2.3 | 0 | 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lights | 0 | 178 | 10 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 381 |
| \% Lights | 0 | 88.6 | 100 | 0 | 86.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89.4 |
| Mediums | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| \% Mediums | 0 | 11.4 | 0 | 0 | 13.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.6 |
| HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## All Traffic Data Services,Inc.

9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net


|  | OR 206 Southbound |  |  |  |  | KLONDIKE RD <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | OR 206 Northbound |  |  |  |  | KLONDIKE RD Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 05:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 08:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 88.2 | 11.8 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 625 | . 500 | . 000 | . 607 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 708 | . 000 | . 000 | . 708 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 875 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 12:30 PM

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | $\mathbf{9}$ | 1 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 85 | 15 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | .000 | .472 | .375 | .000 | .500 | .500 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .500 | .000 | .750 | .000 | .000 | .750 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .771 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 08:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 02:45 PM

| 02:45 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 03:15 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Total Volum | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 607 | 000 | 00 | 607 | . 000 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 000 | 00 | . 90 | 000 | 00 | 906 | . 000 | 00 | 00 | 00 |  |  |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc. 9660 W 44th Ave Wheat Ridge,CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#2 OR206\&KLONDIKE Site Code : 48050
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 6


All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#3 BIGGS\&US97
Site Code : 48061
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Lights - Medium - HV

|  | US97 <br> Southbound |  |  |  | Biggs-Rufus Hwy Westbound |  |  |  | US97 <br> Northbound |  |  |  | Biggs-Rufus Hwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 05:00 AM | 11 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 48 |
| 05:15 AM | 7 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 47 |
| 05:30 AM | 11 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 61 |
| 05:45 AM | 15 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 68 |
| Total | 44 | 16 | 31 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 16 | 7 | 51 | 2 | 224 |
| 06:00 AM | 7 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 52 |
| 06:15 AM | 12 | 16 | 10 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 85 |
| 06:30 AM | 17 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 |  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 4 | 88 |
| 06:45 AM | 25 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 21 | 0 | 100 |
| Total | 61 | 36 | 31 | 0 | 40 | 20 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 23 | 8 | 2 | 13 | 11 | 67 | 4 | 325 |
| 07:00 AM | 15 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 90 |
| 07:15 AM | 14 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 67 |
| 07:30 AM | 15 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 78 |
| 07:45 AM | 21 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 99 |
| Total | 65 | 32 | 31 | 2 | 25 | 8 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 28 | 11 | 5 | 19 | 6 | 81 | 0 | 334 |
| 08:00 AM | 20 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 95 |
| 08:15 AM | 25 | 14 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 114 |
| 08:30 AM | 27 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 101 |
| 08:45 AM | 24 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 95 |
| Total | 96 | 55 | 26 | 3 | 42 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 34 | 7 | 2 | 25 | 15 | 76 | 0 | 405 |
| 09:00 AM | 26 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 113 |
| 09:15 AM | 25 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 21 | 0 | 120 |
| 09:30 AM | 26 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 20 | 3 | 118 |
| 09:45 AM | 22 | 16 | 12 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 25 | 0 | 118 |
| Total | 99 | 57 | 52 | 0 | 43 | 15 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 19 | 3 | 21 | 18 | 86 | 3 | 469 |
| 10:00 AM | 31 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 26 | 0 | 134 |
| 10:15 AM | 23 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 31 | 1 | 135 |
| 10:30 AM | 27 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 116 |
| 10:45 AM | 21 | 14 | 13 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 32 | 0 | 122 |
| Total | 102 | 49 | 43 | 1 | 60 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 12 | 42 | 21 | 6 | 34 | 9 | 104 | 1 | 507 |
| 11:00 AM | 34 | 14 | 16 | 1 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 143 |
| 11:15 AM | 22 | 14 | 18 | 1 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 122 |
| 11:30 AM | 34 | 20 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 128 |
| 11:45 AM | 21 | 15 | 11 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 36 | 0 | 151 |
| Total | 111 | 63 | 58 | 2 | 68 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 53 | 19 | 1 | 38 | 11 | 97 | 0 | 544 |
| 12:00 PM | 32 | 17 | 18 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 147 |
| 12:15 PM | 28 | 22 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 30 | 0 | 141 |
| 12:30 PM | 36 | 21 | 13 | 1 | 16 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 139 |
| 12:45 PM | 35 | 15 | 20 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 30 | 0 | 147 |
| Total | 131 | 75 | 66 | 1 | 58 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 39 | 22 | 0 | 30 | 16 | 111 | 0 | 574 |
| 01:00 PM | 35 | 18 | 13 | $0 \mid$ | 23 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 10 | $0 \mid$ | 7 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 151 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc.<br>9660 W 44th Ave<br>Wheat Ridge,CO 80033<br>www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#3 BIGGS\&US97 Site Code : 48061 Start Date : 10/21/2014 Page No : 2
Groups Printed- Lights - Medium - HV

|  | US97 <br> Southbound |  |  |  | Biggs-Rufus Hwy Westbound |  |  |  | US97 <br> Northbound |  |  |  | Biggs-Rufus Hwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 01:15 PM | 34 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 18 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 144 |
| 01:30 PM | 25 | 8 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 138 |
| 01:45 PM | 35 | 17 | 11 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 30 | 0 | 154 |
| Total | 129 | 63 | 51 | 0 | 81 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 10 | 57 | 17 | 2 | 37 | 15 | 104 | 0 | 587 |
| 02:00 PM | 29 | 24 | 13 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 132 |
| 02:15 PM | 29 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 27 | 0 | 142 |
| 02:30 PM | 28 | 25 | 21 | 1 | 18 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 152 |
| 02:45 PM | 27 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 23 | 0 | 128 |
| Total | 113 | 75 | 52 | 3 | 70 | 14 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 52 | 16 | 1 | 36 | 14 | 92 | 0 | 554 |
| 03:00 PM | 23 | 18 | 15 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 129 |
| 03:15 PM | 30 | 19 | 13 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 135 |
| 03:30 PM | 25 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 127 |
| 03:45 PM | 34 | 12 | 13 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 145 |
| Total | 112 | 64 | 51 | 0 | 48 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 64 | 16 | 6 | 22 | 15 | 95 | 0 | 536 |
| 04:00 PM | 36 | 10 | 11 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 136 |
| 04:15 PM | 30 | 19 | 16 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 137 |
| 04:30 PM | 26 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 24 | 6 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 26 | 0 | 144 |
| 04:45 PM | 32 | 29 | 13 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 24 | 0 | 146 |
| Total | 124 | 76 | 47 | 0 | 55 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 61 | 13 | 10 | 28 | 16 | 97 | 0 | 563 |
| 05:00 PM | 29 | 21 | 14 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 137 |
| 05:15 PM | 28 | 13 | 16 | 1 | 18 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 17 | 1 | 131 |
| 05:30 PM | 16 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 26 | 0 | 119 |
| 05:45 PM | 21 | 16 | 9 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 15 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 19 | 0 | 123 |
| Total | 94 | 65 | 49 | 2 | 58 | 10 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 52 | 18 | 10 | 32 | 18 | 82 | 1 | 510 |
| 06:00 PM | 22 | 13 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 111 |
| 06:15 PM | 25 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 14 | 2 | 111 |
| 06:30 PM | 13 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 17 | 0 | 100 |
| 06:45 PM | 11 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 88 |
| Total | 71 | 59 | 29 | 2 | 36 | 16 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 46 | 13 | 12 | 21 | 20 | 58 | 2 | 410 |
| 07:00 PM | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 42 |
| 07:15 PM | 9 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 66 |
| 07:30 PM | 12 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 65 |
| 07:45 PM | 18 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 15 | 0 | 75 |
| Total | 45 | 27 | 22 | 0 | 30 | 17 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 8 | 2 | 11 | 9 | 48 | 3 | 248 |
| 08:00 PM | 11 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 67 |
| 08:15 PM | 11 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 52 |
| 08:30 PM | 11 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 62 |
| 08:45 PM | 16 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 75 |
| Total | 49 | 23 | 23 | 2 | 20 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 21 | 9 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 61 | 0 | 256 |
| Grand Total | 1446 | 835 | 662 | 18 | 754 | 192 | 136 | 34 | 106 | 644 | 221 | 69 | 397 | 206 | 1310 | 16 | 7046 |
| Apprch \% | 48.8 | 28.2 | 22.4 | 0.6 | 67.6 | 17.2 | 12.2 | 3 | 10.2 | 61.9 | 21.2 | 6.6 | 20.6 | 10.7 | 67.9 | 0.8 |  |
| Total \% | 20.5 | 11.9 | 9.4 | 0.3 | 10.7 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 9.1 | 3.1 | 1 | 5.6 | 2.9 | 18.6 | 0.2 |  |
| Lights | 840 | 406 | 552 | 18 | 547 | 149 | 90 | 34 | 83 | 409 | 120 | 69 | 193 | 170 | 765 | 14 | 4459 |
| \% Lights | 58.1 | 48.6 | 83.4 | 100 | 72.5 | 77.6 | 66.2 | 100 | 78.3 | 63.5 | 54.3 | 100 | 48.6 | 82.5 | 58.4 | 87.5 | 63.3 |
| Medium | 606 | 429 | 110 | 0 | 207 | 43 | 46 | 0 | 23 | 235 | 101 | 0 | 204 | 36 | 545 | 0 | 2585 |
| \% Medium | 41.9 | 51.4 | 16.6 | 0 | 27.5 | 22.4 | 33.8 | 0 | 21.7 | 36.5 | 45.7 | 0 | 51.4 | 17.5 | 41.6 | 0 | 36.7 |
| HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| \% HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.5 | 0 |

## All Traffic Data Services,Inc.

9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net


|  | US97 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | Biggs-Rufus Hwy Westbound |  |  |  |  | US97 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | Biggs-Rufus Hwy Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 05:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 09:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 AM | 26 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 55 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 6 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 27 | 113 |
| 09:15 AM | 25 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 55 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 21 | 0 | 34 | 120 |
| 09:30 AM | 26 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 48 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 18 | 7 | 3 | 20 | 3 | 33 | 118 |
| 09:45 AM | 22 | 16 | 12 | 0 | 50 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 7 | 25 | 0 | 34 | 118 |
| Total Volume | 99 | 57 | 52 | 0 | 208 | 43 | 15 | 11 | 0 | 69 | 1 | 41 | 19 | 3 | 64 | 21 | 18 | 86 | 3 | 128 | 469 |
| \% App. Total | 47.6 | 27.4 | 25 | 0 |  | 62.3 | 21.7 | 15.9 | 0 |  | 1.6 | 64.1 | 29.7 | 4.7 |  | 16.4 | 14.1 | 67.2 | 2.3 |  |  |
| PHF | . 952 | . 891 | . 867 | . 000 | . 945 | . 768 | . 625 | . 550 | . 000 | . 908 | . 250 | . 788 | . 594 | . 375 | . 889 | . 750 | . 643 | . 860 | . 250 | . 941 | . 977 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 01:00 PM

| 01:00 PM | 35 | 18 | 13 | 0 | 66 | 23 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 27 | 3 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 7 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 33 | 151 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01:15 PM | 34 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 64 | 18 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 18 | 9 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 36 | 144 |
| 01:30 PM | 25 | 8 | 17 | 0 | 50 | 18 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 23 | 3 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 23 | 11 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 42 | 138 |
| 01:45 PM | 35 | 17 | 11 | 0 | 63 | 22 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 10 | 5 | 30 | 0 | 45 | 154 |
| Total Volume | 129 | 63 | 51 | 0 | 243 | 81 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 102 | 10 | 57 | 17 | 2 | 86 | 37 | 15 | 104 | 0 | 156 | 587 |
| \% App. Total | 53.1 | 25.9 | 21 | 0 |  | 79.4 | 7.8 | 12.7 | 0 |  | 11.6 | 66.3 | 19.8 | 2.3 |  | 23.7 | 9.6 | 66.7 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 921 | . 788 | . 750 | 000 | . 920 | . 880 | 400 | . 813 | . 000 | . 944 | . 833 | . 838 | . 425 | 500 | . 860 | . 841 | 750 | . 867 | 000 | . 867 | . 953 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 08:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:15 PM

| 04:15 PM | 30 | 19 | 16 | 0 | 65 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 3 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 30 | 137 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:30 PM | 26 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 51 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 24 | 6 | 3 | 38 | 11 | 2 | 26 | 0 | 39 | 144 |
| 04:45 PM | 32 | 29 | 13 | 0 | 74 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 8 | 7 | 24 | 0 | 39 | 146 |
| 05:00 PM | 29 | 21 | 14 | 0 | 64 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 10 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 36 | 137 |
| Total Volume | 117 | 87 | 50 | 0 | 254 | 57 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 76 | 13 | 57 | 10 | 10 | 90 | 32 | 19 | 93 | 0 | 144 | 564 |
| \% App. Total | 46.1 | 34.3 | 19.7 | 0 |  | 75 | 15.8 | 9.2 | 0 |  | 14.4 | 63.3 | 11.1 | 11.1 |  | 22.2 | 13.2 | 64.6 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 914 | 750 | . 781 | 000 | . 858 | . 838 | 750 | . 438 | . 000 | . 792 | . 650 | . 594 | . 417 | . 500 | . 592 | 727 | . 679 | . 894 | 000 | . 923 | . 966 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc. 9660 W 44th Ave Wheat Ridge,CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#3 BIGGS\&US97
Site Code : 48061
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 6


All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#4 US97\&I84WBRAMPS
Site Code : 28012009
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | US 97 Southbound |  |  |  | I-84 WB RAMPS Westbound |  |  |  | US 97 <br> Northbound |  |  |  | I-84 WB RAMPS Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 05:00 AM | 8 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 05:15 AM | 11 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| 05:30 AM | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| 05:45 AM | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| Total | 32 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 |
| 06:00 AM | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 06:15 AM | 11 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 06:30 AM | 20 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| 06:45 AM | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| Total | 52 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 2 | 83 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 254 |
| 07:00 AM | 20 | 9 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 26 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| 07:15 AM | 17 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 21 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| 07:30 AM | 16 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 27 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| 07:45 AM | 25 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 27 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| Total | 78 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 13 | 101 | 48 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 315 |
| 08:00 AM | 18 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| 08:15 AM | 24 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| 08:30 AM | 20 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| 08:45 AM | 19 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| Total | 81 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 59 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 354 |
| 09:00 AM | 16 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 09:15 AM | 23 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 |
| 09:30 AM | 23 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 |
| 09:45 AM | 17 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 |
| Total | 79 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 69 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 403 |


| 10:00 AM | 26 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10:15 AM | 19 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 27 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 |
| 10:30 AM | 18 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| 10:45 AM | 17 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| Total | 80 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 80 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 426 |
| 11:00 AM | 27 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 |
| 11:15 AM | 25 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 |
| 11:30 AM | 24 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| 11:45 AM | 34 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 31 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 |
| Total | 110 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 86 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 525 |


| $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 21 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 126 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 28 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 |
| $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 26 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 |
| $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 20 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 |
| Total | 95 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 2 | 153 | 77 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 518 |
| $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 19 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 26 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 |

All Traffic Data Services, Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name :\#4 US97\&I84WBRAMPS
Site Code: $: 28012009$
Start Date $: 10 / 21 / 2014$
Page No $: 2$
Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | US 97 <br> Southbound |  |  |  | I-84 WB RAMPS Westbound |  |  |  | US 97 Northbound |  |  |  | I-84 WB RAMPS Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 01:15 PM | 28 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 |
| 01:30 PM | 30 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 51 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| 01:45 PM | 24 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 |
| Total | 101 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 95 | 0 | 1 | 175 | 56 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 519 |
| 02:00 PM | 22 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 45 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 |
| 02:15 PM | 22 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 58 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 |
| 02:30 PM | 25 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 31 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 |
| 02:45 PM | 26 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 45 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 127 |
| Total | 95 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 75 | 0 | 5 | 179 | 88 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 550 |
| 03:00 PM | 24 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 128 |
| 03:15 PM | 18 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 |
| 03:30 PM | 28 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 123 |
| 03:45 PM | 12 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 41 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| Total | 82 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 64 | 0 | 3 | 145 | 79 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 477 |
| 04:00 PM | 23 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 115 |
| 04:15 PM | 35 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| 04:30 PM | 26 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 |
| 04:45 PM | 19 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 29 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 |
| Total | 103 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 3 | 146 | 71 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 511 |


| 05:00 PM | 27 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 134 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 23 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 |
| 05:30 PM | 23 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 |
| 05:45 PM | 17 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 |
| Total | 90 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 73 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 484 |


| 06:00 PM | 16 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:15 PM | 17 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 |
| 06:30 PM | 16 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| 06:45 PM | 10 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| Total | 59 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 341 |


| 07:00 PM | 7 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 34 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:15 PM | 16 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| 07:30 PM | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| 07:45 PM | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| Total | 45 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 3 | 113 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 286 |
| 08:00 PM | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| 08:15 PM | 7 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| 08:30 PM | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 08:45 PM | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| Total | 23 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 6 | 66 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 209 |
| Grand Total | 1205 | 1100 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 17 | 896 | 0 | 38 | 1999 | 985 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6369 |
| Apprch \% | 52.3 | 47.7 | 0 | 0 | 7.7 | 1.7 | 90.6 | 0 | 1.3 | 66.1 | 32.6 | 0 | 92.5 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 1.9 |  |
| Total \% | 18.9 | 17.3 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 14.1 | 0 | 0.6 | 31.4 | 15.5 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lights | 887 | 649 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 6 | 512 | 0 | 14 | 1424 | 695 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4289 |
| \% Lights | 73.6 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 86.8 | 35.3 | 57.1 | 0 | 36.8 | 71.2 | 70.6 | 0 | 67.3 | 100 | 50 | 100 | 67.3 |
| Mediums | 318 | 451 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 11 | 384 | 0 | 24 | 575 | 290 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2080 |
| \% Mediums | 26.4 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 13.2 | 64.7 | 42.9 | 0 | 63.2 | 28.8 | 29.4 | 0 | 32.7 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 32.7 |
| HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## All Traffic Data Services,Inc.

9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net


|  | US 97 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | I-84 WB RAMPS <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | US 97 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | I-84 WB RAMPS Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 05:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 09:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 AM | 16 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 27 | 20 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 09:15 AM | 23 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 26 | 16 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 |
| 09:30 AM | 23 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 103 |
| 09:45 AM | 17 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 38 | 11 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 |
| Total Volume | 79 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 4 | 1 | 62 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 113 | 69 | 0 | 182 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 403 |
| \% App. Total | 52 | 48 | 0 | 0 |  | 6 | 1.5 | 92.5 | 0 |  | 0 | 62.1 | 37.9 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 859 | . 676 | . 000 | . 000 | . 760 | . 333 | . 250 | . 816 | . 000 | . 882 | . 000 | . 743 | . 784 | . 000 | . 929 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 950 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 11:30 AM

| 11:30 AM | 24 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 37 | 16 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:45 AM | 34 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 49 | 31 | 0 | 80 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 153 |
| 12:00 PM | 21 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 33 | 25 | 0 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 126 |
| 12:15 PM | 28 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 40 | 22 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 |
| Total Volume | 107 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 3 | 1 | 93 | 0 | 97 | 1 | 159 | 94 | 0 | 254 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 564 |
| \% App. Total | 51 | 49 | 0 | 0 |  | 3.1 | 1 | 95.9 | 0 |  | 0.4 | 62.6 | 37 | 0 |  | 66.7 | 0 | 33.3 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 787 | . 858 | 000 | 000 | . 972 | . 250 | . 250 | . 750 | . 000 | . 713 | . 250 | . 811 | 758 | 000 | . 794 | . 500 | 000 | . 250 | 000 | 375 | . 922 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 08:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 02:15 PM

| 02:15 PM | 22 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 58 | 25 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02:30 PM | 25 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 2 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 31 | 28 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 |
| 02:45 PM | 26 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 45 | 18 | 0 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 127 |
| 03:00 PM | 24 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 2 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 41 | 14 | 0 | 55 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 128 |
| Total Volume | 97 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 194 | 8 | 6 | 77 | 0 | 91 | 4 | 175 | 85 | 0 | 264 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 554 |
| \% App. Total | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 |  | 8.8 | 6.6 | 84.6 | 0 |  | 1.5 | 66.3 | 32.2 | 0 |  | 80 | 0 | 20 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 933 | 758 | 000 | 000 | . 851 | . 667 | 300 | . 917 | . 000 | . 813 | 500 | . 754 | . 759 | 000 | . 786 | . 500 | 000 | 250 | 000 | . 417 | . 899 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc. 9660 W 44th Ave Wheat Ridge,CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net
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All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#5 US97\&I84EBRAMPS
Site Code : 28022009
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | US 97 Southbound |  |  |  | I-84 EB RAMPS Westbound |  |  |  | US 97 <br> Northbound |  |  |  | I-84 EB RAMPS Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 05:00 AM | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 50 |
| 05:15 AM | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 50 |
| 05:30 AM | 0 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 65 |
| 05:45 AM | 0 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 61 |
| Total | 0 | 69 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 226 |
| 06:00 AM | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 57 |
| 06:15 AM | 0 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 87 |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 88 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 92 |
| Total | 0 | 62 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 324 |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 33 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 99 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 65 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 88 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 101 |
| Total | 0 | 79 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 102 | 0 | 2 | 57 | 3 | 60 | 2 | 353 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 101 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 119 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 100 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 97 |
| Total | 0 | 117 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 109 | 0 | 2 | 70 | 1 | 65 | 2 | 417 |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 112 |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 33 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 110 |
| 09:30 AM | 0 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 110 |
| 09:45 AM | 0 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 119 |
| Total | 0 | 130 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 74 | 2 | 451 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 126 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 134 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 105 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 114 |
| Total | 0 | 121 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 479 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 142 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 35 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 116 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 57 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 144 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 146 |
| Total | 0 | 163 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 64 | 155 | 0 | 1 | 71 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 548 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 45 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 138 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 57 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 159 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 35 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 128 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 48 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 172 |
| Total | 0 | 185 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 61 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 2 | 82 | 0 | 597 |
| 01:00 PM \| | 0 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \mid$ | 14 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 30 | 2 | 151 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#5 US97\&I84EBRAMPS Site Code : 28022009
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 2
Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | US 97 <br> Southbound |  |  |  | I-84 EB RAMPS Westbound |  |  |  | US 97 Northbound |  |  |  | I-84 EB RAMPS Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 55 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 152 |
| 01:30 PM | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 153 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 47 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 159 |
| Total | 0 | 172 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 156 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 119 | 5 | 615 |
| 02:00 PM | 0 | 33 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 34 | 0 | 153 |
| 02:15 PM | 0 | 45 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 158 |
| 02:30 PM | 0 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 145 |
| 02:45 PM | 0 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 136 |
| Total | 0 | 159 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 1 | 112 | 0 | 592 |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 41 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 151 |
| 03:15 PM | 0 | 42 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 128 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 121 |
| 03:45 PM | 0 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 133 |
| Total | 0 | 148 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 533 |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 34 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 135 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 144 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 48 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 139 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 51 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 134 |
| Total | 0 | 164 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 142 | 0 | 1 | 82 | 1 | 82 | 1 | 552 |


| $05: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 37 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 23 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 33 | 0 |
| $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 130 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 1 | 104 | 0 |


| 06:00 PM | 0 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 108 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $06: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 96 |
| $06: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 80 |
| $06: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 84 |
| Total | 0 | 107 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 368 |


| $07: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 95 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $07: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 78 |
| $07: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 82 |
| $07: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 61 |
| Total | 0 | 90 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 59 | 0 | 316 |


| 08:00 PM | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 PM | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 69 |
| 08:30 PM | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 65 |
| 08:45 PM | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 69 |
| Total | 0 | 84 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 2 | 34 | 0 | 275 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 1980 | 119 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 863 | 1946 | 0 | 6 | 1047 | 12 | 1166 | 12 | 7162 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 94.1 | 5.7 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 30.7 | 69.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 46.8 | 0.5 | 52.1 | 0.5 |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 27.6 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 12 | 27.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 14.6 | 0.2 | 16.3 | 0.2 |  |
| Lights | 0 | 1125 | 76 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 493 | 1267 | 0 | 6 | 698 | 9 | 893 | 12 | 4590 |
| \% Lights | 0 | 56.8 | 63.9 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 57.1 | 65.1 | 0 | 100 | 66.7 | 75 | 76.6 | 100 | 64.1 |
| Mediums | 0 | 855 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 370 | 679 | 0 | 0 | 349 | 3 | 273 | 0 | 2572 |
| \% Mediums | 0 | 43.2 | 36.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42.9 | 34.9 | 0 | 0 | 33.3 | 25 | 23.4 | 0 | 35.9 |
| HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## All Traffic Data Services,Inc.

9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net


|  | US 97 <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | I-84 EB RAMPS <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | US 97 <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  | I-84 EB RAMPS Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 05:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 09:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 32 | 3 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 20 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 36 | 112 |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 33 | 4 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 21 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 35 | 110 |
| 09:30 AM | 0 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 27 | 110 |
| 09:45 AM | 0 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 21 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 47 | 119 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 130 | 9 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 69 | 0 | 74 | 2 | 145 | 451 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 93.5 | 6.5 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 30.5 | 69.5 | 0 | 0 |  | 47.6 | 0 | 51 | 1.4 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 929 | . 563 | . 000 | . 939 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 708 | . 967 | . 000 | . 000 | . 888 | . 821 | . 000 | . 712 | . 250 | . 771 | . 947 |

Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 12:45 PM

| Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  | 2.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 48 | 1 | 4 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 29 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 56 | 172 |
| 01:00 PM | 0 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 21 | 0 | 30 | 2 | 53 | 151 |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 55 | 2 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 14 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 41 | 152 |
| 01:30 PM | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 15 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 59 | 153 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 173 | 4 | 4 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 84 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 79 | 1 | 125 | 4 | 209 | 628 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 95.6 | 2.2 | 2.2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  | 35.4 | 64.6 | 0 | 0 |  | 37.8 | 0.5 | 59.8 | 1.9 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 786 | . 500 | . 250 | . 794 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 250 | . 750 | . 850 | . 000 | . 000 | . 912 | . 681 | . 250 | . 710 | . 500 | . 886 | . 913 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 08:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 02:00 PM

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $02: 00 ~ P M ~$ | 0 | 33 | 4 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 23 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | 0 | 58 | 153 |
| $02: 15 ~ P M ~$ | 0 | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 3 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 51 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | 16 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 44 | $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ |
| $02: 30 ~ P M ~$ | 0 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 52 | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | 0 | 19 | 0 | 43 | 145 |
| $02: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 35 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 12 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 43 | 136 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 159 | 13 | 0 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 75 | 1 | 112 | 0 | 188 | 592 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 92.4 | 7.6 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 28.9 | 71.1 | 0 | 0 |  | 39.9 | 0.5 | 59.6 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | .000 | .883 | .650 | .000 | .860 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .798 | .809 | .000 | .000 | .879 | .781 | .250 | .824 | .000 | .810 | .937 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc. 9660 W 44th Ave Wheat Ridge,CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net
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All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#6 US97NBRAMPS\&OR206
Site Code $: 48094$
Start Date $: 10 / 21 / 2014$
Page No $: 1$

Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | US 97 NB RAMPS Southbound |  |  |  | OR 206 <br> Westbound |  |  |  | US 97 NB RAMPS <br> Northbound |  |  |  | OR 206 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 05:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 05:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 05:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 05:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 |


| 06:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 20 |


| $07: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| $07: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| $07: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 32 |


| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $08: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $08: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| $08: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 |


| $09: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| $09: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| $09: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 35 |


| 10:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 26 |


| $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| $11: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 23 |


| 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 01:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

All Traffic Data Services, Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

> File Name : \#6 US97NBRAMPS\&OR206 Site Code $: 48094$
> Start Date $: 10 / 21 / 2014$
> Page No $: 2$

Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | US 97 NB RAMPS Southbound |  |  |  | OR 206 Westbound |  |  |  | US 97 NB RAMPS Northbound |  |  |  | OR 206 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 01:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 02:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 02:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 02:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 02:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 03:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 03:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 43 |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 06:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 06:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 06:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 06:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 07:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 07:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 07:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 07:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 08:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 08:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| 08:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 200 | 5 | 0 | 477 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 28 | 60 | 0 | 0.5 | 97.1 | 2.4 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 33.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 41.9 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Lights | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 182 | 5 | 0 | 430 |
| \% Lights | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80.2 | 96.2 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 42.9 | 93.3 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 100 | 0 | 90.1 |
| Mediums | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| \% Mediums | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19.8 | 3.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57.1 | 6.7 | 0 | 100 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9.9 |
| HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## All Traffic Data Services,Inc.

9660 W 44th Ave Wheat Ridge,CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net


|  | US 97 NB RAMPS Southbound |  |  |  |  | OR 206 <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | US 97 NB RAMPS Northbound |  |  |  |  | OR 206 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 05:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 08:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 43 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 26.9 | 73.1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 583 | . 792 | . 000 | . 000 | . 813 | . 000 | . 000 | . 375 | . 000 | . 375 | . 000 | . 583 | . 000 | . 000 | . 583 | 896 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 10:00 AM

| 10:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 12 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 26 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 35.7 | 64.3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 90.9 | 9.1 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 417 | . 450 | . 000 | . 000 | . 583 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 500 | . 250 | . 000 | . 458 | . 542 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#6 US97NBRAMPS\&OR206
Site Code : 48094
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 5


Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 08:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 02:45 PM

| 02:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 03:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 17 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 16 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 53 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 41.7 | 58.3 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 55.6 | 44.4 | 0 |  | 0 | 95 | 5 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 833 | . 700 | . 000 | . 000 | 750 | . 000 | . 417 | . 500 | 000 | . 450 | . 000 | 594 | 250 | 000 | 625 | . 779 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc. 9660 W 44th Ave Wheat Ridge,CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#6 US97NBRAMPS\&OR206
Site Code : 48094
Start Date : 10/21/2014
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All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#7 US97SBRAMPS\&OR206
Site Code : 48098
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | US 97 SB RAMPS <br> Southbound |  |  |  | OR 206 Westbound |  |  |  | US 97 SB RAMPS <br> Northbound |  |  |  | OR 206 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 05:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 05:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 05:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |


| 06:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 17 |


| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 26 |


| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 08:30 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 31 |


| 09:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 09:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 09:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 31 |


| 10:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 20 |


| 11:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 19 |


| $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#7 US97SBRAMPS\&OR206
Site Code : 48098
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 2

|  | US 97 SB RAMPS <br> Southbound |  |  |  | OR 206 <br> Westbound |  |  |  | US 97 SB RAMPS Northbound |  |  |  | OR 206 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 01:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 02:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 02:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 02:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 02:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 03:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 03:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 06:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 06:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 06:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 06:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| 07:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 07:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 07:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 07:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 08:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 08:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 08:30 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Grand Total | 3 | 2 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 394 |
| Apprch \% | 6.2 | 4.2 | 89.6 | 0 | 0 | 95.4 | 4.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 93 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 0.8 | 0.5 | 10.9 | 0 | 0 | 42.1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 40.6 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lights | 3 | 1 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 368 |
| \% Lights | 100 | 50 | 79.1 | 0 | 0 | 96.4 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 93.4 |
| Mediums | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| \% Mediums | 0 | 50 | 20.9 | 0 | 0 | 3.6 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6.6 |
| HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## All Traffic Data Services,Inc.

9660 W 44th Ave Wheat Ridge,CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net


|  | US 97 SB RAMPS Southbound |  |  |  |  | OR 206 Westbound |  |  |  |  | US 97 SB RAMPS Northbound |  |  |  |  | OR 206 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 05:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 08:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 |
| 08:30 AM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Total Volume | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 35 |
| \% App. Total | 16.7 | 0 | 83.3 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 250 | . 000 | . 417 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 875 | . 000 | . 000 | . 875 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 400 | . 000 | . 000 | . 400 | . 875 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 11:45 AM

| 11:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 27 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 12.5 | 87.5 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 18.2 | 81.8 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 250 | . 583 | 000 | . 667 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 750 | . 000 | . 000 | . 917 | . 675 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 08:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 05:45 PM

| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 |
| 06:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| 06:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 45 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 93.3 | 6.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 3.7 | 96.3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 583 | . 250 | . 000 | . 625 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 542 | . 000 | . 000 | . 563 | . 865 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc. 9660 W 44th Ave Wheat Ridge,CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net
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All Traffic Data Services, Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name: \#8 CLARK\&OLDWASCO
Site Code : 48118
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | CLARK ST Southbound |  |  |  | OR 206 / OLD WASCOHEPNER Westbound |  |  |  | CLARK ST Northbound |  |  |  | OR 206 / OLD WASCOHEPNER Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 05:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 05:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 05:30 AM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 05:45 AM | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Total | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 06:00 AM | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 06:15 AM | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 06:45 AM | 1 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Total | 2 | 27 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 77 |


| $07: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 17 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $07: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| $07: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 30 |
| $07: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| Total | 2 | 35 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 92 |


| 08:00 AM | 1 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 46 |
| 08:30 AM | 4 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| 08:45 AM | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Total | 6 | 40 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 24 | 14 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 129 |


| $09: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $09: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| $09: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| $09: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| Total | 1 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 33 | 16 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 104 |


| 10:00 AM | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10:15 AM | 1 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 33 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 |
| 10:45 AM | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| Total | 2 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 33 | 11 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 112 |
| 11:00 AM | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 33 |
| 11:30 AM | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 28 |
| 11:45 AM | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 40 |
| Total | 3 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 38 | 5 | 18 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 135 |


| $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 21 |
| Total | 2 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 23 | 9 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 104 |

All Traffic Data Services, Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#8 CLARK\&OLDWASCO
Site Code $: 48118$
Start Date $: 10 / 21 / 2014$
Page No $: 2$
Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | CLARK ST Southbound |  |  |  | OR 206 / OLD WASCOHEPNER Westbound |  |  |  | CLARK ST Northbound |  |  |  | OR 206 / OLD WASCOHEPNER Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 01:00 PM | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 23 |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| 01:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| Total | 0 | 39 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 13 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 102 |
| 02:00 PM | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 02:15 PM | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 33 |
| 02:30 PM | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 02:45 PM | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Total | 2 | 41 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 17 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 120 |
| 03:00 PM | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 03:15 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 03:30 PM | 1 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 45 |
| 03:45 PM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 29 |
| Total | 3 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 36 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 131 |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 38 |
| 04:15 PM | 1 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 40 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Total | 1 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 52 | 20 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 152 |


| $05: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 42 |
| $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 29 |
| $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 37 |
| Total | 2 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 36 | 26 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 148 |


| 06:00 PM | 2 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:15 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 21 |
| 06:30 PM | 1 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 27 |
| 06:45 PM | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| Total | 4 | 36 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 8 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 109 |


| $07: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $07: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| $07: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| $07: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| Total | 1 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 38 |


| 08:00 PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| 08:30 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 08:45 PM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Total | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 36 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Grand Total | 35 | 498 | 29 | 4 | 27 | 35 | 49 | 5 | 55 | 414 | 176 | 37 | 172 | 35 | 21 | 18 |
| Apprch \% | 6.2 | 88 | 5.1 | 0.7 | 23.3 | 30.2 | 42.2 | 4.3 | 8.1 | 60.7 | 25.8 | 5.4 | 69.9 | 14.2 | 8.5 | 7.3 |
| Total \% | 2.2 | 30.9 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 3 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 25.7 | 10.9 | 2.3 | 10.7 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Lights | 35 | 444 | 24 | 3 | 23 | 26 | 47 | 5 | 47 | 364 | 163 | 37 | 161 | 29 | 20 | 17 |
| Lights | 100 | 89.2 | 82.8 | 75 | 85.2 | 74.3 | 95.9 | 100 | 85.5 | 87.9 | 92.6 | 100 | 93.6 | 82.9 | 95.2 | 94.4 |
| Mediums | 0 | 54 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 09.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mediums | 0 | 10.8 | 17.2 | 0 | 14.8 | 25.7 | 4.1 | 0 | 14 | 50 | 13 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 0 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#8 CLARK\&OLDWASCO
Site Code : 48118
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 3

|  | CLARK ST Southbound |  |  |  | OR 206 / OLD WASCOHEPNER Westbound |  |  |  | CLARK ST Northbound |  |  |  | OR 206 / OLD WASCOHEPNER Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| \% HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.6 | 0.1 |



All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name: \#8 CLARK\&OLDWASCO
Site Code : 48118
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 4

|  | CLARK ST Southbound |  |  |  |  | OR 206 / OLD WASCOHEPNER Westbound |  |  |  |  | CLARK ST Northbound |  |  |  |  | OR 206 / OLD WASCOHEPNER Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 05:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:45 AM

| 07:45 AM | 1 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:00 AM | 1 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 30 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 46 |
| 08:30 AM | 4 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 32 |
| Total Volume | 6 | 43 | 4 | 2 | 55 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 27 | 12 | 1 | 45 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 132 |
| \% App. Total | 10.9 | 78.2 | 7.3 | 3.6 |  | 23.1 | 30.8 | 46.2 | 0 |  | 11.1 | 60 | 26.7 | 2.2 |  | 47.4 | 47.4 | 5.3 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 375 | . 827 | . 500 | . 500 | . 809 | . 750 | 1.00 | . 500 | . 000 | . 650 | . 250 | . 519 | . 600 | . 250 | . 563 | . 750 | . 450 | . 250 | . 000 | . 594 | . 717 |



All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name: \#8 CLARK\&OLDWASCO
Site Code : 48118
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 5

|  | CLARK ST Southbound |  |  |  |  | OR 206 / OLD WASCOHEPNER <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | CLARK ST Northbound |  |  |  |  | OR 206 / OLD WASCOHEPNER Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 11:00 AM

| 11:00 AM | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 33 |
| 11:30 AM | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 28 |
| 11:45 AM | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 40 |
| Total Volume | 3 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 38 | 5 | 18 | 69 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 18 | 135 |
| \% App. Total | 7.7 | 92.3 | 0 | 0 |  | 11.1 | 33.3 | 33.3 | 22.2 |  | 11.6 | 55.1 | 7.2 | 26.1 |  | 61.1 | 11.1 | 16.7 | 11.1 |  |  |
| PHF | . 750 | . 818 | . 000 | 000 | . 813 | . 250 | . 750 | . 750 | . 250 | . 750 | . 333 | . 950 | . 625 | . 750 | . 750 | . 688 | . 500 | . 375 | . 250 | . 750 | . 844 |



All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name: \#8 CLARK\&OLDWASCO
Site Code : 48118
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 6

|  | CLARK ST Southbound |  |  |  |  | OR 206 / OLD WASCOHEPNER <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | CLARK ST Northbound |  |  |  |  | OR 206 / OLD WASCOHEPNER Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 08:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM

| 04:30 PM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 33 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 40 |
| 05:15 PM | 1 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 42 |
| Total Volume | 1 | 39 | 1 | 0 | 41 | 3 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 23 | 3 | 45 | 21 | 0 | 69 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 22 | 155 |
| \% App. Total | 2.4 | 95.1 | 2.4 | 0 |  | 13 | 47.8 | 39.1 | 0 |  | 4.3 | 65.2 | 30.4 | 0 |  | 59.1 | 22.7 | 13.6 | 4.5 |  |  |
| PHF | . 250 | . 750 | . 250 | 000 | . 788 | . 375 | . 458 | . 563 | . 000 | . 575 | . 375 | . 804 | . 750 | . 000 | . 863 | . 406 | . 417 | . 375 | . 250 | . 688 | . 923 |



All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#9 CLARK\&OR206
Site Code : 48121
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | CLARK ST Southbound |  |  |  | OR 206 Westbound |  |  |  | CLARK ST Northbound |  |  |  | OR 206 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 05:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 05:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 05:30 AM | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 05:45 AM | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Total | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 06:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 06:15 AM | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Total | 0 | 9 | 24 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Total | 0 | 26 | 23 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Total | 0 | 19 | 27 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 09:30 AM | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 09:45 AM | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Total | 0 | 17 | 19 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Total | 0 | 16 | 22 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| Total | 0 | 17 | 24 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Total | 0 | 29 | 27 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 |
| 01:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |

All Traffic Data Services, Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#9 CLARK\&OR206 Site Code : 48121
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 2
Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | CLARK ST Southbound |  |  |  | OR 206 Westbound |  |  |  | CLARK ST Northbound |  |  |  | OR 206 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 01:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Total | 0 | 16 | 21 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| 02:00 PM | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 02:15 PM | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 02:30 PM | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 02:45 PM | 0 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Total | 0 | 20 | 35 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110 |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 03:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 03:45 PM | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| Total | 0 | 26 | 20 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| Total | 0 | 25 | 33 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| Total | 0 | 34 | 17 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 |


| $06: 00 ~ P M$ | 0 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $06: 15 ~ P M$ | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| $06: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| $06: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Total | 0 | 27 | 15 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 |


| $07: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $07: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $07: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| $07: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total | 0 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |


| 08:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 08:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 08:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 303 | 325 | 1 | 330 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 10 | 318 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1312 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 48.2 | 51.7 | 0.2 | 94.8 | 0 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 3 | 94.9 | 0 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 23.1 | 24.8 | 0.1 | 25.2 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 24.2 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lights | 0 | 273 | 281 | 0 | 278 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 297 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1160 |
| \% Lights | 0 | 90.1 | 86.5 | 0 | 84.2 | 0 | 91.7 | 100 | 80 | 93.4 | 0 | 85.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88.4 |
| Mediums | 0 | 30 | 44 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 |
| \% Mediums | 0 | 9.9 | 13.5 | 0 | 15.8 | 0 | 8.3 | 0 | 20 | 6.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11.4 |
| HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 |
| \% HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 |

## All Traffic Data Services,Inc.

9660 W 44th Ave Wheat Ridge,CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net


|  | CLARK ST Southbound |  |  |  |  | OR 206 Westbound |  |  |  |  | CLARK ST Northbound |  |  |  |  | OR 206 Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 05:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 18 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 26 | 32 | 1 | 59 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 44.1 | 54.2 | 1.7 |  | 91.3 | 0 | 8.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 95.7 | 0 | 4.3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 722 | . 800 | . 250 | . 819 | . 656 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 719 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 250 | . 523 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | 709 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 11:45 AM

| 11:45 AM | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 26 | 28 | 0 | 54 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 3 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 48.1 | 51.9 | 0 |  | 95 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | 10.3 | 89.7 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 591 | . 778 | . 000 | . 675 | . 679 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 714 | . 375 | . 591 | . 000 | . 000 | . 659 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | 000 | . 000 | . 831 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 08:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:00 PM

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $04: 00 ~ P M ~$ | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 14 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| $04: 15 ~ P M ~$ | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| $04: 30 ~ P M ~$ | 0 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{3 8}$ |
| $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 25 | 33 | 0 | 58 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 46 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 43.1 | 56.9 | 0 |  | 97.8 | 0 | 0 | 2.2 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | .000 | .521 | .825 | .000 | .806 | .804 | .000 | .000 | .250 | .767 | .000 | .900 | .000 | .000 | .900 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .921 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc. 9660 W 44th Ave Wheat Ridge,CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name: \#9 CLARK\&OR206
Site Code : 48121
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 6


All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name: \#10 JOHNDAY\&I84WBRAMPS Site Code : 48125
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | JOHN DAY DAM RD Southbound |  |  |  | I-84 WB RAMPS Westbound |  |  |  | JOHN DAY DAM RD Northbound |  |  |  | I-84 WB RAMPS Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 05:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 05:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 05:30 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 05:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Total | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 06:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 06:15 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Total | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Total | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 08:15 AM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 17 |
| 08:45 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Total | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 57 |
| 09:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 09:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 09:30 AM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 09:45 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Total | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 |
| 10:00 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 10:15 AM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 |
| 10:30 AM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 56 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 11:30 AM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Total | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| 12:00 PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 12:15 PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Total | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| 01:00 PM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name: \#10 JOHNDAY\&I84WBRAMPS Site Code : 48125
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 2

|  | JOHN DAY DAM RD Southbound |  |  |  | I-84 WB RAMPS Westbound |  |  |  | JOHN DAY DAM RD Northbound |  |  |  | I-84 WB RAMPS Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 01:30 PM | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 01:45 PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Total | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| 02:00 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 02:15 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 02:30 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 02:45 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Total | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| 03:00 PM | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| 03:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 03:30 PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 03:45 PM | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Total | 12 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| 04:00 PM | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 04:15 PM | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 04:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 04:45 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Total | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| 05:00 PM | 21 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 45 |
| 05:15 PM | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 05:30 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Total | 23 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 89 |
| 06:00 PM | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 06:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 06:30 PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 06:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Total | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| 07:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 07:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 07:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 07:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 08:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 08:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 08:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Grand Total | 82 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 26 | 236 | 5 | 2 | 129 | 238 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 832 |
| Apprch \% | 49.7 | 50.3 | 0 | 0 | 9.2 | 8.8 | 80.3 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 34.9 | 64.3 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  |
| Total \% | 9.9 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 28.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 15.5 | 28.6 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 |  |
| Lights | 75 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 15 | 174 | 2 | 2 | 125 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 698 |
| \% Lights | 91.5 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 88.9 | 57.7 | 73.7 | 40 | 100 | 96.9 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 83.9 |
| Mediums | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 |
| \% Mediums | 8.5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 11.1 | 42.3 | 26.3 | 0 | 0 | 3.1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.6 |
| HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| \% HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |

## All Traffic Data Services,Inc.

9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net


|  | JOHN DAY DAM RD Southbound |  |  |  |  | I-84 WB RAMPS <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | JOHN DAY DAM RD Northbound |  |  |  |  | I-84 WB RAMPS Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 05:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 05:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:30 AM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 05:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 06:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 06:15 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Total Volume | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 38 | 17 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| \% App. Total | 57.1 | 42.9 | 0 | 0 |  | 33.3 | 0 | 66.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 69.1 | 30.9 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 500 | . 750 | . 000 | . 000 | . 875 | . 375 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 750 | . 000 | . 528 | . 708 | . 000 | . 655 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 683 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 01:00 PM

| $01: 00 ~ P M ~$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $01: 15 ~ P M ~$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | $\mathbf{8}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| $01: 30 ~ P M ~$ | 1 | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 4}$ |
| $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Total Volume | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 25 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 14 | 20 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| \% App. Total | 30.8 | 69.2 | 0 | 0 |  | 3.4 | 6.9 | 86.2 | 3.4 |  | 0 | 41.2 | 58.8 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | .500 | .563 | .000 | .000 | .650 | .250 | .500 | .694 | .250 | .659 | .000 | .700 | .625 | .000 | .708 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .000 | .792 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 08:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM

| 04:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:45 PM | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 05:00 PM | 21 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 45 |
| 05:15 PM | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Total Volume | 27 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 92 |
| \% App. Total | 61.4 | 38.6 | 0 | 0 |  | 10 | 0 | 90 | 0 |  | 0 | 14.8 | 85.2 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  |  |
| PHF | . 321 | . 327 | 000 | . 000 | . 324 | . 500 | . 000 | 563 | 000 | . 625 | . 000 | . 500 | . 821 | 000 | 750 | . 000 | . 000 | 000 | . 250 | . 250 | . 511 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc. 9660 W 44th Ave Wheat Ridge,CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name: \#10 JOHNDAY\&I84WBRAMPS
Site Code : 48125
Start Date : 10/21/2014
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All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#11 JOHNDAY\&I84EBRAMPS
Site Code : 48140
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | JOHN DAY DAM RD Southbound |  |  |  | I-84 EB RAMPS Westbound |  |  |  | JOHN DAY DAM RD Northbound |  |  |  | I-84 EB RAMPS Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 05:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 05:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| 05:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 |
| 05:45 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 17 |
| Total | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 39 |
| 06:00 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 30 |
| 06:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 25 |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 13 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 |
| Total | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 87 |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 17 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| Total | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 47 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 17 |
| Total | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 76 |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| 09:30 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 09:45 AM | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Total | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 57 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 17 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 15 |
| Total | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 68 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Total | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 62 |
| 12:00 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 15 |
| Total | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 65 |
| 01:00 PM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 22 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#11 JOHNDAY\&I84EBRAMPS Site Code : 48140
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No :2
Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | JOHN DAY DAM RD Southbound |  |  |  | I-84 EB RAMPS Westbound |  |  |  | JOHN DAY DAM RD Northbound |  |  |  | I-84 EB RAMPS Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 |
| 01:30 PM | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 24 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| Total | 0 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 86 |
| 02:00 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
| 02:15 PM | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 02:30 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 02:45 PM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Total | 0 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 75 |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 31 |
| 03:15 PM | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 03:45 PM | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Total | 2 | 35 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 94 |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 04:30 PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Total | 1 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 20 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
| Total | 0 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 103 |
| 06:00 PM | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 06:15 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 06:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 |
| 06:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Total | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 55 |
| 07:00 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 07:15 PM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 07:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 07:45 PM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Total | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 08:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 08:15 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 08:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 08:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Grand Total | 4 | 303 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 188 | 297 | 0 | 1 | 170 | 4 | 71 | 1 | 1056 |
| Apprch \% | 1.2 | 94.7 | 4.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 38.7 | 61.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 69.1 | 1.6 | 28.9 | 0.4 |  |
| Total \% | 0.4 | 28.7 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 17.8 | 28.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 16.1 | 0.4 | 6.7 | 0.1 |  |
| Lights | 2 | 235 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 126 | 261 | 0 | 1 | 146 | 3 | 66 | 1 | 857 |
| \% Lights | 50 | 77.6 | 92.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 67 | 87.9 | 0 | 100 | 85.9 | 75 | 93 | 100 | 81.2 |
| Mediums | 2 | 68 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 199 |
| \% Mediums | 50 | 22.4 | 7.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 12.1 | 0 | 0 | 14.1 | 25 | 7 | 0 | 18.8 |
| HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## All Traffic Data Services,Inc.

9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net


|  | JOHN DAY DAM RD Southbound |  |  |  |  | I-84 EB RAMPS Westbound |  |  |  |  | JOHN DAY DAM RD Northbound |  |  |  |  | I-84 EB RAMPS Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 05:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 06:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 AM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 30 |
| 06:15 AM | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 25 |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 13 |
| 06:45 AM | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 19 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 17 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 39 | 87 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 91.7 | 8.3 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 36.1 | 63.9 | 0 | 0 |  | 43.6 | 0 | 56.4 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 688 | . 250 | . 000 | . 600 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 813 | . 575 | . 000 | . 000 | . 692 | . 850 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 750 | . 725 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 01:00 PM

| 01:00 PM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 24 |
| 01:30 PM | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 24 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 16 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 86 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 97.1 | 2.9 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 25 | 75 | 0 | 0 |  | 50 | 6.2 | 43.8 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 635 | 250 | 000 | . 654 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 563 | 614 | 000 | 000 | . 600 | 500 | 250 | . 583 | 000 | . 800 | . 896 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 08:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM

| 04:45 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 33 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 30 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 20 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 104 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 94.1 | 5.9 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |  | 32.6 | 67.4 | 0 | 0 |  | 88.5 | 3.8 | 7.7 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 533 | . 500 | 000 | . 531 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 250 | . 700 | . 806 | . 000 | . 000 | . 896 | . 958 | . 250 | . 250 | . 000 | . 813 | . 788 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc. 9660 W 44th Ave Wheat Ridge,CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#11 JOHNDAY\&I84EBRAMPS
Site Code : 48140
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 6


All Traffic Data Services, Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#12 US97\&OR216
Site Code : 48189
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | US 97 / MILL ST Southbound |  |  |  | OR 216 / KRUSOW ST Westbound |  |  |  | US 97 / MILL ST Northbound |  |  |  | OR 216 / KRUSOW ST Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 05:00 AM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 05:15 AM | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 05:30 AM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| 05:45 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Total | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 46 |


| 06:00 AM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 06:15 AM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 |
| 06:30 AM | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| $06: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 15 |
| Total | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 52 |


| $07: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $07: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 15 |
| $07: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 28 |
| $07: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 28 |
| Total | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 88 |


| 08:00 AM | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 20 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 24 |
| $08: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 23 |
| Total | 2 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 91 |


| 09:00 AM | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09:15 AM | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 09:30 AM | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 37 |
| 09:45 AM | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 35 |
| Total | 5 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 141 |


| 10:00 AM | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 10:30 AM | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 37 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 40 |
| Total | 4 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 138 |


| 11:00 AM | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:15 AM | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 43 |
| 11:45 AM | 2 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 38 |
| Total | 6 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 156 |


| 12:00 PM | 1 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| 12:30 PM | 2 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 45 |
| 12:45 PM | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 36 |
| Total | 4 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 159 |
| 01:00 PM | 1 | 24 | 0 | $0 \mid$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | $0 \mid$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#12 US97\&OR216 Site Code : 48189
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 2
Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | US 97 / MILL ST Southbound |  |  |  | OR 216 / KRUSOW ST Westbound |  |  |  | US 97 / MILL ST Northbound |  |  |  | OR 216 / KRUSOW ST Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 01:15 PM | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 35 |
| 01:30 PM | 3 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 46 |
| 01:45 PM | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| Total | 6 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 170 |
| 02:00 PM | 3 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 44 |
| 02:15 PM | 1 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 58 |
| 02:30 PM | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 43 |
| 02:45 PM | 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 46 |
| Total | 8 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 191 |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 40 |
| 03:15 PM | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 45 |
| 03:30 PM | 1 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 |
| 03:45 PM | 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| Total | 4 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 161 |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| 04:15 PM | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| Total | 2 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151 |


| 05:00 PM | 3 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 41 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 2 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 41 |
| 05:30 PM | 2 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| 05:45 PM | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 49 |
| Total | 8 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 168 |


| 06:00 PM | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:15 PM | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 06:30 PM | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 39 |
| 06:45 PM | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| Total | 2 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 118 |


| 07:00 PM | 2 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:15 PM | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 07:30 PM | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| 07:45 PM | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Total | 3 | 56 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 111 |


| 08:00 PM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 PM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 08:30 PM | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 08:45 PM | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| Total | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87 |
| Grand Total | 54 | 1074 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 849 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 2028 |
| Apprch \% | 4.8 | 95.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.6 | 0.4 | 0 | 4.3 | 0 | 95.7 | 0 |  |
| Total \% | 2.7 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41.9 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 2.2 | 0 |  |
| Lights | 41 | 503 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 475 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 1062 |
| \% Lights | 75.9 | 46.8 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55.9 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 82.2 | 0 | 52.4 |
| Mediums | 13 | 571 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 374 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 966 |
| \% Mediums | 24.1 | 53.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17.8 | 0 | 47.6 |
| HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \% HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## All Traffic Data Services,Inc.

9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net


|  | US 97 / MILL ST Southbound |  |  |  |  | OR 216 / KRUSOW ST Westbound |  |  |  |  | US 97 / MILL ST Northbound |  |  |  |  | OR 216 / KRUSOW ST Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 05:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 09:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 AM | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 33 |
| 09:15 AM | 2 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 09:30 AM | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 37 |
| 09:45 AM | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 35 |
| Total Volume | 5 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 141 |
| \% App. Total | 5.9 | 94.1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 98 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 625 | . 909 | . 000 | . 000 | . 885 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 875 | . 250 | . 000 | . 893 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 500 | . 953 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 11:15 AM

| 11:15 AM | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 43 |
| 11:45 AM | 2 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 38 |
| 12:00 PM | 1 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 41 |
| Total Volume | 4 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 170 |
| \% App. Total | 4.6 | 95.4 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 20 | 0 | 80 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 500 | . 902 | . 000 | 000 | . 870 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 629 | . 000 | 000 | . 629 | . 250 | . 000 | . 500 | 000 | . 417 | 885 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 08:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 02:00 PM

| 02:00 PM | 3 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02:15 PM | 1 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 58 |
| 02:30 PM | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 43 |
| 02:45 PM | 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 46 |
| Total Volume | 8 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 191 |
| \% App. Total | 7.4 | 92.6 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 20 | 0 | 80 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 667 | . 926 | . 000 | 000 | . 964 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 672 | . 000 | . 000 | . 672 | . 250 | . 000 | 1.00 | . 000 | . 625 | . 823 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc. 9660 W 44th Ave Wheat Ridge,CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#12 US97\&OR216
Site Code : 48189
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 6


All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#13 US97\&1ST
Site Code : 48221
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | US 97 / MAIN ST Southbound |  |  |  | LONE ROCK RD / 1ST ST Westbound |  |  |  | US 97 / MAIN ST Northbound |  |  |  | LONE ROCK RD / 1ST ST Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 05:00 AM | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 05:15 AM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 |
| 05:30 AM | 1 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 05:45 AM | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Total | 2 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 61 |


| 06:00 AM | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 06:15 AM | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 06:30 AM | 1 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| $06: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| Total | 2 | 29 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 89 |


| 07:00 AM | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 45 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 54 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 28 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 66 |
| Total | 0 | 82 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 190 |


| 08:00 AM | 0 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 55 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 51 |
| 08:30 AM | 4 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 43 |
| Total | 5 | 63 | 12 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 59 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 193 |


| 09:00 AM | 1 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 09:15 AM | 1 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| 09:30 AM | 1 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| $09: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 44 |
| Total | 3 | 87 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 56 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 189 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10:00 AM | 2 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 58 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 21 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 70 |
| 10:30 AM | 1 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 42 |
| $10: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 49 |
| Total | 4 | 76 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 76 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 219 |


| 11:00 AM | 2 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:15 AM | 2 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 47 |
| 11:30 AM | 6 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 32 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 85 |
| 11:45 AM | 3 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| Total | 13 | 91 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 91 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 259 |


| 12:00 PM | 4 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:15 PM | 1 | 28 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 60 |
| 12:30 PM | 1 | 23 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 26 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 79 |
| 12:45 PM | 1 | 29 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 63 |
| Total | 7 | 105 | 16 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 79 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 270 |
| 01:00 PM | 1 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 1 | $0 \mid$ | 1 | 23 | 3 | $0 \mid$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | $2 \mid$ | 71 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc.
9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#13 US97\&1ST
Site Code : 48221
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 2
Groups Printed- Lights - Mediums - HV

|  | US 97 / MAIN ST Southbound |  |  |  | LONE ROCK RD / 1ST ST Westbound |  |  |  | US 97 / MAIN ST Northbound |  |  |  | LONE ROCK RD / 1ST ST Eastbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Int. Total |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 26 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 63 |
| 01:30 PM | 3 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 01:45 PM | 2 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 70 |
| Total | 6 | 109 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 79 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 272 |
| 02:00 PM | 0 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| 02:15 PM | 0 | 32 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 77 |
| 02:30 PM | 0 | 29 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 64 |
| 02:45 PM | 1 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 64 |
| Total | 1 | 121 | 10 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 89 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 264 |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 32 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 63 |
| 03:15 PM | 2 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 61 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 21 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 27 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 66 |
| 03:45 PM | 1 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 29 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| Total | 3 | 94 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 103 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 259 |
| 04:00 PM | 1 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 61 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 62 |
| Total | 1 | 98 | 20 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 10 | 93 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 256 |


| 05:00 PM | 1 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 3 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 78 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 67 |
| 05:45 PM | 2 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| Total | 6 | 136 | 11 | 0 | 24 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 60 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 277 |


| 06:00 PM | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 33 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:15 PM | 1 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 44 |
| 06:30 PM | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 |
| 06:45 PM | 1 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| Total | 3 | 65 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 78 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 184 |


| 07:00 PM | 0 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:15 PM | 1 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 07:30 PM | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| 07:45 PM | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| Total | 1 | 61 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 145 |


| 08:00 PM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 PM | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| 08:30 PM | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| 08:45 PM | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| Total | 0 | 63 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 111 |
| Grand Total | 57 | 1308 | 145 | 3 | 160 | 50 | 77 | 20 | 84 | 1069 | 40 | 37 | 59 | 53 | 41 | 35 | 3238 |
| Apprch \% | 3.8 | 86.5 | 9.6 | 0.2 | 52.1 | 16.3 | 25.1 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 86.9 | 3.3 | 3 | 31.4 | 28.2 | 21.8 | 18.6 |  |
| Total \% | 1.8 | 40.4 | 4.5 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 33 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.1 |  |
| Lights | 53 | 726 | 122 | 3 | 136 | 49 | 67 | 20 | 77 | 669 | 39 | 36 | 56 | 52 | 40 | 35 | 2180 |
| \% Lights | 93 | 55.5 | 84.1 | 100 | 85 | 98 | 87 | 100 | 91.7 | 62.6 | 97.5 | 97.3 | 94.9 | 98.1 | 97.6 | 100 | 67.3 |
| Mediums | 4 | 582 | 23 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 400 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1057 |
| \% Mediums | 7 | 44.5 | 15.9 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 8.3 | 37.4 | 2.5 | 0 | 5.1 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 0 | 32.6 |
| HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \% HV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## All Traffic Data Services,Inc.

9660 W 44th Ave
Wheat Ridge,CO 80033
www.alltrafficdata.net


|  | US 97 / MAIN ST Southbound |  |  |  |  | LONE ROCK RD / 1ST ST Westbound |  |  |  |  | US 97 / MAIN ST Northbound |  |  |  |  | LONE ROCK RD / 1ST ST Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 05:00 AM to 09:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 54 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 28 | 6 | 0 | 34 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 66 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 18 | 1 | 2 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 55 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 51 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 83 | 12 | 0 | 95 | 15 | 7 | 10 | 0 | 32 | 14 | 64 | 3 | 3 | 84 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 226 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 87.4 | 12.6 | 0 |  | 46.9 | 21.9 | 31.2 | 0 |  | 16.7 | 76.2 | 3.6 | 3.6 |  | 40 | 26.7 | 13.3 | 20 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 741 | . 500 | . 000 | . 699 | . 750 | . 438 | . 625 | . 000 | . 667 | . 583 | . 889 | . 750 | . 375 | . 778 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 | . 750 | . 536 | . 856 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 10:00 AM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 12:30 PM

| 12:30 PM | 1 | 23 | 4 | 2 | 30 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 26 | 3 | 3 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:45 PM | 1 | 29 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 63 |
| 01:00 PM | 1 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 71 |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 26 | 4 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 63 |
| Total Volume | 3 | 102 | 16 | 2 | 123 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 27 | 6 | 88 | 6 | 5 | 105 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 21 | 276 |
| \% App. Total | 2.4 | 82.9 | 13 | 1.6 |  | 40.7 | 25.9 | 11.1 | 22.2 |  | 5.7 | 83.8 | 5.7 | 4.8 |  | 14.3 | 23.8 | 38.1 | 23.8 |  |  |
| PHF | . 750 | . 879 | 800 | 250 | . 879 | . 688 | . 350 | . 750 | . 500 | . 675 | . 750 | . 846 | . 500 | 417 | . 772 | . 750 | . 625 | . 500 | . 625 | . 875 | . 873 |



Peak Hour Analysis From 02:00 PM to 08:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM

| 04:45 PM | 0 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 45 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 79 |
| 05:15 PM | 3 | 33 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 78 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 36 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 67 |
| Total Volume | 4 | 134 | 13 | 0 | 151 | 28 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 37 | 14 | 66 | 3 | 1 | 84 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 14 | 286 |
| \% App. Total | 2.6 | 88.7 | 8.6 | 0 |  | 75.7 | 5.4 | 18.9 | 0 |  | 16.7 | 78.6 | 3.6 | 1.2 |  | 35.7 | 21.4 | 28.6 | 14.3 |  |  |
| PHF | . 333 | . 798 | 650 | 000 | . 839 | . 583 | 500 | . 583 | . 000 | . 617 | . 500 | . 868 | 375 | 250 | . 913 | . 625 | . 375 | . 500 | . 250 | . 700 | 905 |

All Traffic Data Services,Inc. 9660 W 44th Ave Wheat Ridge,CO 80033 www.alltrafficdata.net

File Name : \#13 US97\&1ST
Site Code : 48221
Start Date : 10/21/2014
Page No : 6


Volume
Start Date: 10/21/2014
Start Time: 12:00:00 AM
Site Code: 1
Location 1: SCOTT CANYON N-O HERIN LN

| Date | Time | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:15 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:45 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:00 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:15 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:30 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:45 AM | 2 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:30 AM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:45 AM | 1 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:00 AM | 0 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:15 AM | 3 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:30 AM | 0 | 9 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:45 AM | 3 | 8 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:00 AM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:15 AM | 3 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:30 AM | 0 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:45 AM | 0 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:00 AM | 0 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:15 AM | 7 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:30 AM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:45 AM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:00 AM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:15 AM | 6 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:30 AM | 3 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:45 AM | 2 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:00 AM | 8 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:15 AM | 1 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:30 AM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:45 AM | 1 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:00 AM | 6 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:15 AM | 3 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:30 AM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:45 AM | 3 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:00 PM | 5 | 2 |


| 10/21/2014 | 12:15 PM | 2 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:30 PM | 2 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:45 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:00 PM | 1 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:15 PM | 0 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:30 PM | 2 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:45 PM | 1 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:00 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:15 PM | 2 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:30 PM | 6 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:45 PM | 3 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:00 PM | 3 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:15 PM | 3 | 9 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:30 PM | 6 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:45 PM | 3 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:00 PM | 8 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:15 PM | 4 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:30 PM | 9 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:45 PM | 7 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:00 PM | 6 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:15 PM | 8 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:30 PM | 6 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:45 PM | 1 | 8 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:00 PM | 2 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:15 PM | 4 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:30 PM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:45 PM | 4 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:00 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:15 PM | 0 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:30 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:45 PM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:00 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:30 PM | 2 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:00 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:30 PM | 3 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:00 PM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:00 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:15 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:30 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 02:00 AM | 1 | 0 |


| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 9 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 10 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 12 | 1 |


| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 4 |
| 10 |  |  |  |


| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 9 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 6 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 7 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 6 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 6 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 11 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 9 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 11 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 6 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 6 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| 10 |  |  |  |


| 10/23/2014 | 08:15 PM | 2 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/23/2014 | 08:30 PM | 1 | 5 |
| 10/23/2014 | 08:45 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 09:00 PM | 3 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 09:15 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 09:30 PM | 2 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 09:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 10:00 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 10:15 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 10:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 10:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 11:00 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 11:15 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 11:30 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 11:45 PM | 0 | 0 |

Volume
Start Date: 10/21/2014
Start Time: 12:00:00 AM
Site Code: 2
Location 1: HERIN E-O SCOTT CANYON

| Date | Time | EB | WB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:30 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:30 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:45 AM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:00 AM | 3 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:15 AM | 5 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:30 AM | 7 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:45 AM | 3 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:15 AM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:45 AM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:00 AM | 2 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:45 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:00 AM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:15 AM | 0 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:30 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:45 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:00 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:45 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:00 PM | 1 | 0 |


| 10/21/2014 | 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:00 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:00 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:15 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:45 PM | 3 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:00 PM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:15 PM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:30 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:45 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:00 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:15 PM | 0 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:30 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:45 PM | 2 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:00 PM | 0 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:30 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:45 PM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:00 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:00 PM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:00 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:30 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:00 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:00 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:00 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 02:00 AM | 0 | 0 |


| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 7 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 5 |
| 10 |  |  |  |


| 10/22/2014 | 04:15 PM | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/22/2014 | 04:30 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 04:45 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 05:00 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/22/2014 | 05:15 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/22/2014 | 05:30 PM | 0 | 5 |
| 10/22/2014 | 05:45 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 06:00 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 06:15 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 06:30 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/22/2014 | 06:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 07:00 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 07:15 PM | 1 | 4 |
| 10/22/2014 | 07:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 07:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 08:00 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 08:15 PM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 08:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 08:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 09:00 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 09:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 09:30 PM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 09:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 10:00 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 10:15 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/22/2014 | 10:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 10:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 11:00 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 11:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 11:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 11:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:30 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:15 AM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:30 AM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:45 AM | 3 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:45 AM | 4 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 06:00 AM | 4 | 0 |


| 10/23/2014 | 06:15 AM | 3 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/23/2014 | 06:30 AM | 4 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 06:45 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 07:00 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 07:15 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 07:30 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 08:00 AM | 3 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 08:15 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 09:00 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 09:15 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 09:30 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 09:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 10:00 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 10:15 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 10:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 10:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 11:00 AM | 0 | 3 |
| 10/23/2014 | 11:15 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 11:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 11:45 AM | 1 | 3 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:45 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:00 PM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:30 PM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:00 PM | 1 | 3 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:15 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:30 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:45 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:00 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:15 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:30 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:45 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:00 PM | 0 | 4 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:15 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:30 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:45 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:00 PM | 0 | 3 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:15 PM | 3 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:30 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 06:00 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 06:15 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 06:30 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 06:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 07:00 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 07:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 07:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 07:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 08:00 PM | 0 | 0 |


| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 15 ~ P M$ | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |

Volume
Start Date: 10/21/2014
Start Time: 12:00:00 AM
Site Code: 3
Location 1: SCOTT CANYON S-O HERIN LN

| Date | Time | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:15 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:30 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:00 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:00 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:30 AM | 3 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:30 AM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:45 AM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:00 AM | 0 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:15 AM | 3 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:45 AM | 3 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:00 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:15 AM | 2 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:30 AM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:45 AM | 0 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:00 AM | 1 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:15 AM | 7 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:30 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:45 AM | 3 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:00 AM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:15 AM | 2 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:30 AM | 0 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:45 AM | 3 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:00 AM | 6 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:15 AM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:30 AM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:45 AM | 5 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:00 AM | 4 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:15 AM | 3 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:30 AM | 2 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:45 AM | 3 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:00 PM | 4 | 1 |


| 10/21/2014 | 12:15 PM | 4 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:30 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:45 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:00 PM | 1 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:15 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:30 PM | 3 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:45 PM | 0 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:00 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:15 PM | 3 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:30 PM | 5 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:45 PM | 2 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:00 PM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:15 PM | 4 | 8 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:30 PM | 3 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:45 PM | 5 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:00 PM | 4 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:15 PM | 2 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:30 PM | 7 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:45 PM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:00 PM | 2 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:15 PM | 3 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:30 PM | 5 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:45 PM | 0 | 12 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:00 PM | 4 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:15 PM | 2 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:30 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:45 PM | 4 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:00 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:15 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:30 PM | 0 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:45 PM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:00 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:15 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:30 PM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:45 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:00 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:30 PM | 3 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:00 PM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:00 PM | 0 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:30 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:45 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 02:00 AM | 0 | 0 |


| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 3 |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |


| 10/22/2014 | 04:15 PM | 3 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/22/2014 | 04:30 PM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 04:45 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 05:00 PM | 4 | 5 |
| 10/22/2014 | 05:15 PM | 4 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 05:30 PM | 6 | 3 |
| 10/22/2014 | 05:45 PM | 1 | 4 |
| 10/22/2014 | 06:00 PM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 06:15 PM | 3 | 4 |
| 10/22/2014 | 06:30 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 06:45 PM | 2 | 1 |
| 10/22/2014 | 07:00 PM | 0 | 3 |
| 10/22/2014 | 07:15 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 07:30 PM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 07:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 08:00 PM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 08:15 PM | 3 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 08:30 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/22/2014 | 08:45 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/22/2014 | 09:00 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 09:15 PM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 09:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 09:45 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 10:00 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 10:15 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 10:30 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/22/2014 | 10:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 11:00 PM | 0 | 4 |
| 10/22/2014 | 11:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 11:30 PM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 11:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:45 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:00 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:15 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:30 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:45 AM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:00 AM | 1 | 4 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:15 AM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:30 AM | 2 | 3 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:45 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 06:00 AM | 1 | 0 |


| 10/23/2014 | 06:15 AM | 0 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/23/2014 | 06:30 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 06:45 AM | 1 | 4 |
| 10/23/2014 | 07:00 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 07:15 AM | 1 | 7 |
| 10/23/2014 | 07:30 AM | 1 | 3 |
| 10/23/2014 | 07:45 AM | 2 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 08:00 AM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 08:15 AM | 4 | 5 |
| 10/23/2014 | 08:30 AM | 8 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 08:45 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 09:00 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 09:15 AM | 5 | 6 |
| 10/23/2014 | 09:30 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 09:45 AM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 10:00 AM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 10:15 AM | 1 | 3 |
| 10/23/2014 | 10:30 AM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 10:45 AM | 1 | 3 |
| 10/23/2014 | 11:00 AM | 3 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 11:15 AM | 1 | 3 |
| 10/23/2014 | 11:30 AM | 1 | 4 |
| 10/23/2014 | 11:45 AM | 3 | 6 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:00 PM | 2 | 5 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:15 PM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:30 PM | 2 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:45 PM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:00 PM | 2 | 4 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:15 PM | 2 | 7 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:30 PM | 4 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:45 PM | 4 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:00 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:15 PM | 3 | 7 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:30 PM | 3 | 3 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:45 PM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:00 PM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:15 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:30 PM | 1 | 4 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:45 PM | 4 | 9 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:00 PM | 3 | 7 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:15 PM | 4 | 12 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:30 PM | 1 | 3 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:45 PM | 2 | 9 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:00 PM | 5 | 5 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:15 PM | 5 | 3 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:30 PM | 4 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:45 PM | 4 | 7 |
| 10/23/2014 | 06:00 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 06:15 PM | 2 | 3 |
| 10/23/2014 | 06:30 PM | 1 | 3 |
| 10/23/2014 | 06:45 PM | 3 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 07:00 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 07:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 07:30 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 07:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 08:00 PM | 1 | 2 |


| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 15 ~ P M$ | 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 30$ PM | 1 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |

Volume
Start Date: 10/21/2014
Start Time: 12:00:00 AM
Site Code: 4
Location 1: MAIN ST N-O 1ST ST

| Date | Time | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:30 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:15 AM | 1 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:45 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:15 AM | 0 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:45 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:00 AM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:00 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:15 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:45 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:30 AM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:15 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:30 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:00 PM | 0 | 0 |


| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $12: 15 ~ P M$ | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 2 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 |


| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |


| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 0 |


| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 |


| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 15 ~ P M$ | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 30 ~ P M$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 45 ~ P M$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 00 ~ P M$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 15 ~ P M$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 30 ~ P M$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |

Volume
Start Date: 10/21/2014
Start Time: 12:00:00 AM
Site Code: 5
Location 1: 1ST ST E-O MAIN ST

| Date | Time | EB | WB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:00 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:15 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:45 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:00 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:45 AM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:15 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:00 AM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:15 AM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:45 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:00 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:15 AM | 3 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:30 AM | 5 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:45 AM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:00 AM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:15 AM | 10 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:30 AM | 4 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:45 AM | 7 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:00 AM | 10 | 9 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:15 AM | 9 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:30 AM | 5 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:45 AM | 5 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:00 AM | 6 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:15 AM | 5 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:30 AM | 3 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:45 AM | 4 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:00 AM | 13 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:15 AM | 10 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:30 AM | 4 | 11 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:45 AM | 5 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:00 AM | 5 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:15 AM | 16 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:30 AM | 5 | 8 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:45 AM | 9 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:00 AM | 10 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:15 AM | 8 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:30 AM | 7 | 9 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:45 AM | 8 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:00 AM | 4 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:15 AM | 9 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:30 AM | 6 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:45 AM | 8 | 8 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:00 PM | 4 | 4 |


| 10/21/2014 | 12:15 PM | 7 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:30 PM | 4 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:45 PM | 5 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:00 PM | 6 | 9 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:15 PM | 13 | 9 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:30 PM | 8 | 13 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:45 PM | 4 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:00 PM | 4 | 13 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:15 PM | 11 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:30 PM | 7 | 8 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:45 PM | 10 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:00 PM | 8 | 15 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:15 PM | 5 | 19 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:30 PM | 8 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:45 PM | 14 | 9 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:00 PM | 11 | 8 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:15 PM | 8 | 15 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:30 PM | 9 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:45 PM | 6 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:00 PM | 9 | 18 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:15 PM | 4 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:30 PM | 3 | 9 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:45 PM | 8 | 15 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:00 PM | 8 | 13 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:15 PM | 8 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:30 PM | 3 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:45 PM | 7 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:00 PM | 5 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:15 PM | 4 | 10 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:30 PM | 8 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:45 PM | 8 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:00 PM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:15 PM | 3 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:45 PM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:00 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:15 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:30 PM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:45 PM | 2 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:00 PM | 3 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:00 PM | 3 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:15 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:30 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:00 AM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:45 AM | 3 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:00 AM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:30 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 02:00 AM | 1 | 0 |


| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 12 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 10 | 9 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 7 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 9 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 10 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 7 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 10 | 9 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 12 | 11 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 9 | 17 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 10 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 9 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 11 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 14 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 8 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 11 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 18 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 12 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 16 | 8 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 12 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 16 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 9 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 11 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |


| 10/22/2014 | 04:15 PM | 4 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/22/2014 | 04:30 PM | 8 | 4 |
| 10/22/2014 | 04:45 PM | 5 | 13 |
| 10/22/2014 | 05:00 PM | 8 | 9 |
| 10/22/2014 | 05:15 PM | 4 | 9 |
| 10/22/2014 | 05:30 PM | 3 | 8 |
| 10/22/2014 | 05:45 PM | 4 | 15 |
| 10/22/2014 | 06:00 PM | 12 | 10 |
| 10/22/2014 | 06:15 PM | 5 | 14 |
| 10/22/2014 | 06:30 PM | 10 | 10 |
| 10/22/2014 | 06:45 PM | 5 | 8 |
| 10/22/2014 | 07:00 PM | 10 | 10 |
| 10/22/2014 | 07:15 PM | 3 | 5 |
| 10/22/2014 | 07:30 PM | 5 | 4 |
| 10/22/2014 | 07:45 PM | 3 | 1 |
| 10/22/2014 | 08:00 PM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 08:15 PM | 3 | 8 |
| 10/22/2014 | 08:30 PM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 08:45 PM | 3 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 09:00 PM | 3 | 7 |
| 10/22/2014 | 09:15 PM | 2 | 3 |
| 10/22/2014 | 09:30 PM | 3 | 3 |
| 10/22/2014 | 09:45 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/22/2014 | 10:00 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 10:15 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 10:30 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/22/2014 | 10:45 PM | 0 | 3 |
| 10/22/2014 | 11:00 PM | 2 | 5 |
| 10/22/2014 | 11:15 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 11:30 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 11:45 PM | 4 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:15 AM | 1 | 6 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:30 AM | 6 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:00 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:15 AM | 1 | 3 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 01:45 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:00 AM | 4 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:30 AM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 02:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:00 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:15 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 03:45 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:00 AM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:15 AM | 2 | 1 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:30 AM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 04:45 AM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:00 AM | 4 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:15 AM | 8 | 0 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:30 AM | 6 | 6 |
| 10/23/2014 | 05:45 AM | 7 | 2 |
| 10/23/2014 | 06:00 AM | 11 | 6 |


| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 7 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 9 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 9 | 9 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 12 | 12 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 9 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 12 | 9 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 19 | 10 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 13 | 10 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 8 | 12 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 11 | 9 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 10 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 9 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 8 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 9 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 10 | 10 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 14 | 19 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 6 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 9 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 11 | 10 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 10 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 13 | 17 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 36 | 19 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 16 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 10 | 14 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 15 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 11 | 21 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 14 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 13 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 20 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 3 |
| 1 |  |  |  |


| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 15 ~ P M$ | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 30$ PM | 0 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 30$ PM | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |

Volume
Start Date: 10/21/2014
Start Time: 12:00:00 AM
Site Code: 6
Location 1: MAIN ST S-O 1ST ST

| Date | Time | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:15 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:30 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:45 AM | 2 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:15 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:30 AM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:45 AM | 3 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:00 AM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:15 AM | 2 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:30 AM | 4 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:45 AM | 1 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:00 AM | 4 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:15 AM | 11 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:30 AM | 3 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:45 AM | 2 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:00 AM | 4 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:15 AM | 4 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:30 AM | 0 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:45 AM | 2 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:00 AM | 0 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:15 AM | 6 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:30 AM | 4 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:45 AM | 1 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:00 AM | 2 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:15 AM | 7 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:30 AM | 9 | 8 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:45 AM | 3 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:00 AM | 6 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:15 AM | 8 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:30 AM | 3 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:45 AM | 1 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:00 AM | 3 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:15 AM | 4 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:30 AM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:45 AM | 2 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:00 PM | 7 | 6 |


| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 5 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 6 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 5 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 4 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 4 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 4 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 3 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 10 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 7 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 4 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 12 | 4 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 8 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 4 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 12 | 5 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 8 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 11 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 4 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 5 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 6 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 7 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 3 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 4 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 4 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 4 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 4 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 4 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 21 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| 10 |  |  |  |


| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 9 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 9 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 12 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 9 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 10 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 10 |  |
|  |  |  | 0 |
| 10 |  |  |  |


| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 11 | 8 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 9 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 9 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 6 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 6 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 9 |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |


| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 9 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 12 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 8 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 8 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 7 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 6 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 6 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 6 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 9 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 12 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 11 | 9 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 12 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 13 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 10 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 10 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |


| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 6 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |

Volume
Start Date: 10/21/2014
Start Time: 12:00:00 AM
Site Code: 7
Location 1: 1ST ST W-O MAIN ST

| Date | Time | EB | WB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:15 AM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:30 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:45 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:15 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:45 AM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:15 AM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:15 AM | 2 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:15 AM | 1 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:30 AM | 0 | 11 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:45 AM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:00 AM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:15 AM | 0 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:30 AM | 2 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:45 AM | 3 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:00 AM | 3 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:15 AM | 4 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:30 AM | 9 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:45 AM | 5 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:00 AM | 2 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:15 AM | 4 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:30 AM |  | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:45 AM | 2 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:00 AM | 1 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:15 AM | 4 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:30 AM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:45 AM | 2 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:00 AM | 2 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:15 AM | 6 | 8 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:30 AM | 6 | 14 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:45 AM | 5 | 8 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:00 AM | 1 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:15 AM | 3 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:30 AM | 6 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:45 AM | 2 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:00 AM | 1 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:15 AM | 1 | 8 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:30 AM | 2 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:45 AM | 7 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:00 PM | 5 | 8 |


| 10/21/2014 | 12:15 PM | 5 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:30 PM | 6 | 10 |
| 10/21/2014 | 12:45 PM | 4 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:00 PM | 1 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:15 PM | 4 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:30 PM | 6 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 01:45 PM | 5 | 8 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:00 PM | 9 | 8 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:15 PM | 3 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:30 PM | 5 | 15 |
| 10/21/2014 | 02:45 PM | 4 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:00 PM | 8 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:15 PM | 5 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:30 PM | 8 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 03:45 PM | 6 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:00 PM | 10 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:15 PM | 7 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:30 PM | 13 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 04:45 PM | 4 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:00 PM | 2 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:15 PM | 9 | 10 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:30 PM | 6 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 05:45 PM | 7 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:00 PM | 8 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:15 PM | 6 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:30 PM | 5 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 06:45 PM | 3 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:00 PM | 5 | 5 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:15 PM | 6 | 3 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:30 PM | 3 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 07:45 PM | 3 | 7 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:00 PM | 0 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:15 PM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:30 PM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 08:45 PM | 2 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:00 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:15 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:30 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 09:45 PM | 1 | 4 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:00 PM | 2 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:15 PM | 1 | 1 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:30 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 10:45 PM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:00 PM | 1 | 6 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:15 PM | 0 | 2 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:30 PM | 3 | 0 |
| 10/21/2014 | 11:45 PM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:00 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:15 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 12:45 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:00 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:15 AM | 1 | 2 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:30 AM | 0 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 01:45 AM | 1 | 0 |
| 10/22/2014 | 02:00 AM | 0 | 0 |


| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 8 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 9 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 12 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 10 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 10 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 9 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 9 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 10 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 9 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 4 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |


| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 7 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 8 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 12 | 6 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 10 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 10 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 10 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 5 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 22 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 9 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 12 |
|  | 0 |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |


| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 9 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 7 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 10 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 1 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 2 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 6 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 9 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 8 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | 5 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{AM}$ | 9 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | 3 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 4 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 10 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $12: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 10 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 10 | 6 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $02: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 6 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $03: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 13 | 36 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 13 | 34 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 9 | 11 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 7 | 6 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 8 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 6 | 5 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $06: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 3 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 8 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $07: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |


| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 5 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $08: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 4 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 4 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $09: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 2 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $10 / 23 / 2014$ | $11: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 |

## Appendix C Methodology Memorandum

Kittelson \& Associates, inc.<br><br>354 SW Upper Terrace Drive, Suite 101, Bend, Oregon 97702 P 541.312.8300 F 541.312.4585

## Methodology Memorandum

| Date: | February 16, 2015 | Project \#: 18054 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To: | Michael Duncan, ODOT Region 4 |  |
| From: | Casey Bergh, PE, Marc Butorac, PE, and Ashleigh Griffin |  |
| cc: |  |  |

This memorandum documents the methodology and key assumptions to be used in preparation of the existing and future conditions analyses for the Sherman County Transportation System Plan (TSP) Update. The methodologies included in this memorandum are based on guidance provided in the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) Transportation System Plan Guidelines (2008) and the Analysis Procedures Manual (APM), Versions 1 and 2 as they relate to rural counties in central Oregon.

## STUDY INTERSECTIONS

Intersection turning movement traffic counts used for this study were conducted by All Traffic Data on Tuesday October 21, 2014. The locations for these intersection counts were agreed upon by ODOT, the County, and the consultant team during the development of the project scope. The counts were 16 -hour intersection classification counts and will be used to provide pedestrian volumes, bicycle volumes, truck volumes, passenger car volumes, and various calculation factors. Table 1 provides the locations where 16 -hour counts were conducted, and Figure 1 shows the location of the study intersections.

Table 1. Study Intersections (Location of 16-Hour Intersection Classification Count)

| ID Number | East-West Name | North-South Name |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Van Gilder Rd. | OR 206 |
| 2 | Klondike | OR 206 |
| 3 | Biggs-Rufus Hwy | US 97 |
| 4 | I-84 WB | US 97 |
| 5 | I-84 EB | US 97 |
| 6 | OR 206 | US 97 NB |
| 7 | OR 206 | US 97 SB |
| 8 | Clark St. | OR 206/Old Wasco-Heppner <br> Hwy |
| 9 | Clark St. | OR 206 |
| 10 | I-84 WB | John Day Dam Rd. |
| 11 | I-84 EB | John Day Dam Rd. |
| 12 | Krusow St./OR 216 | Mill St./US 97 |
| 13 | Lone Rock Rd. | US 97 |
| 14 | $4^{\text {th }}$ St. | US 97 |


$\prod_{\text {KITTELSON \& ASSOCIATES, INC. }}^{\text {KRMS }}$.

## PEAK HOUR DEVELOPMENT

As shown on Figure 1, the study intersections are spread throughout the County. Therefore, the application of a County-wide system peak hour does not apply. Intersections were analyzed based on system peak-hours within each City as follows:

- Intersections 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, and 9 were analyzed for the Wasco system-peak hour, which occurred from 4:30 to 5:30 p.m.
- Intersections 3, 4, and 5 were analyzed for the Biggs Junction system-peak hour, which occurred from 4:15 to 5:15 p.m.
- Intersections 10 and 11 were analyzed for the Rufus system-peak hour, which occurred from 4:45 to 5:45 p.m.
- Intersections 13 and 14 were analyzed for the Moro system-peak hour, which occurred from 4:30 to 5:30 p.m.
- Intersection 12 was the only study intersection in Grass Valley and therefore was analyzed during the peak hour for that intersection, which occurred between 1:30 and 2:30 p.m.


## INTERSECTION OPERATIONAL STANDARDS

Per the project scope, we will present the following performance thresholds for the study intersections, regardless of jurisdictional control:

- Volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio;
- Level-of-service (LOS);
- Delay;
- $95^{\text {th }}$ Percentile queuing (not-simulation based); and
- Turning movement counts.

This information will be provided in tables, figures, and/or technical appendices, but where possible will be provided in figures to give the general public a more clear and relatable understanding of the analysis results.

## ODOT Facilities

For reference, this section summarizes the applicable performance thresholds for study intersections that fall within ODOT's jurisdiction.

ODOT assesses intersection operations based on volume-to-capacity (V/C) ratio. Table 6 of the Oregon Highway Plan (OHP) provides volume-to-capacity targets for facilities outside the Metro area. The OHP ratios are used to evaluate existing and future no-build conditions, while Table 10-2 of the ODOT 2012 Highway Design Manual (HDM) provides V/C ratios used to assist in identifying future system deficiencies and evaluating future alternatives on state highways.

## SEASONAL ADJUSTMENT FACTOR

$30^{\text {th }}$ highest hour design volumes will be based on applicable adjustment factors. Version 2 of the APM identifies three methods for identifying seasonal adjustment factors for highway traffic volumes. All three methods utilize information provided by Automatic Traffic Recorders (ATR) located in select locations throughout the State Highway System that collect traffic data 24 -hours a day/365 days a year. There are two permanent ATR stations in Sherman County:

- ATR 28-001: Located on US 97, 0.83 miles northeast of $1^{\text {st }}$ Street;
- ATR 28-002: Located on I-84, 0.44 miles west of Rufus/John Day Dam interchange.

Based on the locations of ATR stations in Sherman County, a combination of the On-Site ATR method and the ATR Characteristic Table Method will be used to calculate volumes at study intersections.

## On-Site ATR Method

The On-Site ATR Method requires that the ATR be located within or near the project area. If the ATR is located outside the project area, there should be no major intersections between the ATR and the project area, and the Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) collected by the ATR must be within 10 percent of the AADT near the project area. ODOT's Transportation Volume Tables will be used to identify AADT for highway segments. Based on these requirements, the two ATR stations in Sherman County can be used to calculate seasonal adjustment factors for the movements involving I-84 and US 97. The seasonal adjustment factors were calculated following the process outlined in the Version 2 APM, as summarized in Appendix A. The resulting seasonal adjustment factors based on each ATR station are summarized in Table 2. The average of these factors will be applied to the ramp terminals at US 97/I-84, as summarized in Appendix 2.

Table 2. On-Site ATR Method Seasonal Adjustment Method

| ATR Station | Weekly Traffic <br> Trend | October Seasonal <br> Adjustment Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATR 28-001 | Weekend | 1.23 |
| ATR 28-002 | Weekday | 1.32 |

## ATR Characteristic Table Method

The ATR Characteristic Table Method is proposed to calculate seasonal adjustment factors along OR 206, OR 216, Biggs-Rufus Highway, and the other local study streets. The Characteristic Table Method requires:

1) The ATR must be located on a facility that shares similar characteristics with the facility to be adjusted, such as seasonal traffic trends, area type, and number of lanes.
2) AADT collected by the ATR must be within 10 percent of the AADT near the project area.

Three ATR stations, 03-014, 11-004, and 11-007 were identified based on: the seasonal traffic trend identified for this area (Summer < 2500), AADT, and Weekday traffic trends. The seasonal adjustment factors calculated for these ATRs are shown in Table 3 and will be applied to the roadways as reported in the table. Appendix 2 summaries the seasonal adjustment factors that will be applied to each approach.

Table 3 ATR Characteristic Table Method Seasonal Adjustments

| ATR Station | Weekly Traffic <br> Trend | October Seasonal <br> Adjustment Factor | Roadways Applied To |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ATR 03-014 | Weekday | 1.03 | Biggs-Rufus Highway |
| ATR 11-004 | Weekday | 1.09 | OR 216; Clark Street; <br> OR 206 |
| ATR 11-007 | Weekday | 1.01 | OR 206 |

## STUDY SEGMENTS

ODOT conducted 48 -hour tube counts at two segment locations during weekdays in October of 2014. These tube counts will be used to conduct two-lane highway capacity analysis at the two locations shown in Table 4. HCM 2010 methodologies will be used for the two-way highway capacity analysis. The tube counts did not contain vehicle classification information and therefore cannot be used to calculate the percentage of heavy vehicles using the roadways.

## Table 4. Study Segments (48-Hour Tube Count Locations)

| ID <br> Number | Roadway Name |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Herin Lane at Scott Canyon Road |
| B | Main Street and 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Street/Biggs-Rufus Highway |

## ANALYSIS MODEL PARAMETERS

The bullets below identify the proposed sources of data and methodologies to be used to analyze traffic conditions in Sherman County. Analyses of all state facilities will be conducted according to the most-recent version of the APM, unless otherwise agreed upon by both ODOT's Transportation Planning and Analysis Unit (TPAU) and the consultant team.

1. Intersection/Roadway Geometry (lane numbers and arrangements, cross-section elements, signal phasing, etc.) will be verified for consistency with previous work efforts, reviewed through aerial photography, and confirmed through a site visit. Available as-built data may also be used to verify existing roadway geometry. The analysis models will be built on scaled roadway line work from GIS or aerial photography in Vistro analysis software. ODOT's two-
way stop-controlled intersection calculator tool will be used to calculate expected queue lengths for two-way stop-controlled intersections.
2. Operational Data (such as posted speeds, intersection control, parking, right-turn on red, etc.) will be field verified. Data will be reviewed during a site visit and supplemented by available GIS data, aerials, photos, and the ODOT Video Log.
3. Peak Hour Factors (PHF) will be calculated for each intersection and applied to the existing conditions analyses. PHFs of 0.95 will be used for the future analysis for high-order facilities (arterials), with 0.90 applied to medium-order facilities (collectors) and 0.85 applied to local roads. If the existing PHF is greater than these default future values, the existing PHF will be applied.

## 4. Traffic Operations

a. The 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodology shall be used for intersection analyses of the design hour conditions. The existing and future no-build analysis will utilize Vistro software for all study intersections. Roundabouts (if applicable) will be analyzed using HCM 2010 analysis methods. Level-of-service, delay, and volume-tocapacity ratios will be reported at each of the study intersections regardless of roadway jurisdiction.
b. Queuing analysis methodology will be based on Vistro $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths as appropriate. Microsimulation is not proposed as part of the long-range planning effort.

## TRAFFIC ANALYSIS SOFTWARE AND INPUT ASSUMPTIONS

Vistro software will be used for the intersection analysis. The reported results will be the level of service, intersection delay, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios, and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths generated by the HCM report. None of the study intersections are signalized intersections; therefore no parameters have been provided for signal timing. Analysis assumptions are listed in Table 4.

Table 5. Operations Parameters/Assumptions

| Arterial Intersection Parameters |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | From traffic counts |
| Conflicting Bikes and Pedestrian per Hour | From traffic counts, as available |
| Ideal Saturation Flow Rate (for all movements) | 1,750 passenger cars per hour green per lane |
| Lane Width | 12 feet unless field observations suggest otherwise |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles | From traffic counts by movement, as available |
| Bus Blockages | None |
| 95th percentile vehicle queues | Vistro HCM summary output |

## CRASH ANALYSES

The most recent five years (2009 through 2013) of crash data will be reviewed at the study intersections and study segments (where tube count data was collected). Any state highways in Sherman County that are identified as a Safety Priority Index System site will be included in the crash data. The data will be analyzed for a variety of factors to include type, severity, general conditions, and location to identify potential crash patterns or anomalies. Additional details will be provided on countywide crash trends and any issues that are identified through the overall review at the County, corridor/segment, and intersection level, and will include specific details on fatalities and crashes involving pedestrians and bicyclists.

Intersection crash rates will be calculated and compared to statewide crash rate performance thresholds to determine which segments or intersections have crash rates higher than similar facilities. Given the limited number of study intersections to be studied, calculation of a critical crash rate based on the Highway Safety Manual methodology is not a reliable method for identifying a safety performance threshold. Therefore, we will use the established crash rate performance threshold based on the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile crash rates for statewide rural intersections by traffic control type as documented in Exhibit 4-1 of the APM. Crash patterns and potential countermeasures/safety improvements will be identified and presented at intersections that exceed the statewide crash rate performance threshold.

## FORECAST YEAR VOLUME DEVELOPMENT

We developed 20-year growth factors using ODOT's historical trends method, which relies on traffic volumes from previous years to develop a growth pattern for use in projected future volumes. ODOT maintains Future Volumes Tables that summarize current and future year traffic volumes for state roadways throughout the State. To calculate the growth rate for Sherman County, all Sherman County locations were selected from the Future Volumes Tables. Based on guidance from ODOT's Analysis Procedures Manual (APM), data with a R-squared value (RSQ, a measure of fit) of less than 0.75 was not used. The growth rates of the remaining locations were averaged to develop the 1.3 percent annual growth rate, which was used to project future traffic volumes at all study intersections and segments. Table 6 shows the ODOT Future Volumes Table and the calculations used to obtain the 1.3 percent annual growth rate.

## NON-AUTOMOBILE TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS

Per the scope, the non-automobile transportation analysis will include a review of collector and arterial roadways to identify deficiencies (availability of sidewalks and bicycle lanes, and gaps in primary routes) based on available GIS data and online mapping.

Table 6. ODOT Future Volume Table (Sherman County Locations with RSQ > 0.75)

| HWY | MP | Description | Traffic Volumes |  |  |  |  |  | Project Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RSQ > } \\ & 0.75 ? \end{aligned}$ | Calculated Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $2010{ }^{1}$ | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2033 | RSQ* |  |  |  |
| 002 | 109.51 | Rufus Automatic Traffic Recorder, Sta. 28-002, 0.44 mile west of Rufus/John Day Dam Interchange |  | 10600 |  |  | 13300 | 0.5111 | 1-84 | No | 1.16\% |
| 002 | 110.25 | 0.30 mile east of Rufus Interchange |  | 10500 |  |  | 13300 | 0.6022 | 1-84 | No | 1.21\% |
| 002 | 114.55 | Sherman-Gilliam County Line, 0.32 mile east of W. John Day Interchange |  | 10500 |  |  | 13500 | 0.6297 | 1-84 | No | 1.30\% |
| 042 | -0.06 | 0.07 mile south of Columbia River Highway (I-84) | 7900 |  |  |  | 9400 | 0.8084 | US 97 | Yes | 0.83\% |
| 042 | 0.05 | 0.02 mile south of Celilo-Wasco Highway Spur | 3700 |  |  |  | 5200 | 0.9038 | US 97 | Yes | 1.76\% |
| 042 | 7.80 | 0.30 mile south of Wasco-Heppner Highway (OR206) | 2700 |  |  |  | 4200 | 0.7986 | US 97 | Yes | 2.42\% |
| 042 | 9.22 | 0.40 mile south of Celilo-Wasco Highway (OR206) | 2100 |  |  |  | 2800 | 0.2686 | US 97 | No | 1.45\% |
| 042 | 17.36 | Wasco Automatic Traffic Recorder, Sta. 28-001, 0.83 mile northwest of 1st Street | 2700 |  |  |  | 3200 | 0.8500 | US 97 | Yes | 0.81\% |
| 042 | 18.21 | 0.02 mile southwest of 1st Street | 2800 |  |  |  | 3100 | 0.2094 | US 97 - Moro | No | 0.47\% |
| 042 | 27.68 | 0.02 mile south of North Street | 2700 |  |  |  | 3000 | 0.8239 | US 97 | Yes | 0.48\% |
| 042 | 27.91 | 0.02 mile north of Union Street | 2400 |  |  |  | 2800 | 0.5503 | US 97 | No | 0.72\% |
| 042 | 28.34 | 0.02 mile north of Sherars Bridge Highway (OR216) | 2100 |  |  |  | 2400 | 0.0192 | US 97-Grass Valley | No | 0.62\% |
| 042 | 28.45 | 0.02 mile south of South Street, south city limits of Grass Valley | 2000 |  |  |  | 2800 | 0.4502 | US 97 | No | 1.74\% |
| 290 | 8.30 | Wasco-Sherman County Line |  |  | 80 |  | 90 | 0.5123 | OR 216 | No | 0.60\% |
| 290 | 16.06 | 0.02 mile west of Payne Road |  |  | 60 |  | 70 | 0.2486 | OR 216 | No | 0.79\% |
| 290 | 18.61 | 0.02 mile north of Finnegan Road |  |  | 70 |  | 80 | 0.3907 | OR 216 | No | 0.68\% |
| 290 | 21.33 | 0.02 mile north of Davis Lane |  |  | 70 |  | 110 | 0.5499 | OR 216 | No | 2.72\% |
| 290 | 24.76 | 0.02 mile east of Stradley Road |  |  | 90 |  | 100 | 0.2428 | OR 216 | No | 0.53\% |
| 290 | 25.81 | 0.02 mile east of Finnegan Road |  |  | 100 |  | 110 | 0.0188 | OR 216 | No | 0.48\% |
| 290 | 28.23 | 0.02 mile south of South Street |  |  | 130 |  | 170 | 0.1991 | OR 216 | No | 1.47\% |
| 290 | 28.40 | 0.02 mile west of Sherman Highway (US97) |  |  | 160 |  | 210 | 0.3774 | OR 216 | No | 1.49\% |
| 300 | -1.67 | 0.30 mile east of Sherman Highway (US97) |  |  | 680 |  | 700 | 0.1530 | Old US 97 | No | 0.14\% |
| 300 | -0.28 | 0.02 mile west of Clark Street |  |  | 710 |  | 970 | 0.6562 | Old US 97 | No | 1.74\% |
| 300 | -0.11 | 0.02 mile north of Celilo-Wasco Highway (OR206) |  |  | 930 |  | 940 | 0.5189 | Old US 97 | No | 0.05\% |
| 300 | -0.07 | 0.02 mile south of Celilo-Wasco Highway (OR206) |  |  | 1400 |  | 1500 | 0.0643 | Old US 97 | No | 0.34\% |
| 300 | 0.02 | 0.02 mile east of Clark Street |  |  | 880 |  | 950 | 0.2918 | OR 206 - East of Wasco (in CL) | No | 0.38\% |
| 300 | 0.58 | East city limits of Wasco |  |  | 630 |  | 990 | 0.9406 | OR 206 - East of Wasco (in CL) | Yes | 2.72\% |
| 300 | 0.88 | 0.02 mile southeast of Klondike Road |  |  | 500 |  | 590 | 0.7636 | OR 206 - East of Wasco | Yes | 0.86\% |
| 300 | 6.63 | At Hay Canyon Bridge |  |  | 480 |  | 640 | 0.6162 | OR 206 - East of Wasco | No | 1.59\% |


| 300 | 9.40 | 0.02 mile west of Fairview Road |  | 430 | 560 | 0.7752 | OR 206 - East of Wasco | Yes | 1.44\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 | 9.44 | 0.02 mile east of Fairview Road |  | 350 | 440 | 0.5137 | OR 206 - East of Wasco | No | 1.22\% |
| 300 | 14.95 | Sherman-Gilliam County Line |  | 330 | 400 | 0.4024 | OR 206 - East of Wasco | No | 1.01\% |
| 301 | 4.78 | 0.02 mile west of Celilo-Wasco Highway Spur | 630 |  | 750 | 0.2194 | OR 206 - West of Wasco | No | 0.83\% |
| 301 | 4.82 | 0.02 mile south of Celilo-Wasco Highway Spur | 430 |  | 580 | 0.6038 | OR 206 - West of Wasco | No | 1.52\% |
| 301 | 12.45 | 0.02 mile west of Van Gilder Road | 460 |  | 540 | 0.2085 | OR 206 - West of Wasco | No | 0.76\% |
| 301 | 14.53 | 0.20 mile west of Sherman Highway (US97) | 260 |  | 350 | 0.1244 | OR 206 - West <br> of Wasco | No | 1.51\% |
| 301 | 15.07 | West city limits of Wasco, 0.26 mile west of Church Street | 460 |  | 630 | 0.5196 | OR 206 - West of Wasco | No | 1.61\% |
| 301 | 15.55 | 0.02 mile west of Wasco-Heppner Highway (OR206) | 550 |  | 680 | 0.2204 | OR 206 - West of Wasco (in CL) | No | 1.03\% |
| 487 | 4.82 | 0.02 mile east of Celilo-Wasco Highway (OR206) | 210 |  | 230 | 0.1333 | Frontage Road | No | 0.41\% |
| 487 | 7.60 | 0.02 mile west of Sherman Highway (US97) | 4100 |  | 5300 | 0.8101 | Frontage Road | Yes | 1.27\% |

*RSQ = R-squared value, which describes the fit of the data to a line.
Calculations: $(1.27 \%+1.44 \%+0.86 \%+2.72 \%+0.48 \%+0.47 \%+0.81 \%+2.42 \%+1.76 \%+0.83 \%) / 10=1.3 \%$
The calculated 1.3\% growth per year will be applied for analysis.

## Appendix 1 On-site ATR Characteristics


exclude the highest and lowest

|  | OR 206 |  |  |  |  |  |  | R 20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Clark Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | OR 216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ATR 11-004 |  |  |  |  |  | ATR 11-007 |  |  |  |
|  |  | daily | wkdy |  |  |  |  | daily | wkdy |
| 2013 | August | - | 121 |  |  | 2013 | July | - | 127 |
| 2012 | August | - | 137 |  |  | 2012 | July | - | 135 |
| 2011 | August | - | 126 |  |  | 2011 | July | - | 131 |
| 2010 | August | - | 128 |  |  | 2010 | July | - | 149 |
| 2009 | August | - | 131 |  |  | 2009 | July | - | 136 |
|  | avg | - | 128.3 |  |  |  | avg | - | 134 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Count Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | October | - | 119 |  |  | 2013 | October | - | 132 |
| 2012 | October | - | 122 |  |  | 2012 | October | - | 131 |
| 2011 | October | - | 115 |  |  | 2011 | October | - | 117 |
| 2010 | October | - | 120 |  |  | 2010 | October | - | 148 |
| 2009 | October | - | 111 |  |  | 2009 | October | - | 136 |
|  | avg | - | 118 |  |  |  | avg | - | 133 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1.09 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.01 |
|  |  |  |  |  | avg | 1.05 |  |  |  |

# Appendix 2 Seasonal Adjustment Factors by Intersection 



ATR $3.024 \in \mathrm{EN}$



## Appendix D Roadway Segment Traffic Volume Profiles




## Appendix E Existing Conditions Traffic Operations Analysis Worksheets \& Queue Length Calculations

Vistro File: H:\...|Existing Conditions-ajg.vistro

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Van Gilder Road / OR 206 | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | NBL | 0.021 | 8.8 | A |
| 2 | Klondike Road / OR 206 | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | WBL | 0.000 | 8.7 | A |
| 3 | Biggs-Rufus Highway / US 97 | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | NEBL | 0.211 | 14.9 | B |
| 4 | I-84 WB / US 97 | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | WBT | 0.003 | 18.3 | C |
| 5 | I-84 EB / US 97 | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | EBT | 0.002 | 16.2 | C |
| 6 | OR 206 / US 97 NB | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | NBT | 0.000 | 9.3 | A |
| 7 | OR 206 / US 97 SB | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | SBT | 0.000 | 9.3 | A |
| 8 | Clark St /OR 206/Old Wasco <br> Heppner Hwy | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | WBT | 0.018 | 10.0 | B |
| 9 | Clark St / OR 206 | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | NWBL | 0.001 | 9.5 | A |
| 10 | I-84 WB / John Day Dam <br> Road | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | WBT | 0.000 | 10.8 | B |
| 11 | I-84 EB / John Day Dam <br> Road | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | EBT | 0.001 | 9.8 | A |
| 12 | Krusow St/OR 216 / Mill <br> St/US 97 | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | EBL | 0.006 | 10.1 | B |
| 13 | Lone Rock Road / US 97 | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | NWBT | 0.002 | 11.6 | B |
| 14 | 4th Street / US 97 | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | SEBT | 0.000 | 11.7 | B |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value; for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

|  | Intersection Level Of Service Report <br> \#1: Van Gilder Road / OR 206 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control Type: | Two-way stop |  | Delay (sec / veh): | 8.8 |
| Analysis Method: | HCM2010 |  | Level Of Service: | A |
| Analysis Period: | 15 minutes |  | Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.021 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 55.00 |  | 55.00 |  | 55.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  | no |  | no |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 15 | 0 | 16 | 12 | 0 | 17 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 15 | 0 | 16 | 12 | 0 | 17 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.7400 | 0.7400 | 0.7400 | 0.7400 | 0.7400 | 0.7400 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 5 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 6 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 20 | 0 | 22 | 16 | 0 | 23 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 8.85 | 8.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.29 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 1.60 | 1.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.85 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 2.18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#2: Klondike Road / OR 206

> Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 8.7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | A |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.000 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 40.00 |  | 55.00 |  | 55.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  | no |  | no |  |

## Volumes



Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 8.73 | 8.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.26 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.43 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.54 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

Version 3.00-00

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#3: Biggs-Rufus Highway / US 97



Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 14.9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.211 |

0.211

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | heastbo |  |  | westbo |  |  | westb |  |  | heastb |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 130.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 35.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 95 | 19 | 33 | 7 | 12 | 58 | 12 | 70 | 16 | 62 | 107 | 144 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 95 | 19 | 33 | 7 | 12 | 58 | 12 | 70 | 16 | 62 | 107 | 144 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.980 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 24 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 18 | 4 | 16 | 27 | 37 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 97 | 19 | 34 | 7 | 12 | 59 | 12 | 71 | 16 | 63 | 109 | 147 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Stop | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 14.92 | 12.73 | 9.63 | 12.75 | 13.34 | 9.08 | 7.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | B | B | A | B | B | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.79 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 19.73 | 6.32 | 6.32 | 1.13 | 7.08 | 7.08 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 13.45 |  |  | 10.07 |  |  | 0.94 |  |  | 1.48 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 5.21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report <br> \#4: I-84 WB / US 97

## Control Type: <br> Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
18.3

Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

C
0.003

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | rthbound |  |  | uthbou |  |  | estbou |  |  | eastbour |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | 4 |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 35.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  |

Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 94 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 136 | 90 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 94 | 191 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 136 | 90 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.980 | 0.980 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 0.980 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 24 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 35 | 23 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 96 | 195 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 139 | 92 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  | no |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | no |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 8.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.27 | 18.31 | 12.24 | 14.69 | 0.00 | 9.33 |
| Movement LOS | A | A |  |  | A | A | C | C | B | B |  | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 21.78 | 21.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 26.48 | 26.48 | 26.48 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 2.65 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 16.32 |  |  | 9.33 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 3.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#5: I-84 EB / US 97

## Control Type: <br> Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
16.2

Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

C
0.002

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | rthbou |  |  | uthbou |  |  | stbound |  |  | westb |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}$ |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 35.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 195 | 78 | 10 | 213 | 0 | 104 | 1 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 195 | 78 | 10 | 213 | 0 | 104 | 1 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.000 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 1.000 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 51 | 20 | 3 | 55 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 203 | 81 | 10 | 222 | 0 | 108 | 1 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  | 0 | no |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.84 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.58 | 16.16 | 12.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS |  | A | A | A | A |  | C | C | B |  |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.55 | 16.55 | 0.00 | 40.04 | 40.04 | 40.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 14.11 |  |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 4.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#6: OR 206 / US 97 NB

## Control Type: <br> Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
9.3

Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):

A
0.000

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | rthbou |  |  | stbou |  |  | estbou |  |  | eastb |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | $\hat{}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  | 55.00 |  |  | 55.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.820 | 0.820 | 0.820 | 0.820 | 0.820 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.820 | 0.820 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 8.82 | 9.35 | 8.42 | 7.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A |  |  | A | A |  |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 8.42 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Version 3.00-00

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#7: OR 206 / US 97 SB

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 9.3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | A |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.000 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | outhbound |  |  | astboun |  |  | estbou |  |  | westb |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  | $\hat{}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  | 55.00 |  |  | 55.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.770 | 0.770 | 0.770 | 1.000 | 0.770 | 0.770 | 0.770 | 0.770 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 8.83 | 9.32 | 8.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A |  | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.34 | 1.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 8.83 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.78 |  |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Level Of Service Report
\#8: Clark St /OR 206/Old Wasco Heppner Hwy

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
10.0

B
0.018

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Intersection Setup


## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 22 | 47 | 3 | 1 | 41 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 14 | 10 | 12 | 3 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 22 | 47 | 3 | 1 | 41 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 14 | 10 | 12 | 3 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 6 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 23 | 49 | 3 | 1 | 43 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 10 | 13 | 3 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  | no |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | no |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.63 | 9.99 | 8.61 | 9.72 | 10.04 | 8.70 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | B | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 3.77 | 3.77 | 3.77 | 2.24 | 2.24 | 2.24 | 1.94 | 1.94 | 1.94 | 2.58 | 2.58 | 2.58 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 2.25 |  |  | 0.16 |  |  | 9.04 |  |  | 9.76 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 3.77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#9: Clark St / OR 206

 Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
9.5

A
0.001

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Northwestbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| Turning Movement | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Left | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 40.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  | no |  | no |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 35 | 0 | 25 | 37 | 1 | 38 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 35 | 0 | 25 | 37 | 1 | 38 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.8400 | 0.8400 | 0.8400 | 0.8400 | 0.8400 | 0.8400 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 10 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 42 | 0 | 30 | 44 | 1 | 45 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  | no |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | no |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.34 | 0.00 | 9.50 | 8.67 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.71 | 3.71 | 3.53 | 3.53 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  | 2.98 |  | 8.68 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 3.83 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

Version 3.00-00

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#10: I-84 WB / John Day Dam Road

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 10.8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.000 |

10.8
0.000

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | estbound |  |  | eastb |  |  | westb |  |  | heastb |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { - }}{ }$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 35.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 31 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 31 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.520 | 0.520 | 0.520 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.520 | 0.520 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.520 | 0.520 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 35 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 60 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Stop | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 10.07 | 10.77 | 8.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | B | B | A |  |  |  | A | A |  |  | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 3.85 | 3.85 | 3.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.63 | 3.63 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 10.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 5.87 |  |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 3.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Version 3.00-00

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#11: I-84 EB / John Day Dam Road

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
9.8

A
0.001

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | astbound |  |  | westb |  |  | westb |  |  | heastb |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { - }}{ }$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 35.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 2 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 17 | 2 | 38 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 2 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 17 | 2 | 38 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.780 | 0.780 | 0.780 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.780 | 0.780 | 0.780 | 0.780 | 1.000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 12 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 3 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 22 | 3 | 49 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Stop | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 9.30 | 9.84 | 8.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A |  |  |  |  | A | A | A | A |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 3.05 | 3.05 | 3.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.63 | 2.63 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 8.76 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.42 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 2.32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#12: Krusow St/OR 216 / Mill St/US 97

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Eastbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  | 45.00 |  | 25.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 97 | 129 | 9 | 3 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 97 | 129 | 9 | 3 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.8400 | 0.8400 | 0.8400 | 0.8400 | 0.8400 | 0.8400 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 29 | 38 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 115 | 154 | 11 | 4 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  | no |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | no |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.06 | 9.09 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | B | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.42 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 10.06 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | B |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |

Version 3.00-00

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#13: Lone Rock Road / US 97

## Control Type: <br> Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010 15 minutes

| Delay $(\mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}):$ | 11.6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.002 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | heastbo |  |  | westb |  |  | westb |  |  | heastb |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{i}{\square}$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 25.00 |  |  | 25.00 |  |  | 25.00 |  |  | 25.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 4 | 88 | 12 | 16 | 158 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 33 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 4 | 88 | 12 | 16 | 158 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 33 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.880 | 0.880 | 0.880 | 0.880 | 0.880 | 0.880 | 0.880 | 0.880 | 0.880 | 0.880 | 0.880 | 0.880 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 25 | 3 | 5 | 45 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 5 | 100 | 14 | 18 | 180 | 6 | 16 | 1 | 38 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  | no |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | no |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.47 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.37 | 11.62 | 9.13 | 11.48 | 11.46 | 9.33 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | B | B | A | B | B | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 7.02 | 7.02 | 7.02 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 5.52 | 5.52 | 5.52 | 1.80 | 1.80 | 1.80 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 0.32 |  |  | 0.66 |  |  | 9.83 |  |  | 10.76 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 2.23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#14: 4th Street / US 97

## Control Type: <br> Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
11.7

B
0.000

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | heastbo |  |  | westb |  |  | westb |  |  | heastb |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 25.00 |  |  | 25.00 |  |  | 25.00 |  |  | 25.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes



Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  | no |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | no |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.29 | 11.40 | 8.86 | 11.41 | 11.72 | 9.60 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | B | B | A | B | B | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 8.17 | 8.17 | 8.17 | 10.88 | 10.88 | 10.88 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 5.77 | 5.77 | 5.77 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 1.12 |  |  | 0.08 |  |  | 9.71 |  |  | 10.45 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 1.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Vistro File: H:\...|Existing Conditions-ajg.vistro

Turning Movement Volume: Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Northbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 1 | Van Gilder Road / OR 206 | 15 | 0 | 16 | 12 | 0 | 17 | 60 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Westbound |  | Northwestbound |  | Southeastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 2 | Klondike Road / OR 206 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 31 |


|  | Intersection Name | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 3 | Biggs-Rufus Highway / US 97 | 95 | 19 | 33 | 7 | 12 | 58 | 12 | 70 | 16 | 62 | 107 | 144 | 635 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Westbound |  |  | Northeastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Right |  |
| 4 | I-84 WB / US 97 | 94 | 191 | 135 | 136 | 90 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 671 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Eastbound |  |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 5 | I-84 EB / US 97 | 195 | 78 | 10 | 213 | 104 | 1 | 104 | 705 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |  |
| 6 | OR 206 / US 97 NB | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 21 | 11 | 52 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 7 | OR 206 / US 97 SB | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 41 |

Version 3.00-00

| ID | Intersection Name | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 8 | Clark St /OR 206/Old Wasco Heppner Hwy | 22 | 47 | 3 | 1 | 41 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 14 | 10 | 12 | 3 | 162 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Northwestbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Left | Right |  |
| 9 | Clark St / OR 206 | 35 | 0 | 25 | 37 | 1 | 38 | 136 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Westbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  | Southeastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |  |
| 10 | I-84 WB / John Day Dam Road | 18 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 8 | 21 | 31 | 107 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Eastbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  | Southeastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 11 | I-84 EB / John Day Dam Road | 2 | 1 | 27 | 34 | 17 | 2 | 38 | 121 |



| ID | Intersection Name | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 13 | Lone Rock Road / US 97 | 4 | 88 | 12 | 16 | 158 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 33 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 343 |


|  | Intersection Name | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 14 | 4th Street / US 97 | 18 | 106 | 0 | 2 | 158 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 25 | 344 |

Vistro File: H:\...|Existing Conditions-ajg.vistro
Report File: H:l...IExisting Conditions Report - Final.pdf

Turning Movement Volume: Detail

| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 1 | Van Gilder Road / OR 206 | Final Base | 15 | 0 | 16 | 12 | 0 | 17 | 60 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 15 | 0 | 16 | 12 | 0 | 17 | 60 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Westbound |  | Northwestbound |  | Southeastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 2 | Klondike Road OR 206 | Final Base | 0 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 31 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 0 | 2 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 31 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 3 | Biggs-Rufus Highway / US 97 | Final Base | 95 | 19 | 33 | 7 | 12 | 58 | 12 | 70 | 16 | 62 | 107 | 144 | 635 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 95 | 19 | 33 | 7 | 12 | 58 | 12 | 70 | 16 | 62 | 107 | 144 | 635 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Westbound |  |  | Northeastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Right |  |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-84 WB / US } \\ & 97 \end{aligned}$ | Final Base | 94 | 191 | 135 | 136 | 90 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 671 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 94 | 191 | 135 | 136 | 90 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 671 |
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| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Eastbound |  |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 5 | I-84 EB / US 97 | Final Base | 195 | 78 | 10 | 213 | 104 | 1 | 104 | 705 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 195 | 78 | 10 | 213 | 104 | 1 | 104 | 705 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |  |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { OR } 206 \text { / US } 97 \\ \text { NB } \end{gathered}$ | Final Base | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 21 | 11 | 52 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 21 | 11 | 52 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { OR } 206 \text { / US } 97 \\ \text { SB } \end{gathered}$ | Final Base | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 41 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 41 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 8 | Clark St /OR 206/OId Wasco Heppner Hwy | Final Base | 22 | 47 | 3 | 1 | 41 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 14 | 10 | 12 | 3 | 162 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 22 | 47 | 3 | 1 | 41 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 14 | 10 | 12 | 3 | 162 |

Version 3.00-00

| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Northwestbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Left | Right |  |
| 9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Clark St / OR } \\ 206 \end{gathered}$ | Final Base | 35 | 0 | 25 | 37 | 1 | 38 | 136 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 35 | 0 | 25 | 37 | 1 | 38 | 136 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Westbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  | Southeastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |  |
| 10 | I-84 WB / John Day Dam Road | Final Base | 18 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 8 | 21 | 31 | 107 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 18 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 8 | 21 | 31 | 107 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Eastbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  | Southeastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 11 | I-84 EB / John Day Dam Road | Final Base | 2 | 1 | 27 | 34 | 17 | 2 | 38 | 121 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 2 | 1 | 27 | 34 | 17 | 2 | 38 | 121 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Eastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |  |
| 12 | Krusow St/OR216 / Mill St/US 97 | Final Base | 0 | 97 | 129 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 238 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 0 | 97 | 129 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 238 |

Version 3.00-00

| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 13 | Lone Rock Road / US 97 | Final Base | 4 | 88 | 12 | 16 | 158 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 33 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 343 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 4 | 88 | 12 | 16 | 158 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 33 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 343 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 14 | 4th Street / US 97 | Final Base | 18 | 106 | 0 | 2 | 158 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 25 | 344 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 18 | 106 | 0 | 2 | 158 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 22 | 0 | 25 | 344 |
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## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information


## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information

| Analyst: Jurisdiction: |  | AJG |  |  | Agency/Co.: <br> Project ID: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Gilliam } \\ & \hline 18054 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sherman County |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date Performed: |  | 2/1/2015 |  |  | Analysis Year: |  | 2014 |
| Analysis Time Period: Intersection: |  | 4:30-5:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection: <br> East/West Street: |  | 4th Street |  |  |  |  |  |
| North/South Street: |  | US 97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Input |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results |
| Approach | Lane Group, Code | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Volume, } \\ \text { veh/hr } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% Heavy Vehicles | Conflicting <br> Volume,veh/hr | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \hline \text { Signal } \\ (0 \text { or } 1) \end{array}$ | Left Turn Lane <br> $(0$ or 1$)$ | Queue Length <br> Feet |
| EB | MNLTR | 47 | 2.0\% | 295 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| WB | MNLTR | 4 | 2.0\% | 294 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information

| Analyst: <br> Jurisdiction: |  | AJG |  |  | Agency/Co.: <br> Project ID: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Gilliam } \\ & \hline 18054 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sherman County |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date Performed: Analysis Time Period: Intersection: |  | 2/1/2015 |  |  | Analysis Year: |  | 2014 |
|  |  | 4:30-5:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/West Street: |  | Lonerock Road |  |  |  |  |  |
| North/Sou | th Street: | US 97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Input |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results |
| Approach | Lane Group, Code | Volume, veh/hr | \% Heavy Vehicles | Conflicting <br> Volume,veh/hr | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Signal } \\ (0 \text { or 1) }\end{array}\right\|$ | Left Turn Lane <br> $(0$ or 1$)$ | Queue Length Feet |
| EB | MNLTR | 11 | 2.0\% | 283 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| WB | MNLTR | 48 | 2.0\% | 283 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information

| Analyst: |  | AJG |  |  | Agenc | cy/Co.: | Gilliam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jurisdiction |  | Sherman |  |  | Projec | ct ID: | 18054 |
| Date Perfo | rmed: | 2/1/2015 |  |  | Analy | ysis Year: | 2014 |
| Analysis T | ime Period: | 1:30-2:30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersectio | n: | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/West | Street: | OR 216 |  |  |  |  |  |
| North/Sou | th Street: | US 97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Input |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results |
| Approach | Lane Group, <br> Code | Volume, veh/hr | \% Heavy Vehicles | Conflicting <br> Volume,veh/hr | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \hline \text { Signal } \\ (0 \text { or } 1) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Left Turn Lane <br> $(0$ or 1$)$ | Queue Length <br> Feet |
| EB | MNLR | 3 | 2.0\% | 235 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information

| Analyst: |  | AJG |  |  | Agenc | cy/Co.: | Gilliam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jurisdiction |  | Sherman |  |  | Projec | ct ID: | 18054 |
| Date Perfo | rmed: | 2/1/2015 |  |  | Analy | sis Year: | 2014 |
| Analysis T | ime Period: | 4:45-5:45 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/West | Street: | I-84 WB |  |  |  |  |  |
| North/Sou | th Street: | John Day | Road |  |  |  |  |
| Input |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results |
| Approach | Lane Group, Code | Volume, veh/hr | $\%$ <br> Heavicles | Conflicting Volume,veh/hr | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \hline \text { Signal } \\ (0 \text { or } 1) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Left Turn Lane <br> $(0$ or 1$)$ | Queue Length Feet |
| EB | MNLTR | 31 | 2.0\% | 91 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information

| Analyst: <br> Jurisdiction: <br> Date Performed: <br> Analysis Time Period: <br> Intersection: <br> East/West Street: <br> North/South Street: |  | AJG |  |  | Agency/Co.: <br> Project ID: <br> Analysis Year: |  | Gilliam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sherman County |  |  |  |  | 18054 |
|  |  | 2/1/2015 |  |  |  |  | 2014 |
|  |  | 4:45-5:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | I-84 WB |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | John Day Dam Road |  |  |  |  |  |
| Input |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results |
| Approach | Lane Group, Code | Volume, veh/hr | \% Heavy Vehicles | Conflicting <br> Volume,veh/hr | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Signal } \\ & (0 \text { or } 1) \end{aligned}$ | Left Turn Lane <br> $(0$ or 1$)$ | Queue Length Feet |
| WB | MNLTR | 19 | 2.0\% | 89 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information

| Analyst: |  | AJG |  |  | Agenc | cy/Co.: | Gilliam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jurisdiction |  | Sherman |  |  | Projec | ct ID: | 18054 |
| Date Perfo | rmed: | 2/1/2015 |  |  | Analy | ysis Year: | 2014 |
| Analysis T | ime Period: | 4:30-5:30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersectio | n: | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/West | Street: | OR 206 |  |  |  |  |  |
| North/Sou | th Street: | Clark St |  |  |  |  |  |
| Input |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results |
| Approach | Lane Group, Code | Volume, veh/hr | \% Heavy Vehicles | Conflicting <br> Volume,veh/hr | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Signal } \\ (0 \text { or 1) }\end{array}\right\|$ | Left Turn Lane <br> $(0$ or 1$)$ | Queue Length Feet |
| WB | MNLR | 39 | 2.0\% | 35 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information


## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information


## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information


## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information

| Analyst: |  | AJG |  |  | Agenc | cy/Co.: | Gilliam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jurisdiction |  | Sherman |  |  | Project | ct ID: | 18054 |
| Date Perfo | rmed: | 2/1/2015 |  |  | Analy | sis Year: | 2014 |
| Analysis Ti | ime Period: | 4:30-5:30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | n: | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/West | Street: | OR 206 |  |  |  |  |  |
| North/Sout | th Street: | Klondike |  |  |  |  |  |
| Input |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results |
| Approach | Lane Group, Code | Volume, veh/hr | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { Heavy } \\ \text { Vehicles } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Conflicting <br> Volume,veh/hr | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Signal } \\ & (0 \text { or } 1) \end{aligned}$ | Left Turn Lane <br> $(0$ or 1$)$ | Queue Length <br> Feet |
| WB | MNLR | 2 | 2.0\% | 29 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix F ODOT Crash Data (2009-
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言紋亳


움뭄뭄

| sh＿Type |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| ＿object | tor－ |
| ＿oject | Fixed．Object＿or＿ |
| Fxeed＿obiect | Fixed．object＿or＿Other－Objed |
| Entering atananle © O．alloothers | Tur |
|  | Mor |
| allot | Ange |
| ${ }_{\text {Fixed＿obiect }}$ | －obje |
| fixed ob | 㖪 |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { cke }}}^{\text {fexe }}$ |  |
| Fxe |  |
| Freed＿obe |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Freos obieet }}$ |  |
|  | Fixedol |
| Fxied＿obiect | Fixe |
|  |  |
| me＿ |  |
| Fixed＿object | Fixe |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Fron＿samed } \\ \text { from samed }}}{ }$ | side |
|  | dear－tion |
|  |  |
| Enteringatatangle＿u＿lloothers | Turrin＿Movement |
| deab | Fixed．Object＿or＿ |
| Animal | ＊ |
|  | Fixedobiector＿oothe |
| Entering atatangle＿ualloothers | Turning．Movement |
| ${ }_{8}^{\infty}$ | Noorcolision |
| same＿direction＿oba | Rear |
| Fixed＿object | fixe |
| From＿sme＿direction＿obot |  |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { From＿same＿direction－＿－both }}$ Rod |  |
| Entering at angle＿ 0 alloothers | Turning Movement |
| From＿same＿direction＿u＿one＿stoped |  |
|  |  |
|  | Nonecolision |
| Fixed＿object | Fixed．obiectol |
| \％ | Non－collision |
| Prom＿sme＿drection＿ |  |
| ${ }_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ | Nonocollision |
|  |  |
| eringat＿angleu＿ullooters | Turring Moverem |
| $\underset{\text { From＿same＿direction＿o＿＿oboth＿goin＿Straight }}{ }$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { Non－colind }}$ Rear fnd |
|  |  |
| dobiect | Fixed．Object＿or |
| ${ }^{\text {Fixed＿obiect }}$ | Fived．obiect or |
| ded＿obiect | Fixee．obiector＿O－othe |
|  | Turing＿Movement |
|  | ${ }_{\text {ang }}$ Noncollil |
| Fixed＿obiect | Fixed．Obiector |
| dect | Freadobiectoo－－othe |
| Animal |  |
| ${ }_{8}^{\text {Parked＿motor＿venicle }}$ | Rear－End Non－colisisi |
| Fixed＿object | ${ }_{\text {Fixe }}$ |
| \& |  |
|  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Non } \\ \text { Fixed } \\ \hline}}{ }$ |
| Entering atangle＿ 0 alloothers | Turing Movement |
| Entering＿atangle 0 ＿alloothers | Turing Movement |
| ${ }_{\text {Fixed＿obiect }}$ |  |
| d＿obiect | Fixed．obiject＿or＿Other－Obiec |
|  | ${ }^{\text {Nonc－colision }}$ |
| Fixed＿obiect | Fixed．object＿oct |
| From＿smmedirection＿＿＿oboth＿going＿straigh |  |
| obect |  |
| Fixed＿obiect | Fixedolobiector＿othe |
| ${ }_{8}^{\text {Entering＿atange＿}}$ | Truring．avement |
| $\underset{\&}{\infty}$ | Non |
|  | etor＿ot |
| Other non－colit | Non－collision |
| astedirection＿－＿－both＿going Strait | Fixed． 0 |
| Fixedobjiect | Fixed．Obiect＿or＿other－0 |
| others |  |





























| obiect |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fixed obiect | Fixed.obiect_- |
| deadobiect | $\underset{\substack{\text { Frxedobe } \\ \text { Fixedob }}}{\text { ate }}$ |
| m_same_direction_oone_stopped | Rear-End |
| From_same_direction_u_one_tur,one_straik |  |
|  |  |
| Fixed_object | Fixed.Obje |
| From_oppositediriection_u_both_going_straiks |  |
| bering_at_ange_ 0 _alloothers |  |
|  | Non-colision |
| object | -r-0 |
| Freeocoiject | Frxed.obiectorootine-Obed |
| ect | Freedobiecector |
| Fixed obiect | Fixed.obiect or- Othe.-Obiect |
| Fixed obiect | Fixed.ob |
|  | Noncollis |
| 8 ) |  |
| From_oposite_direction___obth_going_traik |  |
| ded_oject | Fied.Obiect_or_other.Object |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| dedobiect | Fixed.Obiector-other.obiect |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Fixedolobject } \\ \text { Entering ata }}}{ }$ | ${ }^{\text {Fixe }}$ |
|  | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Angle } \\ \text { Fred.of }}]{\text { a }}$ |
|  | Non.collision |
| d_object | Fixed.obiector |
| dedobject | Frea-obiector_other-object |
| From_samed | Stieswipeoveratakn |
|  |  |
| \& | collis |
| I_object | Fixedobijettol |
| Other_non-colision | Non-colis |
|  | Non-colision |
|  |  |
| ${ }_{8}$ | Non-collision |
| ked_motor_venicice | Sideswipe.meting |
| ded_object | Fixee.obiector_other-0 |
| ked motor | Sideswie-verataking |
| d_obiect |  |
| Fixed_object | Fived-object_or_ |
| $\pm$ - | Noon-collision |
|  | Non-collision |
| Fixed_obiect | Fixed.obiector_ot |
| Fixed_object | Fixed.obiettor_othe |
|  |  |
| ernon-collision | Non-collision |
|  | Non.collision |
|  | eob |
| Freed_obiect | oroother |
| dred_motor_venicle | mipeoverataking |
| S_same_direction_u_both__Soing_straig |  |
| ering_atangee i-uallothers | ment |
| Etiteringatange-uallooters | ${ }_{\text {ander }}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {Fixededobiect }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Premedol }}$ |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { animal } \\ \text { Fixed }}}^{\text {aber }}$ | $\stackrel{\&}{\text { Fixed. Object or }}$ |
| Animal |  |
| Animal |  |
| \& | Non-collision |
| \& | Non-collision |
|  | encolision |
|  |  |
| Efreeringatangle_ualloothers | Turring_Movement |
| Fived_obiect | Fixed.obiector_Othe |
| ${ }_{\text {Animal }}$ |  |
| ${ }^{\text {mal }}$ |  |
|  |  |
| diobject | Fixed.obiectoroothe |
| Sed obiect | Fixedodobiector or orter-Obied |
| - |  |
|  | collision |
| Freed_obiect | Fixedo.obiector_O-oter |
| tion___ooth_going_staight |  |
| ded_object | Aed.Object_or_Oother |
|  |  |
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## Appendix G Bridge Inventory

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bridge } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Year Built | Owner Name | Structure Name | Length (ft) | Deck Area (Sq Ft) | Functional Classification of Roadway | Carries | Crosses | Sufficiency Rating | Posting | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Operating } \\ & \text { Load } \\ & \text { (Tons) } \end{aligned}$ | Inventory Load (Tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00332C | 1964 | ODOT | Deschutes River, Hwy 2 | 580 | 43495.9 | 01 Rural Interstate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-84 (HWY } \\ & 002) \end{aligned}$ | DESCHUTES RIVER | 86.1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A Open, } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \text { Restriction } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 81 | 49 |
| 00340 | 1920 | City | Gurkin Canyon Creek, E. 2nd Street | 21 | 251.99 | 19 Urban Local | E. 2nd Street | GURKIN CANYON CREEK | 80.5 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { A Open, } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Restriction } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 75 | 45 |
| 00817 | 1961 | ODOT | Slaughterhouse Creek, Hwy 42 | 30 | 1259.97 | 02 Rural Other Princ | US 97 (HWY <br> 042) | SLAUGHTERHOUSE CREEK | 93 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 58.8 | 45.4 |
| 00842A | 1922 | ODOT | Gordon Hollow Creek, Hwy 42 | 8 | 1175.97 | 02 Rural Other Princ | US 97 (HWY <br> 042) | GORDON HOLLOW CREEK | 97.6 | A Open, No <br> Restriction | "" | "" |
| 00845 | 1922 | ODOT | Slaughterhouse Creek, Hwy 42 | 15 | 0 | 02 Rural Other Princ | US 97 (HWY <br> 042) | SLAUGHTERHOUSE CREEK | 97.8 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| 00849A | 1962 | ODOT | Columbia River, Hwy 42 (Biggs Rapids, Sam Hill) | 2567 | 82142 | 02 Rural Other Princ | US 97 (HWY <br> 042) | COLUMBIA R. BIGGS | 48.9 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 63.49 | 38.69 |
| 01170 | 1925 | ODOT | Carolyn Creek, Hwy <br> 42 (E Fork Grass <br> Valley Creek) | 7 | 0 | 02 Rural Other Princ | US 97 (HWY <br> 042) | CAROLYN CREEK | 100 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | "" | "" |
| 01171 | 1925 | ODOT | East Fork Grass Valley Creek, Hwy 42 | 11 | 0 | 02 Rural Other Princ | US 97 (HWY <br> 042) | EAST FK GRASS VALLEY CR | 98 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| 01750A | 1955 | ODOT | Fulton Canyon Creek, Hwy 301 at MP 4.76 | 140 | 4829.88 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | HWY 301 | FULTON CANYON CREEK | 79.4 | A Open, No Restriction | 40 | 24 |
| 01750B | 1964 | ODOT | Fulton Canyon, Hwy 2 EB | 114 | 4753.68 | 01 Rural Interstate | 1-84 (HWY 002) EB | FULTON CANYON | 85.2 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { A Open, } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Restriction } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 41 | 25 |
| 01833 | 1933 | ODOT | Gurkin Canyon Creek, Hwy 2 Frontage Rd | 47 | 1569.76 | 08 Rural min Collector | FRONTAGE RD HWY 02 | GURKIN CANYON CREEK | 60.9 | A Open, No Restriction | 28.9 | 22.3 |
| 01839 | 1919 | ODOT | Scott Creek, Hwy 2 Frontage Rd | 18 | 703.48 | 01 Rural Interstate | FRONTAGE RD HWY 02 | SCOTT CREEK | 76 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| 02133 | 1936 | ODOT | Spanish Hollow Creek, Hwy 2 Frontage Rd | 404 | 14341.65 | 08 Rural min Collector | 1-84 (HWY 002) FR | SPANISH HOLLOW CREEK | 61.2 | A Open, No Restriction | 39 | 23 |
| 02133A | 1964 | ODOT | Spanish Hollow Creek, Hwy 2 | 130 | 14637.64 | 01 Rural Interstate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-84 (HWY } \\ & 002) \end{aligned}$ | SPANISH HOLLOW CREEK | 96.8 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 68 | 35 |
| 04604 | 1951 | ODOT | Culvert, Hwy 300 at MP "". 10 | 7 | 0 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | OR 206 (HWY 300) | CREEK | 88.9 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { A Open, } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Restriction } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | "" | "" |
| 04607 | 1963 | ODOT | Cattlepass, Hwy 42 at MP 16.63 | 7 | 0 | 02 Rural Other Princ | US 97 (HWY <br> 042) | CATTLEPASS | 98.4 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| 04623A | 1962 | ODOT | John Day River, Hwy 300 | 441 | 13582.47 | 06 Rural <br> Minor <br> Arterial | OR 206 <br> (HWY 300) | JOHN DAY RIVER | 58.3 | A Open, No Restriction | 29.9 | 23 |
| 05208 | 1947 | ODOT | Buck Hollow Creek, Hwy 290 | 190 | 5965.85 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | OR 216 <br> (HWY 290) | BUCK HOLLOW CREEK | 83.1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { A Open, } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Restriction } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 43 | 26 |
| 06922A | 1925 | ODOT | Grass Valley Canyon, Hwy 42 | 7 | 0 | 02 Rural Other Princ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 97 \text { (HWY } \\ & \text { 042) } \end{aligned}$ | CREEK | 100 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { A Open, } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Restriction } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | "" | "" |
| 08099 | 1956 | ODOT | Culvert, Hwy 42 at MP 22.09 | 7 | 0 | 02 Rural Other Princ | US 97 (HWY <br> 042) | CULVERT | 98.6 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { A Open, } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Restriction } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | "" | "" |
| 08613 | 1959 | ODOT | Hay Canyon, Hwy 300 | 146 | 4511.29 | 06 Rural Minor Arterial | OR 206 <br> (HWY 300) | HAY CANYON | 80.9 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { A Open, } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Restriction } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 48 | 29 |
| 08614 | 1959 | ODOT | Grass Valley Canyon Creek, Hwy 300 | 185 | 5716.36 | 06 Rural <br> Minor <br> Arterial | OR 206 <br> (HWY 300) | GRASS VALLEY CANYONCREEK | 82.9 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 52 | 31 |
| 08618A | 1959 | ODOT | Spanish Hollow Creek, Hwy 300 | 8 | 0 | 06 Rural Minor Arterial | OR 206 (HWY 300) | SPANISH HOLLOW CREEK | 99.3 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | "" | "" |
| 08619A | 1959 | ODOT | Buck Canyon, Hwy 300 at MP 6.77 | 10 | 0 | 06 Rural Minor Arterial | OR 206 <br> (HWY 300) | BUCK CANYON | 99.5 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { A Open, } \\ \text { No } \\ \text { Restriction } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | "" | "" |
| 08855 | 1962 | ODOT | Spanish Hollow Creek, Hwy 42 at MP 0.39 | 393 | 13754.67 | 02 Rural Other Princ | US 97 (HWY <br> 042) | SPANISH HOLLOW | 65.1 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 24.1 | 18.6 |
| 08892 | 1963 | ODOT | Spanish Hollow Cr, Hwy 42 Rt @ MP2.18 (Mud Hollow) | 46 | 1614.56 | 09 Rural Local | MUD HOLLOW RD | SPANISH HOLLOW CREEK | 40.9 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 35.9 | 27.7 |
| 08893 | 1963 | ODOT | Spanish Hollow Creek, Hwy 42 at MP 2.37 | 130 | 4523.89 | 02 Rural Other Princ | US 97 (HWY <br> 042) | SPANISH HOLLOW CREEK | 68.3 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 26.7 | 20.6 |
| 08894 | 1963 | ODOT | Spanish Hollow Creek, Hwy 42 at MP 2.48 | 165 | 5774.86 | 02 Rural Other Princ | US 97 (HWY <br> 042) | SPANISH HOLLOW CREEK | 63.1 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 24.3 | 18.7 |


| Bridge <br> ID | Year <br> Built | Owner Name | Structure Name | Length (ft) | Deck Area (Sq Ft) | Functional Classification of Roadway | Carries | Crosses | Sufficiency Rating | Posting | Operating <br> Load <br> (Tons) | Inventory Load <br> (Tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08895 | 1963 | ODOT | Spanish Hollow Creek, Hwy 42 at MP 3.11 | 336 | 11826.91 | 02 Rural Other Princ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 97 \text { (HWY } \\ & \text { 042) } \end{aligned}$ | SPANISH HOLLOW CREEK | 79 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 36.1 | 27.8 |
| 08896 | 1963 | ODOT | Spanish Hollow Creek, Hwy 42 at MP 3.25 | 332 | 11652.92 | 02 Rural Other Princ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 97 \text { (HWY } \\ & \text { 042) } \end{aligned}$ | SPANISH HOLLOW CREEK | 68.3 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 26.6 | 20.5 |
| 08942 | 1963 | ODOT | Hwy 2 over Conn (W John Day Intchg) | 36 | 2836.73 | 01 Rural Interstate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-84 (HWY } \\ & 002) \end{aligned}$ | CONN RD | 91.8 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 58 | 35 |
| 09213 | 1965 | ODOT | Hwy 2 WB over UPRR | 458 | 16487.6 | 01 Rural Interstate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-84 (HWY } \\ & \text { 002) WB } \end{aligned}$ | UPRR | 78.3 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 43.4 | 33.5 |
| 09213A | 1965 | ODOT | Hwy 2 EB over UPRR | 450 | 16199.61 | 01 Rural Interstate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-84 (HWY } \\ & \text { 002) EB } \end{aligned}$ | UPRR | 77.2 | A Open, No Restriction | 41.7 | 32.2 |
| 09218 | 1963 | ODOT | Gordon Hollow Creek, Hwy 42 | 7 | 0 | 02 Rural Other Princ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 97 \text { (HWY } \\ & \text { 042) } \end{aligned}$ | GORDON HOLLOW CREEK | 97.7 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | "" | "" |
| 09225 | 1965 | ODOT | Hwy 2 EB over Rufus Conn | 126 | 5291.87 | 01 Rural Interstate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-84 (HWY } \\ & \text { 002) EB } \end{aligned}$ | RUFUS CONN | 82 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 44 | 26 |
| 09225A | 1965 | ODOT | Hwy 2 WB over <br> Rufus Conn | 127 | 5333.87 | 01 Rural Interstate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-84 (HWY } \\ & \text { 002) WB } \end{aligned}$ | RUFUS CONN | 86.5 | A Open, No Restriction | 37.6 | 29 |
| 09232 | 1965 | ODOT | Scott Canyon, Hwy 2 WB | 186 | 12740.69 | 01 Rural Interstate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-84 (HWY } \\ & \text { 002) WB } \end{aligned}$ | SCOTT CANYON WEST | 75.3 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 25.5 | 19.7 |
| 09232A | 1965 | ODOT | Scott Canyon, Hwy 2 EB | 189 | 9222.98 | 01 Rural Interstate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-84 (HWY } \\ & \text { 002) EB } \end{aligned}$ | SCOTT CANYON EAST | 79 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 29.4 | 22.7 |
| 09456 | 1966 | ODOT | Fulton Canyon Creek, Hwy 301 at MP 5.64 | 40 | 1439.96 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | HWY 301 | FULTON CANYON CREEK | 94.1 | A Open, No Restriction | 40.6 | 31.3 |
| 09997 | 1973 | ODOT | Spanish Hollow Creek, Hwy 42 at MP 6.20 | 132 | 6098.25 | 02 Rural Other Princ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 97 \text { (HWY } \\ & \text { 042) } \end{aligned}$ | SPANISH HOLLOW CREEK | 94.7 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 41.6 | 32.1 |
| 09998 | 1973 | ODOT | Spanish Hollow Creek, Hwy 42 at MP 6.98 | 122 | 5660.66 | 02 Rural Other Princ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 97 \text { (HWY } \\ & 042 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | SPANISH HOLLOW CREEK | 98 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 45.5 | 35.1 |
| 09999 | 1973 | ODOT | Spanish Hollow Creek, Hwy 42 at MP 7.56 | 12 | 0 | 02 Rural Other Princ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 97 \text { (HWY } \\ & \text { 042) } \end{aligned}$ | SPANISH HOLLOW CREEK | 84.4 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 60 | 36 |
| OM073 | 1963 | ODOT | China Hollow Creek, Hwy 42 | 9 | 0 | 02 Rural Other Princ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 97 \text { (HWY } \\ & \text { 042) } \end{aligned}$ | CHINA HOLLOW CREEK | 97.2 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | "" | "" |
| OM090 | 1925 | ODOT | Cattlepass, Hwy 282 <br> at MP 17.59 | 6 | 182 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | OR 216 <br> (HWY 290) | CATTLEPASS | 94.3 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | "" | "" |
| OM091 | 1947 | ODOT | Michael Creek, Hwy 290 | 6 | 0 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | OR 216 <br> (HWY 290) | michael Creek | 93.7 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OM093 | 1920 | ODOT | Culvert, Hwy 301 at MP 6.45 | 25 | 749.98 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | HWY 301 | FULTON CANYON CREEK | 92.2 | A Open, No Restriction | 60 | 36 |
| OM094 | 1920 | ODOT | Culvert, Hwy 301 at MP 6.14 | 13 | 285.99 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | HWY 301 | FULTON CANYON CREEK | 92.2 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | "" | "" |
| OM095 | 1955 | ODOT | Culvert, Hwy 301 at MP 6.77 | 15 | 1109.97 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | HWY 301 | CREEK | 99.3 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OM096 | 1920 | ODOT | Culvert, Hwy 301 at MP 7.27 | 10 | 0 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | HWY 301 | CREEK | 71.9 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OM097 | 1920 | ODOT | Fulton Canyon Creek, Hwy 301 at MP 10.26 | 8 | 0 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | HWY 301 | FULTON CANYON CREEK | 70.8 | A Open, No Restriction | 25 | 15 |
| OM106 | 1964 | ODOT | Equipment Pass, Hwy 2 at MP 100.15 | 14 | 1399.97 | 01 Rural Interstate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-84 (HWY } \\ & 002) \end{aligned}$ | EQUIPMENT PASS | 75.1 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | "" | "" |
| OM116 | 1955 | ODOT | Culvert, Hwy 301 at MP 7.05 | 29 | 0 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | HWY 301 | CREEK | 95.3 | A Open, No Restriction | 60 | 36 |
| OM117 | 1920 | ODOT | Culvert, Hwy 301 at MP 7.66 | 8 | 0 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | HWY 301 | CREEK | 88.2 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OM118 | 1920 | ODOT | Dry Creek, Hwy 301 <br> at MP 12.05 | 15 | 0 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | HWY 301 | DRY CREEK | 96.9 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OM119 | 1920 | ODOT | Spanish Hollow Creek, Hwy 301 | 10 | 342.99 | 06 Rural <br> Minor <br> Arterial | HWY 301 | SPANISH HOLLOW CREEK | 100 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP107 | 1964 | ODOT | Finnigan Creek, Hwy $42$ | 6 | 0 | 02 Rural Other Princ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 97 \text { (HWY } \\ & \text { 042) } \end{aligned}$ | FINNIGAN CREEK | 100 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |


| Bridge <br> ID | Year Built | Owner Name | Structure Name | Length (ft) | Deck Area (Sq Ft) | Functional Classification of Roadway | Carries | Crosses | Sufficiency Rating | Posting | Operating Load (Tons) | Inventory Load (Tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OP107S | 1961 | ODOT | Finnigan Creek, Hwy 42 SB at MP 35.28 | 8 | 0 | 02 Rural Other Princ | US 97 (HWY <br> 042) SB | FINNIGAN CREEK | 100 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP118 | 1966 | ODOT | Creek, Hwy 301 at MP 8.97 | 6 | 0 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | HWY 301 | CREEK | 99.3 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP124 | 1959 | ODOT | Cattlepass, Hwy 300 at MP 6.08 | 6 | 0 | 06 Rural Minor Arterial | OR 206 <br> (HWY 300) | CATTLEPASS | 99 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP125 | 1959 | ODOT | Buck Canyon \& Cattlepass, Hwy 300 at MP 7.31 | 17 | 0 | 06 Rural Minor <br> Arterial | OR 206 <br> (HWY 300) | CATTLEPASS \& DRAINAGE | 99.8 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP126 | 1959 | ODOT |  <br> Cattlepass, Hwy 300 at MP 7.55 | 16 | 0 | 06 Rural Minor <br> Arterial | OR 206 <br> (HWY 300) | CATTLEPASS \& DRAINAGE | 99.8 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP127 | 1959 | ODOT |  <br> Cattlepass, Hwy 300 at MP 8.52 | 16 | 2783.93 | 06 Rural Minor <br> Arterial | OR 206 <br> (HWY 300) | CATTLEPASS \& DRAINAGE | 99.8 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP128 | 1959 | ODOT | Cottonwood Canyon \& Cattlepass, Hwy 300 at MP 9.73 | 7 | 559.99 | 06 Rural <br> Minor <br> Arterial | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { OR } 206 \\ & \text { (HWY } \\ & 300 \text { )EB } \end{aligned}$ | COTTONWOOD CANYON | 92.4 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP129 | 1959 | ODOT |  <br> Cattlepass, Hwy 300 <br> at MP 10.65 | 6 | 0 | 06 Rural Minor Arterial | OR 206 <br> (HWY 300) | CATTLEPASS \& DRAINAGE | 92.4 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP130 | 1959 | ODOT | Cottonwood Canyon \& Cattlepass, Hwy300 at MP 11.07 | 7 | 0 | 06 Rural Minor Arterial | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OR } 206 \\ & \text { (HWY } \\ & 300 \text { )EB } \end{aligned}$ | COTTONWOOD CANYON | 92.4 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP131 | 1959 | ODOT | Cottonwood Canyon \& Cattlepass, Hwy300 at MP 11.28 | 7 | 0 | 06 Rural Minor Arterial | OR 206 (HWY 300)EB | COTTONWOOD CANYON | 92.4 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP132 | 1959 | ODOT | Cattlepass, Hwy 300 <br> at MP 14.68 | 7 | 0 | 06 Rural Minor Arterial | OR 206 <br> (HWY 300) | CATTLEPASS | 89 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP141 | 1964 | ODOT | Helms Creek, Hwy 2 | 22 | 5609.86 | 01 Rural Interstate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-84 (HWY } \\ & 002) \end{aligned}$ | HELMS CREEK | 65 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 60 | 36 |
| OP184 | 1959 | ODOT | Cattlepass, Hwy 300 <br> at MP 3.61 | 7 | 412.99 | 06 Rural Minor <br> Arterial | OR 206 <br> (HWY 300) | CATTLEPASS | 99.3 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP416 | 1973 | ODOT | Cattlepass, Hwy 42 at MP 6.55 | 7 | 0 | 02 Rural Other Princ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 97 \text { (HWY } \\ & \text { 042) } \end{aligned}$ | CATTLEPASS | 80 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP417 | 1973 | ODOT | Cattlepass, Hwy 42 <br> at MP 7.66 | 7 | 909.98 | 02 Rural Other Princ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 97 \text { (HWY } \\ & \text { 042) } \end{aligned}$ | CATTLEPASS | 80 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP418 | 1973 | ODOT | Cattlepass, Hwy 42 at MP 7.73 | 7 | 909.98 | 02 Rural Other Princ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 97 \text { (HWY } \\ & \text { 042) } \end{aligned}$ | CATTLEPASS | 65 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP419 | 1973 | ODOT | Cattlepass, Hwy 42 at MP 9.16 | 7 | 923.98 | 02 Rural Other Princ | US 97 (HWY 042) | CATTLEPASS | 84 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP420 | 1973 | ODOT | Cattlepass, Hwy 42 <br> at MP 10.85 | 7 | 923.98 | 06 Rural Minor Arterial | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 97 \text { (HWY } \\ & 042 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | CATTLEPASS | 80 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| OP434 | 1959 | ODOT | South Fork Spanish Hollow Creek, Hwy 300 | 7 | 0 | 06 Rural Minor Arterial | HWY 300 | CREEK | 88 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| 13548 | 1973 | ODOT | Hwy 301 over Hwy 42 (Wasco Intchg) | 208 | 7238.22 | 06 Rural Minor <br> Arterial | HWY 301 | O-XING HWY <br> 42(WASCO INT) | 96.1 | A Open, No Restriction | 43.9 | 33.8 |
| 16072 | 1973 | ODOT | Gordon Hollow Creek, Hwy 301 | 12 | 0 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | HWY 301 | GORDON HOLLOW CREEK | 98.6 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| 18017 | 1957 | City | Grass Valley Canyon, Blagg Ln | 23 | 602.76 | 09 Rural Local | BLAGG <br> LANE | GRASS VALLEY CANYON | 64 | A Open, No Restriction | 25 | 15 |
| 18715 | 1986 | ODOT | Cattlepass, Hwy 42 <br> at MP 25.87 | 7 | 4499.89 | 02 Rural Other Princ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 97 \text { (HWY } \\ & \text { 042) } \end{aligned}$ | CATTLEPASS | 98 | A Open, No Restriction | 75 | 45 |
| 20074 | 2004 | County | Barnum Canyon, Monkland Lane | 20 | 0 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | MONKLAND LANE | BARNUM CANYON | 100 | A Open, No Restriction | 60 | 36 |
| 20912 | 1995 | State Park | Bridge on River Trail by Blackberry | 0 | -10.76 | Not Applicable | State Park <br> Trail | Eagle Creek | -2 | A Open, No Restriction | "" | "" |
| 21487 | 2014 | ODOT | Hwy 42 over UPRR | 145 | 12759.69 | 02 Rural Other Princ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 97 \text { (HWY } \\ & \text { 042) } \end{aligned}$ | UPRR | 78.5 | G New <br> Structure, not yet Open to Traffic | 75 | 45 |
| 21488 | 2014 | ODOT | Hwy 42 over Hwy 2 | 113 | 9491.77 | 02 Rural Other Princ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 97 \text { (HWY } \\ & \text { 042) } \end{aligned}$ | 1-84 (HWY 002) | 73.8 | G New Structure, not yet Open to Traffic | 75 | 16.2 |
| 558391 | 1957 | County | Grass Valley Canyon, Monkland Ln | 88 | 2529.46 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | MONKLAND LANE | GRASS VALLEY CANYON | 91.4 | A Open, No Restriction | 61 | 37 |


| Bridge <br> ID | Year Built | Owner Name | Structure Name | Length (ft) | Deck Area (Sq Ft) | Functional Classification of Roadway | Carries | Crosses | Sufficiency Rating | Posting | Operating <br> Load <br> (Tons) | Inventory <br> Load <br> (Tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 558812 | 1960 | County | Grass Valley Canyon, Lone Rock Rd | 114 | 3304.44 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | LONE ROCK ROAD | GRASS VALLEY CANYON | 85.3 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 47 | 28 |
| 55C002 | 1919 | County | Hay Canyon, Hay Canyon Rd | 34 | 1356.22 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | HAY CANYON ROAD | HAY CANYON | 96.3 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 56 | 34 |
| 55C003 | 1920 | County | Finnegan Creek, Finnegan Rd | 30 | 871.86 | 08 Rural min Collector | FINNEGAN ROAD | FINNEGAN CREEK | 38.7 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 46 | 27 |
| 55C004 | 1957 | County | Rosebush Creek, Rutledge Rd | 28 | 828.8 | 07 Rural Mjr Collector | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RUTLEDGE } \\ & \text { ROAD } \end{aligned}$ | ROSEBUSH CREEK | 80 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 48 | 29 |
| 55C010 | 1930 | County | Mud Hollow Canyon, Mud Hollow Rd | 31 | 688.87 | 09 Rural Local | MUD HOLLOW RD | MUD HOLLOW CANYON | 91.1 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 48 | 29 |
| 55C011 | 1970 | County | Barnum Canyon, Henrichs Rd | 26 | 774.98 | 09 Rural Local | HENRICHS ROAD | BARNUM CANYON | 85 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 91 | 54 |
| 55C012 | 1957 | County | Rosebush Creek, Blagg Rd | 33 | 861.09 | 09 Rural Local | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BLAGG } \\ & \text { ROAD } \end{aligned}$ | ROSEBUSH CREEK | 88.5 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 43 | 26 |
| 55C013 | 1961 | County | Hay Canyon, Hay Canyon Rd | 38 | 1173.24 | 09 Rural Local | HAY CANYON ROAD | HAY CANYON | 94.1 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 52 | 31 |
| W1750B | 1964 | ODOT | Fulton Canyon, Hwy $2 \text { WB }$ | 114 | 4753.68 | 01 Rural Interstate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-84 (HWY } \\ & \text { 002) WB } \end{aligned}$ | FULTON CANYON | 85.2 | A Open, <br> No <br> Restriction | 41 | 25 |

# Appendix H 2035 Operational Analysis Worksheets \& Queue Length Calculations 

Intersection Analysis Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Control Type | Method | Worst Mvmt | V/C | Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Van Gilder Road / OR 206 | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | NBL | 0.022 | 8.9 | A |
| 2 | Klondike Road / OR 206 | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | WBL | 0.000 | 8.7 | A |
| 6 | OR 206 / US 97 NB | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | NBT | 0.000 | 9.4 | A |
| 7 | OR 206 / US 97 SB | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | SBT | 0.000 | 9.3 | A |
| 8 | Clark St /OR 206/Old Wasco <br> Heppner Hwy | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | WBT | 0.023 | 10.4 | B |
| 9 | Clark St / OR 206 | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | NWBL | 0.001 | 9.7 | A |
| 10 | I-84 WB / John Day Dam <br> Road | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | WBT | 0.000 | 10.2 | B |
| 11 | I-84 EB / John Day Dam <br> Road | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | EBT | 0.003 | 9.9 | A |
| 12 | Krusow St/OR 216 / Mill <br> St/US 97 | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | EBL | 0.006 | 10.3 | B |
| 13 | Lone Rock Road / US 97 | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | NWBT | 0.004 | 12.2 | B |
| 14 | 4th Street / US 97 | Two-way stop | HCM2010 | SEBT | 0.000 | 12.6 | B |

V/C, Delay, LOS: For two-way stop, these values are taken from the movement with the worst (highest) delay value; for all other control types, they are taken for the whole intersection.

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#1: Van Gilder Road / OR 206

> Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:
Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 8.9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | A |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.022 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 55.00 |  | 55.00 |  | 55.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  | no |  | no |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 19 | 0 | 20 | 15 | 0 | 21 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 19 | 0 | 20 | 15 | 0 | 21 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 5 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 6 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 21 | 0 | 22 | 17 | 0 | 23 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 8.86 | 8.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.29 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 1.69 | 1.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.86 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 2.24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#2: Klondike Road / OR 206

> Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 8.7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | A |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.000 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 40.00 |  | 55.00 |  | 55.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  | no |  | no |  |

## Volumes



Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 8.71 | 8.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.26 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 8.42 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.59 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#6: OR 206 / US 97 NB

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 9.4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | A |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.000 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  |
| Lane Configuration | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{6}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\hat{\imath}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  | 55.00 |  |  | 55.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 8.86 | 9.39 | 8.43 | 7.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A |  |  | A | A |  |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 8.43 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#7: OR 206 / US 97 SB

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 9.3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | A |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.000 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | outhbound |  |  | astboun |  |  | estbou |  |  | westb |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  | $\hat{}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  |  | 55.00 |  |  | 55.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 1.000 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 3 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Free | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 8.84 | 9.33 | 8.44 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A |  | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.39 | 1.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 8.84 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.75 |  |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Level Of Service Report
\#8: Clark St /OR 206/Old Wasco Heppner Hwy

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
10.4

B
0.023

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Intersection Setup


## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 28 | 60 | 4 | 1 | 52 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 18 | 12 | 15 | 4 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 28 | 60 | 4 | 1 | 52 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 18 | 12 | 15 | 4 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0.960 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 7 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 29 | 63 | 4 | 1 | 54 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 19 | 13 | 16 | 4 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  | no |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | no |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.37 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.99 | 10.30 | 8.70 | 10.12 | 10.37 | 8.83 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | B | A | B | B | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 4.95 | 4.95 | 4.95 | 2.84 | 2.84 | 2.84 | 2.65 | 2.65 | 2.65 | 3.49 | 3.49 | 3.49 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 2.23 |  |  | 0.13 |  |  | 9.25 |  |  | 10.09 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 3.87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#9: Clark St / OR 206

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Two-way stop } \\
& \text { HCM2010 } \\
& 15 \text { minutes }
\end{aligned}
$$

9.7

A
0.001

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Left | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 30.00 |  | 30.00 |  | 40.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  | no |  | no |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 44 | 0 | 32 | 47 | 1 | 48 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 44 | 0 | 32 | 47 | 1 | 48 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 | 0.9000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 12 | 0 | 9 | 13 | 0 | 13 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 49 | 0 | 36 | 52 | 1 | 53 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  | no |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | no |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.37 | 0.00 | 9.71 | 8.73 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.17 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4.49 | 4.49 | 4.21 | 4.21 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  | 3.01 |  | 8.75 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 3.86 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

Version 3.00-00

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#10: I-84 WB / John Day Dam Road

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | estbou |  |  | eastb |  |  | westb |  |  | heastb |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 35.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  | 30.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 23 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 39 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 23 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 39 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.950 | 0.950 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 10 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 24 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 41 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Stop | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 9.54 | 10.16 | 8.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | B | A |  |  |  | A | A |  |  | A | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 2.41 | 2.41 | 2.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.53 | 2.53 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 9.46 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 5.63 |  |  | 0.00 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 3.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Version 3.00-00

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#11: I-84 EB / John Day Dam Road

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity (v/c):
0.003

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | astbound |  |  | westb |  |  | westb |  |  | heastb |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { - }}{ }$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 35.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  | 35.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  | no |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 3 | 2 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 21 | 3 | 48 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 3 | 2 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 21 | 3 | 48 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 1.000 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 13 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 3 | 2 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 22 | 3 | 51 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Stop | Stop | Free |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane | no |  |  |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance | no |  |  |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 9.34 | 9.87 | 8.70 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A |  |  |  |  | A | A | A | A |  |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.00 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 3.32 | 3.32 | 3.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.74 | 2.74 | 0.00 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 8.80 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.41 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 2.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#12: Krusow St/OR 216 / Mill St/US 97

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Eastbound |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Speed [mph] | 45.00 |  | 45.00 |  | 25.00 |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  | yes |  | yes |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 0 | 124 | 165 | 11 | 4 | 0 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 124 | 165 | 11 | 4 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.9500 | 0.9500 | 0.9500 | 0.9500 | 0.9500 | 0.9500 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 33 | 43 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 131 | 174 | 12 | 4 | 0 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  | no |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | no |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.59 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.31 | 9.20 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | B | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.44 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | 10.31 |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  | A |  | B |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |

Version 3.00-00

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#13: Lone Rock Road / US 97

Control Type:
Analysis Method:
Analysis Period:
Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

| Delay (sec / veh): | 12.2 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level Of Service: | B |
| Volume to Capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}):$ | 0.004 |

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | heastbo |  |  | westb |  |  | westb |  |  | heastb |  |
| Lane Configuration |  | $\stackrel{\uparrow}{-}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{t}{4}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\oplus}{\square}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\square}$ |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 25.00 |  |  | 25.00 |  |  | 25.00 |  |  | 25.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

## Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 5 | 111 | 16 | 20 | 201 | 6 | 17 | 2 | 42 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 5 | 111 | 16 | 20 | 201 | 6 | 17 | 2 | 42 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 1 | 29 | 4 | 5 | 53 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 5 | 117 | 17 | 21 | 212 | 6 | 18 | 2 | 44 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  | no |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | no |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.04 | 12.23 | 9.33 | 12.19 | 12.00 | 9.53 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | B | B | A | B | B | A |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 8.58 | 8.58 | 8.58 | 14.74 | 14.74 | 14.74 | 6.89 | 6.89 | 6.89 | 1.95 | 1.95 | 1.95 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 0.28 |  |  | 0.66 |  |  | 10.18 |  |  | 11.24 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection Level Of Service Report \#14: 4th Street / US 97

## Control Type: <br> Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Two-way stop
HCM2010
15 minutes

Delay (sec / veh):
Level Of Service:
Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ):
12.6

B
0.000

Intersection Setup

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach |  | heastb |  |  | westb |  |  | westb |  |  | heastb |  |
| Lane Configuration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Movement | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Lane Width [ft] | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 |
| No. of Lanes in Pocket | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pocket Length [ft] | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Speed [mph] | 25.00 |  |  | 25.00 |  |  | 25.00 |  |  | 25.00 |  |  |
| Grade [\%] | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| Crosswalk | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  | yes |  |  |

Volumes

| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Volume Input [veh/h] | 24 | 135 | 0 | 3 | 201 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 31 |
| Base Volume Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Heavy Vehicles Percentage [\%] | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| In-Process Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Site-Generated Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Diverted Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by Trips [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Volume [veh/h] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Hourly Volume [veh/h] | 24 | 135 | 0 | 3 | 201 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 31 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 | 0.950 |
| Other Adjustment Factor | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h] | 6 | 36 | 0 | 1 | 53 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 8 |
| Total Analysis Volume [veh/h] | 25 | 142 | 0 | 3 | 212 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 29 | 0 | 33 |
| Pedestrian Volume [ped/h] | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Bicycle Volume [bicycles/h] | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Version 3.00-00
Intersection Settings

| Priority Scheme | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flared Lane |  |  | no |  |
| Storage Area [veh] | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Two-Stage Gap Acceptance |  |  | no |  |
| Number of Storage Spaces in Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Movement, Approach, \& Intersection Results

| V/C, Movement V/C Ratio | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh] | 7.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.23 | 12.15 | 9.01 | 12.41 | 12.63 | 10.01 |
| Movement LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | B | B | A | B | B | B |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh] | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
| 95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft] | 10.69 | 10.69 | 10.69 | 14.12 | 14.12 | 14.12 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 7.89 | 7.89 | 7.89 |
| d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh] |  | 1.16 |  |  | 0.10 |  |  | 10.27 |  |  | 11.13 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| d_I, Intersection Delay [s/veh] | 2.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Vistro File: H:\...।Future Conditions-ajg-no biggs.vistro

Turning Movement Volume: Summary

| ID | Intersection Name | Northbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 1 | Van Gilder Road / OR 206 | 19 | 0 | 20 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 75 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Westbound |  | Northwestbound |  | Southeastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 2 | Klondike Road / OR 206 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 39 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |  |
| 6 | OR 206 / US 97 NB | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 26 | 15 | 67 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 7 | OR 206 / US 97 SB | 3 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 54 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 8 | Clark St /OR 206/Old Wasco | 28 | 60 | 4 | 1 | 52 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 18 | 12 | 15 | 4 | 206 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Northwestbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Left | Right |  |
| 9 | Clark St / OR 206 | 44 | 0 | 32 | 47 | 1 | 48 | 172 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Westbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  | Southeastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |  |
| 10 | I-84 WB / John Day Dam Road | 23 | 0 | 2 | 36 | 11 | 27 | 39 | 138 |

Version 3.00-00

| ID | Intersection Name | Eastbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  | Southeastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 11 | I-84 EB / John Day Dam Road | 3 | 2 | 35 | 44 | 21 | 3 | 48 | 156 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Eastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |  |
| 12 | Krusow St/OR 216 / Mill St/US | 0 | 124 | 165 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 304 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 13 | Lone Rock Road / US 97 | 5 | 111 | 16 | 20 | 201 | 6 | 17 | 2 | 42 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 435 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 14 | 4th Street / US 97 | 24 | 135 | 0 | 3 | 201 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 31 | 440 |

Vistro File: H:\...।Future Conditions-ajg-no biggs.vistro Report File: H:\...IFuture Conditions-ajg-no biggs.pdf

Turning Movement Volume: Detail

| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northbound |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 1 | Van Gilder Road / OR 206 | Final Base | 19 | 0 | 20 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 75 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 19 | 0 | 20 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 75 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Westbound |  | Northwestbound |  | Southeastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 2 | Klondike Road OR 206 | Final Base | 0 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 39 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 0 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 39 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northbound |  |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |  |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { OR } 206 \text { / US } 97 \\ \text { NB } \end{gathered}$ | Final Base | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 26 | 15 | 67 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 26 | 15 | 67 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  | Westbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { OR } 206 \text { / US } 97 \\ \text { SB } \end{gathered}$ | Final Base | 3 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 54 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 3 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 3 | 25 | 54 |

Version 3.00-00

| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 8 | Clark St /OR 206/Old Wasco Heppner Hwy | Final Base | 28 | 60 | 4 | 1 | 52 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 18 | 12 | 15 | 4 | 206 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 28 | 60 | 4 | 1 | 52 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 18 | 12 | 15 | 4 | 206 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Northwestbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Left | Right |  |
| 9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Clark St / OR } \\ 206 \end{gathered}$ | Final Base | 44 | 0 | 32 | 47 | 1 | 48 | 172 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 44 | 0 | 32 | 47 | 1 | 48 | 172 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Westbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  | Southeastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Thru | Right |  |
| 10 | I-84 WB / John Day Dam Road | Final Base | 23 | 0 | 2 | 36 | 11 | 27 | 39 | 138 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 23 | 0 | 2 | 36 | 11 | 27 | 39 | 138 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Eastbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  | Southeastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| 11 | I-84 EB / John Day Dam Road | Final Base | 3 | 2 | 35 | 44 | 21 | 3 | 48 | 156 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 3 | 2 | 35 | 44 | 21 | 3 | 48 | 156 |

Version 3.00-00

| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northbound |  | Southbound |  | Eastbound |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |  |
| 12 | Krusow St/OR216 / Mill St/US97 | Final Base | 0 | 124 | 165 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 304 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 0 | 124 | 165 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 304 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 13 | Lone Rock Road / US 97 | Final Base | 5 | 111 | 16 | 20 | 201 | 6 | 17 | 2 | 42 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 435 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 5 | 111 | 16 | 20 | 201 | 6 | 17 | 2 | 42 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 435 |


| ID | Intersection Name | Volume Type | Northeastbound |  |  | Southwestbound |  |  | Northwestbound |  |  | Southeastbound |  |  | Total Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| 14 | 4th Street / US 97 | Final Base | 24 | 135 | 0 | 3 | 201 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 31 | 440 |
|  |  | Growth Rate | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | - |
|  |  | In Process | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Net New Trips | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Future Total | 24 | 135 | 0 | 3 | 201 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 28 | 0 | 31 | 440 |

Version 3.00-00
Study Intersections


Lane Configuration and Traffic Control


Version 3.00-00
Lane Configuration and Traffic Control


Version 3.00-00
Traffic Volume - Base Volume


Version 3.00-00
Traffic Volume - Base Volume


## Traffic Conditions



Version 3.00-00
Traffic Conditions


c Critical Lane Group

c Critical Lane Group


## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information

| Analyst: |  | AJG |  |  | Agen | cy/Co.: | Gilliam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jurisdiction |  | Sherman |  |  | Projec | ct ID: | 18054 |
| Date Perfo | ormed: | 2/1/2015 |  |  | Analy | sis Year: | 2014 |
| Analysis Ti | Time Period: | 4:30-5:30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | n: | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/West | Street: | OR 206 |  |  |  |  |  |
| North/South | th Street: | Van Gild |  |  |  |  |  |
| Input |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results |
| Approach | Lane Group, Code | Volume, veh/hr | \% Heavy Vehicles | Conflicting <br> Volume,veh/hr | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Signal } \\ & (0 \text { or } 1) \end{aligned}$ | Left Turn Lane $(0$ or 1$)$ | Queue Length Feet |
| NB | MNLR | 19 | 2.0\% | 66 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information

| Analyst: Jurisdiction: |  | AJG |  |  | Agency/Co.: <br> Project ID: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Gilliam } \\ & \hline 18054 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sherman County |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date Performed: |  | 2/1/2015 |  |  | Analysis Year: |  | 2014 |
| Analysis Time Period: Intersection: |  | 4:30-5:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\frac{14}{4 t h}$ Street |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection: <br> East/West Street: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/West Street: <br> North/South Street: |  | US 97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Input |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results |
| Approach | Lane Group, Code | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Volume, } \\ \text { veh/hr } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \% Heavy Vehicles | Conflicting <br> Volume,veh/hr | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \hline \text { Signal } \\ (0 \text { or } 1) \end{array}$ | Left Turn Lane <br> $(0$ or 1$)$ | Queue Length <br> Feet |
| EB | MNLTR | 60 | 2.0\% | 376 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| WB | MNLTR | 5 | 2.0\% | 376 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information


## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information

| Analyst: |  | AJG |  |  | Agenc | cy/Co.: | Gilliam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jurisdiction |  | Sherman |  |  | Projec | ct ID: | 18054 |
| Date Perfo | rmed: | 2/1/2015 |  |  | Analy | ysis Year: | 2014 |
| Analysis T | ime Period: | 1:30-2:30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersectio | n: | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/West | Street: | OR 216 |  |  |  |  |  |
| North/Sou | th Street: | US 97 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Input |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results |
| Approach | Lane Group, <br> Code | Volume, veh/hr | \% Heavy Vehicles | Conflicting <br> Volume,veh/hr | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \hline \text { Signal } \\ (0 \text { or } 1) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Left Turn Lane <br> $(0$ or 1$)$ | Queue Length <br> Feet |
| EB | MNLR | 4 | 2.0\% | 300 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information

| Analyst: |  | AJG |  |  | Agenc | cy/Co.: | Gilliam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jurisdiction |  | Sherman |  |  | Projec | ct ID: | 18054 |
| Date Perfo | ormed: | 2/1/2015 |  |  | Analy | sis Year: | 2014 |
| Analysis T | Time Period: | 4:45-5:4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersectio | n: | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/West | Street: | I-84 WB |  |  |  |  |  |
| North/Sou | th Street: | John Day | Road |  |  |  |  |
| Input |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results |
| Approach | Lane Group, Code | Volume, veh/hr | \% Heavy <br> Vehicles | Conflicting <br> Volume,veh/hr | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \hline \text { Signal } \\ (0 \text { or } 1) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Left Turn Lane <br> $(0$ or 1$)$ | Queue Length Feet |
| EB | MNLTR | 39 | 2.0\% | 116 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information

| Analyst: |  | AJG |  |  | Agenc | cy/Co.: | Gilliam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jurisdiction |  | Sherman |  |  | Projec | ct ID: | 18054 |
| Date Perfo | rmed: | 2/1/2015 |  |  | Analy | sis Year: | 2014 |
| Analysis T | ime Period: | 4:45-5:4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/West | Street: | I-84 WB |  |  |  |  |  |
| North/Sou | th Street: | John Day | Road |  |  |  |  |
| Input |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results |
| Approach | Lane Group, Code | Volume, veh/hr | $\%$ <br> Heavicles | Conflicting Volume,veh/hr | $\left\|\begin{array}{l}\text { Signal } \\ (0 \text { or } 1)\end{array}\right\|$ | Left Turn Lane <br> $(0$ or 1$)$ | Queue Length Feet |
| WB | MNLTR | 25 | 2.0\% | 113 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information

| Analyst: |  | AJG |  |  | Agenc | cy/Co.: | Gilliam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jurisdiction |  | Sherman |  |  | Projec | ct ID: | 18054 |
| Date Perfo | rmed: | 2/1/2015 |  |  | Analy | sis Year: | 2014 |
| Analysis T | ime Period: | 4:30-5:30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | n: | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/West | Street: | OR 206 |  |  |  |  |  |
| North/Sou | th Street: | Clark St |  |  |  |  |  |
| Input |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results |
| Approach | Lane Group, Code | Volume, veh/hr | \% Heavy <br> Vehicles | Conflicting <br> Volume,veh/hr | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \hline \text { Signal } \\ (0 \text { or } 1) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Left Turn Lane <br> $(0$ or 1$)$ | Queue Length Feet |
| WB | MNLR | 49 | 2.0\% | 44 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information


## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information


## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information


## Queue Length Estimation at Two-Way STOP Controlled Intersection

Project Information

| Analyst: |  | AJG |  |  | Agenc | cy/Co.: | Gilliam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jurisdiction |  | Sherman |  |  | Project | ct ID: | 18054 |
| Date Perfo | rmed: | 2/1/2015 |  |  | Analy | sis Year: | 2014 |
| Analysis Ti | ime Period: | 4:30-5:30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection | n: | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| East/West | Street: | OR 206 |  |  |  |  |  |
| North/Sout | th Street: | Klondike |  |  |  |  |  |
| Input |  |  |  |  |  |  | Results |
| Approach | Lane Group, <br> Code | Volume, veh/hr | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \text { Heavy } \\ \text { Vehicles } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Conflicting <br> Volume,veh/hr | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Signal } \\ & (0 \text { or } 1) \end{aligned}$ | Left Turn Lane <br> $(0$ or 1$)$ | Queue Length <br> Feet |
| WB | MNLR | 3 | 2.0\% | 36 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix I Project Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

# Meeting Minutes 

## Sherman County Transportation System Plan (TSP) <br> Project Advisory Committee Meeting

March 18, 2015: 10:30 a.m. - 12:30 p.m.
Moro, Oregon

## Attendance: See attached sign-in sheet

## Meeting Notes

1. Casey Bergh provided an introduction to the project, its purpose and value to the County and cities.
2. Introductions - Everyone was asked to identify their top two transportation issues in the County. Transportation issues and concerns identified by the PAC during introductions include:
a. Safety
i. Traffic needs to be slowed on Main Street in Moro (this concern was reiterated by many people in the room). One idea was a flashing light at the corner of Main Street/ $1^{\text {st }}$.
ii. Access/egress is a concern for the Oregon Raceway. People arrive for events in waves, and they need to be able to accommodate everyone. There is also concern about emergency access during events with only one road serving the raceway and one connection to US 97.
iii. Concern about the congestion at Biggs.
iv. There is concern about kids crossing US 97 (e.g., going to school)
$v$. There is concern about how to design roads to accommodate elderly drivers and elderly pedestrians in the County.
vi. There is concern with wheat trucks trying to turn off highway at several public and private streets (Kent was mentioned as one location). Providing deceleration and storage for right-turn vehicles at locations where trucks turn into facilities may be helpful.
vii. There is concern about a bill that would raise the speed limit on Highway 97 and 197. The County wants to keep the speed limit at 55 mph in Sherman County because they have narrow roads, lots of trucks, and few resources when crashes occur. They noted that the ODOT staff work well with the County and do a good job. (Note: follow up on this bill revealed that the increased speed limit would not apply to US 97 in Sherman County.)
viii. There is concern with people falling asleep while driving on the highway, which the rescue units frequently deal with.
ix. There is concern about the south entrance to Wasco from US 97. PAC members said you have to make a decision and then make the turn fast. Concerns were noted at the north entrance as well.
$x$. There is opportunity for deceleration lanes in a lot of places.
xi. There is concern about the lack of common sense and distracted drivers on US 97.
xii. There is interest and concern with Highway 30, which runs parallel to I-84. It is vital to keep it open, but there is an issue just outside/east of Biggs. The hillside is crumbling so a more permanent fix in this area may be needed. People that work in Biggs and live in Rufus rely on it, and it is an important alternate route when the freeway is closed.
xiii. A successful plan would be one that helps to reduce traffic fatality rates.
b. Truck Traffic
i. Concern about the lack of passing lanes on US 97. The emergency services group sees a lot of people making bad decisions while passing trucks because they get impatient. Locations mentioned for potential passing lanes were: coming up from Biggs, or on hills.
ii. Concern about the high volumes of truck traffic and high truck speeds, especially in Moro. The concern of truck traffic was reiterated by multiple people at the meeting.
c. Economic Development
i. Desire to create thriving communities, but hindered when the community is bisected by a highway.
ii. There is concern with providing transportation service (including maintained roadways and public transportation) to current and future businesses to support economic development, and continuing to serve agricultural businesses, which is a huge industry for the County.
iii. Bicycle tourism has great potential for the area, and the County would like to know how to encourage it in a safe way.
iv. There is interest in finding ways to get people to pull off the highway and linger more in communities, supporting economic vitality.

## d. Multimodal Transportation

i. City of Moro needs to add sidewalk to all streets (some existing sidewalks are in disrepair).
ii. There are a lot of walkers/joggers, especially on Lonerock Road in Moro and other roads in each city. There is a need for more pedestrian routes.
iii. There is concern with bicyclists on the highway. The roads are narrow and it can be challenging to safely pass cyclists (they ride in the middle of the lane and there are a lot of blind corners).
iv. Multimodal transportation is another area of concern, particularly building bike paths into infrastructure to support bike tourism and adding sidewalks to help kids walk to school/enable adults to safely walk for recreation.
v. Getting patients to and from the Sherman County medical clinic (in Moro) and to other clinics as needed.
vi. A successful project would be a product or adopted TSP that embodies balance: balances safety with mobility issues (vehicles, freight, walking, etc.) and achieving a balance and equity for everyone involved in the process.
e. Funding
i. City of Rufus needs some road upgrades and bridge repairs/upgrades because a lot more trucks are traveling through the City.
ii. Wasco is the only community that is bypassed by US 97 and the downtown area of Wasco is in disrepair.
iii. The bridge in downtown Wasco is starting to crumble, with concrete that falls off. The bridge is in limbo in terms of who is responsible for taking care of it.
iv. The County would like to figure out how to partner with ODOT more, although they still have a good working relationship.
v. There is the need for a voice at the planning commission meeting and hopes that this TSP process is a great opportunity to take that voice to a level to guide infrastructure improvements.
3. Project Overview: Casey gave an overview of the project.
a. Purpose of the project:
i. Documenting priorities for transportation projects
ii. Guide the County to allocate financial resources appropriately to meet transportation needs over next 20 years;
iii. If projects are documented in plan, they are more likely to get funding through grants.
b. KAl to add Kent to study area maps. It is included in the study area, so an inset will be included to make sure people consider it when providing comments and reviewing material.
c. KAI will provide an alphabetical list of acronyms and their definition to be used as a reference document throughout the project.
d. Casey noted that we will try to put an executive summary up front of memos to give them key information.
e. Casey highlighted the project website and noted that the public involvement tab will be an important link as we move forward. We will use it to gather feedback from those unable to attend meetings in person.
4. Goals \& Objectives
a. Mobility -
i. The group discussed the high school and how people access it south of Moro. The majority of people drive to high school, and just a few walk to school. People are using the state highway to get to school. There may be a need for a off-street path to high school. The County has a plan to redo the high school entrance; they want to consolidate two accesses to one. Even more traffic will use this location when the future elementary school is constructed near the high school. The fire chief said that 2 entrances are needed to get to the high school in the event of an emergency.
ii. The sidewalk along US 97 (Main Street) to the school is also a common walking path for recreation.
b. Environment - Some concern about funding was expressed, noting that the PAC doesn't want to allocate limited dollars to active transportation projects (sidewalks, bike lanes, etc.) at the expense of other roadways that need maintenance. There is concern about how to fund bike/ped projects when they don't even have the money to fund highway
projects. They want to be realistic and expressed the desire to get funding from people using the bike paths. A discussion followed about how bicyclists also pay income and property taxes and that maintenance funds are in different pots of money from Enhance/Fit It funds, lottery funds, license fees at the state level, etc. Michael reminded the group that it is a balance and that there is often money set aside specifically for bike/ped projects and we want to help them be in a position to apply for that money.
5. Casey gave a brief overview of the plans and policy memorandum.
6. Ashleigh summarized the results of the existing and future conditions analysis.
a. Population forecast:
i. The group believed the 2015 population forecast numbers are slightly inaccurate, noting that their existing populations were higher than the numbers shown.
b. Priority land for development:
i. The group agreed with the majority of the priority lands for development shown and noted that:

1. The west end of Wasco is another residential development;
2. The west side of Wasco is zoned agriculture (the KAI map needs to be updated).
c. We reviewed safety statistics and crash trends.
i. KAl to double-check the volume at Van Gilder Rd/OR 206, those in the room thought this should have fairly high volume as it is a major intersection. (KAI had referenced the low volume as the reason for a high crash rate at this intersection with only one crash.)
ii. The group also discussed concern about truck speed southbound on US 97 at Biggs-Rufus. A concern that the future traffic signal would just lead to more rear-end crashes was voiced.
3. Workshop: After reviewing the materials, the group split up and marked up the boards in the room with locations of additional concerns or issues in the County. Comments received from these boards will be incorporated into the next Technical Memorandum.
4. Upcoming Meetings and Deliverables
a. Wednesday, May $6^{\text {th }}$, at 3:00 PM is confirmed for the next PAC meeting.
i. Technical Memorandum \#4 (Alternatives Analysis) will be distributed to PAC for review prior to next meeting.
b. Wednesday, May $6^{\text {th }}$, from 6:00 PM will be the public open house (held in Moro). There will also be an online virtual open house where people can provide comments.
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## TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM \#4

Sherman County Transportation System Plan Update
Alternatives Analysis

| Date: | May 29, 2015 | Project \#: 18054 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| To: | Michael Duncan, ODOT |  |
|  | Georgia Macnab, Sherman County |  |
| From: | Casey Bergh, PE; Ashleigh Griffin; and Marc Butorac, PE, PTOE |  |
| cc: | Project Advisory Committee |  |

This memorandum provides a framework for the implementation of future transportation improvements. The framework includes an updated functional classification system for Sherman County and roadway design standards that will guide future improvement projects. Specific improvement projects are summarized, which include projects to address all needs identified in Memorandum \#3 (Existing and Future Needs) as identified by the public, the Project Advisory Committee, Sherman County staff, and ODOT staff. The memorandum is organized in three main sections based on these elements; proposed functional classification, roadway design standards, and transportation alternatives.

## FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION

Functional classification of a roadway characterizes the intended purpose, amount and type of vehicular traffic it is expected to carry, provisions for non-auto travel, and the roadway's design standards. The classification considers the adjacent land uses and transportation modes that should be accommodated.

Proposed classifications identified for Sherman County include: Interstate, Principal Arterial, Major Collector, Minor Collector, and Local Road. Table 4-1 provides a detailed description of each classification. Figure 4-1 presents the proposed functional classifications for all existing County roadways, based on the existing Federal Functional Classifications. The functional classifications apply in both urban and rural environments.

Table 4-1. Sherman County Functional Classification Descriptions

| Functional Classification | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Interstate | Primary function is mobility and to serve long-distance travel. These roadways are high-speed, divided roadways with limited access. Interstates link urban areas across the United States. |
| Principal Arterial | Primary function is to carry high levels of regional vehicular traffic at high speeds. These roads connect the collector road system to freeways, provide access to other cities and communities, and serve major traffic movements. Access is limited but can be accommodated with at-grade intersections. |
| Minor Arterial | Primary function is to link cities and larger destinations and form an integrated network providing interstate and inter-county service. Minor Arterials provide service to corridors with trip lengths and travel density greater than collectors and local roads. Travel speeds are relatively high, and the interference to the through-movement is typically minimal on Minor Arterials. Minor Arterials provide more land access than Principal Arterials. |
| Major Collector | Primary function is to serve traffic from local roads and move them to arterials. These roads provide some degree of access to adjacent properties, while maintaining circulation and mobility for all users. Major Collectors carry lower traffic volumes at slower speeds than arterials. Major Collectors are often longer in length and have lower driveway density, higher speed limits, higher traffic volumes, and may have more travel lanes than Minor Collectors. <br> Major Collectors can be located in urban or rural environments. In rural environments, Collectors generally serve intra-county travel. In rural areas, traffic volumes and spacing may be the most significant designation factors between Major and Minor Collectors. In urban areas, these roads serve both access and traffic circulation in higher dense residential, commercial, and industrial areas. They typically have higher speeds and more signalized intersections. |
| Minor Collector | Primary function is to serve traffic from local roads and connect traffic to arterials. These roads can be urban or rural. In urban areas, they serve both access and traffic circulation but in lower density areas than Major Collectors. They also penetrate neighborhoods, but often for a shorter distance than Major Collectors. They typically have lower speeds and fewer signalized intersections. In rural areas, they serve to bring traffic from local roads to developed areas or connections to those areas. They provide service to smaller communities not served by a higher class facility and link locally important traffic generators with rural areas. |
| Local Road | Local roads account for the largest percentage of all roadways in terms of mileage. Their primary function is to provide direct access to adjacent land uses. They are characterized by short roadway distances, slow speeds, and low volumes. Local roads offer a high level of accessibility, serves passenger cars, pedestrians, and bicycles, but not through trucks. |

[^3]

## PROPOSED COUNTY ROADWAY DESIGN GUIDELINES

The proposed roadway design guidelines are based on existing right-of-way widths, former County and City guidelines, and guidance in the American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Green Book. The guidelines take into consideration roadway functional and operational characteristics, including traffic volume, capacity, operating speed, and safety. As the County road system develops, the guidelines will support safe and efficient movement of people and goods while also accommodating the orderly development of adjacent lands.

Separate design guidelines are presented for rural and urban roadways given the different purpose and function of each. The guidelines are intended to serve as a minimum dimensions. Rural standards apply to roadways outside of City limits, and urban standards apply to facilities within City limits. The unincorporated communities of Biggs and Kent have a rural character and have historically followed rural County guidelines.

## Rural Roadway Design Guidelines

Exhibit 4-1 through Exhibit 4-3 summarize the proposed cross-sections for rural roadways. County arterial roadway surfaces should be paved, but other lower-order roadway surfaces could be gravel or paved, depending on the level of use of the roads and the ability of the local jurisdiction to maintain them. Major and minor collectors that serve industrial traffic should be paved when feasible.


Exhibit 4-1. Proposed Rural Arterial Cross-Section


Exhibit 4-2. Proposed Rural Collector Cross-Section


Exhibit 4-3. Recommended Rural Local Street Cross-Section

## Urban Roadway Design Guidance

Each of the four cities had individual street design guidelines in their current TSP. However, these guidelines recommended narrow street widths, which were smaller than 20 feet in some cases. The Cities have expressed that the narrow street widths below 20 feet are not appropriate for local streets in Sherman County. Therefore, the proposed guidelines set a new minimum cross section for urban streets in all cities.

Exhibit 4-4 through Exhibit 4-6 illustrate the proposed roadway design guidelines for urban areas. Although many of the existing local roads do not include connected sidewalks, adopting design guidelines that match the local vision for the area is a tool that will help the City achieve goals such as connected sidewalks in the future. Developers will have the option to obtain an exception in situations where sidewalks are not appropriate.

Each City is reviewing the proposed design guidelines and will be developing their individual urban design guidelines that reflect the unique situations of each City. The City-specific design guidelines will be presented in Tech Memo 5 and may differ slightly from the exhibits below.


Exhibit 4-4. Urban Arterial Cross-Section


Exhibit 4-5. Urban Collector Cross-Section


Exhibit 4-6. Urban Local Street Cross-Section

## TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES

Transportation alternatives for Sherman County were developed and evaluated to address transportation needs based on the current and future forecast traffic conditions. The future transportation needs of the County were determined based on: comments received from the public, Sherman County, ODOT, members of the Project Advisory Committee; a field review conducted by Kittelson and Associates, Inc. (KAI) in 2015; technical analysis of traffic operations; and, a review and analysis of crash history reports. Alternatives include a combination of projects, policies, programs, pilot projects, and studies. Table 4-2 shows the financially unconstrained transportation alternatives identified to address the future transportation needs.

Transportation alternatives shown in the table are categorized as projects, policies, and studies. Projects are physical improvements to the transportation system while policies reflect changes to County or City code that would impact the transportation system. Studies indicate the need for some level of long-term improvements where a detailed evaluation of potential improvements is beyond the scope of the TSP.

The projects identified in Table 4-2 address various transportation issues, which generally include: modernization, safety issues, pedestrian/bicycle enhancements, and bridge replacement/ preservation needs. These issues are briefly described below:

- Modernization: These projects include upgrades to address operational issues or upgrades to roadways that are serving higher traffic volumes than they were originally intended to serve. These projects cannot be conducted as part of regular maintenance activities and may include activities such as shoulder widening or full reconstruction of a roadway.
- Safety: These projects consider opportunities to improve existing facilities to reduce probability and severity of crashes.
- Active Transportation: These projects improve existing facilities or create new facilities that provide greater connectivity and increase access to pedestrian and bicycle routes within Cities and between communities.

Several projects are categorized as Systemic Safety Projects. These projects are intended to be lowcost improvements such as additional signage, rumble strips, or guardrail installation that can be completed at multiple locations as part of a systemic project. These will be refined in the Preferred Alternative and presented as a Systemic Safety Plan.

Table 4-2 includes an identification number for reference to the project locations shown Figure 4-2 and Figure 4-3.

The next Technical Memorandum will summarize the details of individual projects, including the location, cost estimate, and conceptual sketches of proposed cross-sections or intersection realignments.

Table 4-2. Transportation Alternatives

| ID | Type | Category | Name | Description of Need | Description of Alternative(s) | Location | Priority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biggs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Project | Bridge | US 97 Bridge over Columbia River at Biggs Junction | The Biggs Rapids Bridge over the Columbia River is classified as functionally obsolete, indicating that it is still structurally sound but does not meet current design standards for its purpose. It likely needs widening. | Improve or replace bridge to meet current design standards. | Biggs Junction | Medium Priority |
| 18 | Study | Intermodal | Intermodal freight connections at Biggs Junction | Intermodal freight connections are limited at Biggs Junction. Some truck to river cargo connections exist. No rail service in Biggs Junction. | Evaluate opportunities for improved freight connections between trucks, rail, and river cargo. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Biggs } \\ \text { Junction } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Medium Priority |
| County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Policy | Modernization | Roadway Design Guidelines | Roadway design guidelines for cities are not reflective of the rural character of the communities. | Update roadway design guidelines for each community. | County | High Priority |
| 72 | Project | Safety | Traffic Speeds on US 97 | Residents are concerned about traffic speeds on US 97 in the County. | Enforcement, Education, ITS | County | High Priority |
| 73 | Project | Safety | Truck Volumes on US 97 in Cities | Residents are concerned about high truck volumes on the highway within the downtown areas of the cities. | Install speed reduction treatments on US 97 to reinforce posted speeds in cities. | County | High Priority |
| 74 | Project | Safety | Passing Opportunities on US 97 | Residents are concerned about the lack of passing opportunities on US 97 and the impatience drivers experience while being stuck behind trucks. | TSP to identify specific locations of concern and recommend ODOT conduct county-wide study. | County | High Priority |
| 10 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Bicyclist Routes | Bicyclists are uncomfortable riding on US 97 due to high speeds and truck traffic. | Promote the bike routes that are currently popular routes and identify opportunities to route cyclists off of US 97 when possible. Provide signage to encourage cyclists to use alternate routes from the highway and provide warnings signs on these routes to inform drivers of the bicycle routes. | County | Medium Priority |
| 57 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Van Gilder Road | Van Gilder Road is a heavily used bike route in the County. | Provide directional signage for cyclists; warning signs for motorists to share the road. | County | Medium Priority |
| 14 | Project | Bridge | Finnegan Road Bridge over Finnegan Creek | The bridge on Finnegan Road over Finnegan Creek has a low sufficiency rating and is classified as structurally deficient. | Improve or replace bridge to meet current design standards. | County | Medium Priority |
| 26 | Policy \& Study | Modernization | Biggs-Rufus Highway Upgrade (Maddie's Hump) | There is concern about a potential closure of Biggs-Rufus Highway at this location. The road serves the local residents who live/work in Biggs/Rufus and also provides an important alternative route to the interstate when it closes. | Upgrade from minor collector to major collector between Biggs and Rufus. Study feasibility of widening shoulders and installing guardrail and/or rock guard for vehicles. | County | Medium Priority |
| 31 | Project | Safety | Northern Alternate Access to Raceway | The Oregon Raceway currently only has one access available: Blagg Lane from US 97 . | Construct a secondary access from the Oregon Raceway to Barnum Lane. | County | Medium Priority |
| 76 | Policy | Modernization | Van Gilder Road Upgrade | Van Gilder Road is currently classified as a major collector from US 97 in Moro to the intersection with OR 206. The route is a popular alternative to US 97 for local residents. | Upgrade Van Gilder Road from a major collector to a minor arterial from US 97 in Moro to the intersection with OR 206. Route serves as a popular alternative to US 97 for local residents. Study the feasibility of improving the road to arterial standards. | County | Medium Priority |
| 16 | Policy | Modernization | OR 206/Fulton Canyon Road \& Biggs-Rufus Highway Upgrade | OR 206/Fulton Canyon Road (from the intersection of US 97 to the intersection with Biggs-Rufus Highway) and Biggs-Rufus Highway (from OR 206 to the western county limit) are currently classified as major | Upgrade OR 206/Fulton Canyon Road from a major collector to a minor arterial from the intersection of US 97 to the intersection with Biggs-Rufus Highway. Route | County | Medium Priority |


| ID | Type | Category | Name | Description of Need | Description of Alternative(s) | Location | Priority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | collectors. These routes serve as popular alternatives to provide connections to I-84 (west) for local residents. Fulton Canyon Road access is restricted for trucks; trucks cannot use this route due to limited width. | serves as a popular alternative to US 97 for local residents. Study the feasibility of improving the roads to arterial standards. |  |  |
| 17 | Policy | Modernization | Scott Canyon Road Upgrade | Scott Canyon Road is currently classified as a major collector from OR 206 in Wasco to Biggs-Rufus Highway in Rufus. Route serves as a popular alternative connection to I-84 (east) for local residents. This road is difficult for trucks to traverse due to limited width. Trucks are discouraged from using this route. | Upgrade Scott Canyon Road from a major collector to a minor arterial from OR 206 in Wasco to Biggs-Rufus Highway in Rufus. Route serves as a popular alternative to US 97 for local residents. Study the feasibility of improving the road to arterial standards. | County | Medium Priority |
| 75 | Policy \& Study | Modernization | OR 216 Upgrade | OR 216 is currently classified as a major collector from US 97 in Grass Valley to Deschutes River. This route is a popular route for river access along the Deschutes and for residents traveling to the west. | Upgrade OR 216 from a major collector to a minor arterial from US 97 in Grass Valley to Deschutes River. This route is a popular route for river access along the Deschutes and for residents traveling to the east. Study the feasibility of improving the road to arterial standards. | County | Medium Priority |
| 46 | Project | Modernization | US 97 / Erskine Road | Narrow throat at intersection; road is crumbling. | Widen the throat of Erskine Road. | County | Medium Priority |
| 30 | Project | Roadway | Eastern Alternate Access to Raceway | The Oregon Raceway currently only has one access available: Blagg Lane from US 97. | Pave Blagg Lane from Oregon Raceway to Lonerock Road. Consider upgrading the functional classification. | County | Medium Priority |
| 12 | Project | Bridge | Mud Hollow Road Bridge over Spanish Hollow Creek | The Mud Hollow Road bridge, immediately west of US 97, over Spanish Hollow Creek has a low sufficiency rating and is classified as structurally deficient by ODOT. | Improve or replace bridge to meet current design standards. | County | Low Priority |
| 39 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Ped/Bike Connections along Lonerock Road, east of City Limits of Moro | There are no ped/bike connections along Lonerock Road from the East City Limits of Moro to Fairgrounds. | Install a shared-use path along Lonerock Road from East City Limits to Fairgrounds. | County | Low Priority |
| 55 | Study | Safety | Wildlife Crossings | Residents are concerned about wildlife crashes. | Conduct a study to determine where wildlife crossings are needed on the major state highways. Estimate the cost of installing the crossings. | County | Low Priority |
| Grass Valley |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | Project | Modernization | North Street/US 97 | Turn radius for westbound right turn is too small to accommodate large vehicles, and no left-turn lane is provided from US 97 to North Street. | Reconstruct North Street approach to US 97 to provide larger turn radius, and add a left-turn lane from US 97 to North Street. | Grass Valley | Medium Priority |
| 84 | Project | Active Transportation | US 97 Pedestrian Scale Lighting | Existing lighting along US 97 in Grass Valley is typical overhead lighting. The community desires more attractive, pedestrian scale lighting. | Install pedestrian scale lighting along the sidewalks on US 97 in Grass Valley. | Grass Valley | Low Priority |
| Moro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 | Project | Safety | High School Access | The high school currently has three access locations via two general areas. One has limited sight distance. The high school serves younger/vulnerable drivers. There is desire to restrict access to one location, but concerns about maintaining two points for emergency access. The elementary school will be moving to the same site, increasing traffic by about 25 vehicles per day (according to numbers provided to Brad Dehart by the school district). | Restripe southern access points to restrict minor street left-turns to northern part of fork and make southern entrance one-way incoming northbound only. Add southbound left-turn lane at northern intersection on US 97. Relocated speed limit signs to reduce speed limit further in advance of intersection. Consider adding directional signs to school to raise awareness. Consider speed feedback signs to reduce speeds in advance of intersections. Document available sight distance and determine if minimum standards can be met. | Moro | High Priority |


| ID | Type | Category | Name | Description of Need | Description of Alternative(s) | Location | Priority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | Not a Project | Modernization | Moro Truck Traffic | Moro is bisected by US 97 which has a high truck volume. In addition, residents have observed vehicles traveling fast through the downtown area. | This issue will be addressed as part of project number \#72, which is focused on reducing traffic speeds on US 97 in all cities in the County. | Moro | High Priority |
| 38 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Ped/Bike Connections along 4th Street to Azure Lane in Moro | There are no ped/bike connections along 4th Street/Van Gilder Road from Hood Street to Azure Lane, which serves a major employer, in Moro. | Install a shared-used path along 4th Street/Van Gilder Road from Hood Street to Azure Lane. | Moro | Medium Priority |
| 9 | Project | Active Transportation | Lonerock Road Sidewalks | No sidewalks exist along Lonerock Road between US 97 and the Steve Burnett Extension \& Research Building. | Construct sidewalks on the north side of the road. | Moro | Medium Priority |
| 7 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Sidewalks to High School | A wide shoulder serves as the pedestrian and bicycle connections between the High School and residential areas of Moro. | Install sidewalks or a shared-use path between the High School and the existing sidewalks on Main Street. | Moro | Low Priority |
| Rufus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Project | Active Transportation | 1st Street Sidewalks (Rufus) | 1st Street lacks sidewalks and serves as an east-west route through Rufus. | Install sidewalks along both sides of 1st Street from Sullivan Ln to Wallace Street | Rufus | High Priority |
| 65 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Main Street Sidewalks | Main Street lacks sidewalks. It is a collector in city limits. | Install sidewalks on Main Street from Vista Drive to 1st Street. | Rufus | High Priority |
| 19 | Project | Modernization | Murray Street | This residential road is used as a cut-through in Rufus. | Install traffic calming measures on Murray Street to reinforce posted speed and deter cut-through traffic. | Rufus | High Priority |
| 21 | Project | Safety | 2nd Street/Wallace Street | The existing intersection is too close to the highway. | Connect 2nd Street to 1st Street 300' west of Wallace Street. Vacate 2nd Street from new connection to Wallace Street. Consider extending 3rd Street to 2nd Street/1st Street. | Rufus | High Priority |
| 68 | Project | Safety | Intersection of 2nd Street/Biggs Rufus Highway | The intersection of 2nd Street/1st street/Biggs Rufus Highway is skewed. | Vacate 2nd Street from Murray Street to 1st Street. | Rufus | High Priority |
| 70 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Pedestrian Crossings of BiggsRufus Highway | There are no defined crossings or marked crosswalks along Biggs-Rufus Highway/1st Street in Rufus. | Stripe crossing of 1st Street at Main Street. | Rufus | High Priority |
| 23 | Project | Bridge | 1st Street/Biggs-Rufus Highway <br> Bridge (west of Sullivan Ln) | Visual inspection indicates bridge needs repair | Evaluate structure integrity of the existing bridge and establish cost estimates for required improvements. | Rufus | High Priority |
| 24 | Project | Bridge | 1st Street/Biggs-Rufus Highway <br> Bridge (east of Fowler St) | Visual inspection indicates bridge needs repair | Evaluate structure integrity of the existing bridge and establish cost estimates for required improvements. | Rufus | High Priority |
| 67 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Rufus Ped/Bike Access Under Freeway and Railroad | There is no pedestrian/bike access under the freeway and river. | Conduct environmental impact study to determine whether Gerking Gulch is a feasible undercrossing of I84 and railroad for pedestrian/bike users between 1st Street and the Columbia River. | Rufus | Medium Priority |
| 34 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Bikes on Main Street (Rufus) | Bicyclists share the roadway with vehicles along this road. Truck traffic is heavy during harvest time. | Widen to accommodate a bicycle lane. | Rufus | Medium Priority |
| 22 | Project | Modernization | Biggs Rufus Highway (1st Street) lacks defined on-street parking. | Access to business is not defined, and no on-street parking exists through downtown area. | Define access management along the highway and define on-street parking spaces. | Rufus | Medium Priority |
| 71 | Study | Modernization | Rufus Parking Analysis | The downtown area of Rufus lacks a detailed parking analysis to help identify parking needs and options. | Conduct a parking options study and analysis for the business and residential block. | Rufus | Low Priority |
| 33 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | 2nd Street Sidewalks (Rufus) | 2nd Street lacks sidewalks. This street serves access to the Community Center. | Install sidewalks along the south side of 2nd Street from Main Street to Community Center | Rufus | Low Priority |
| 25 | Project | Bridge | 2nd Street Bridge (east of Fowler St) | Visual inspection indicates bridge needs repair. | Close bridge to traffic when 2nd Street is closed to traffic as part of Project \#68. | Rufus | Low Priority |
| 69 | Project | Modernization | Fowler Street Parking | There is a lack of defined parking spaces in downtown Rufus. | Vacate Fowler Street from 1st Street to 2nd Street and | Rufus | Low Priority |


| ID | Type | Category | Name | Description of Need | Description of Alternative(s) | Location | Priority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | convert to a parking lot with access to 2nd Street only. |  |  |
| Wasco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | Project | Modernization | Wasco Wayfinding Signage | The Wasco wayfinding signage is limited, and many drivers make incorrect turns. | Provide better signage to direct vehicles to highways, Rufus, and Cottonwood Canyon State Park. | Wasco | High Priority |
| 35 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Old Highway 97 Sidewalks | Old Highway 97 is a Major Collector in Wasco and lacks sidewalks from Clark Street to the north and west. It provides connections to residences between Clark Street to Asher Street in Wasco. | Install sidewalks on both sides of Old Highway 97 from Clark Street to 6th Street and along the east side of the road from 6th Street to Asher Street. | Wasco | Medium Priority |
| 61 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | OR 206 Sidewalks (Clark Street to Scott Street) | OR 206 lacks sidewalks from Clark Street east to Scott Street (an arterial in city limits). | Install sidewalks on OR 206 from Clark Street east to Scott Street. | Wasco | Medium Priority |
| 63 | Project | Active Transportation | Clark Street Sidewalks | Clark Street from Old Highway 97 to Yates Street lacks sidewalks. It is a collector in the city limits. | Install sidewalks on Clark Street from Old Highway 97 to Yates Street. | Wasco | Medium Priority |
| 64 | Project | Active Transportation | OR 206 Sidewalks (Biggs Street to Church Street) | OR 206 from Biggs Street to Church Street lacks sidewalks. It is an arterial in city limits. | Install sidewalks on OR 206 from Biggs Street to Church Street. | Wasco | Medium Priority |
| 62 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Armsworthy Street Sidewalks | Armsworthy Street lacks sidewalks. It is a collector in the city limits. | Install sidewalks on Armsworthy Street from Church Street to Scott Street. | Wasco | Medium Priority |
| 79 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Existing Clark Street Sidewalks | Existing sidewalks on Clark Street between Columbia Street and Ellis Street are in poor condition and are missing on the east side of the road between Barnett Street and Columbia Street as well as Ellis Street and OR 206 (East). | Upgrade existing sidewalks along Clark Street from Columbia to Ellis, and add sidewalks on the east side. | Wasco | Low Priority |
| Systemic Safety Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Project | Systemic Safety | Fixed-object and non-collision crashes | The County-wide crash history showed a high proportion of fixed-object and non-collision crashes. | County wide systemic safety projects for rural roads (rumble strips, shoulder widening). | County | High Priority |
| 5 | Project or Study | Systemic Safety | Weather-related crashes | The County-wide crash history showed a high percentage of weatherrelated crashes. I-84 had the highest number of crashes in the County. | County wide systemic safety projects for weather related crashes, which may include: ITS treatments, different pavement materials, warning signs, etc. | County | High Priority |
| 2 | Project | Systemic Safety | Herin Lane | Crash rate is above the statewide 90th percentile for similar facilities. Key crash trends: fixed object and non-collision crashes as well as icy road conditions. This segment was studied because it was counted, and it likely represents similar characteristics of other County roads. | County-wide systemic safety projects for rural roads (rumble strips, shoulder widening) | County | High Priority |
| 59 | Project | Systemic Safety | Blagg Lane Curve Warning Signs | There is one warning sign for the approaching curve (\& adjacent dropoff) when traveling westbound on Blagg Lane from the racetrack. | Install additional curve warning signs and/or chevrons on the outside of the horizontal curve on Blagg Lane $1 / 2$ mile east of US 97 . One advanced curve warning sign exists for westbound traffic. | County | High Priority |
| 27 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Old Highway 97 | There is a high volume of southbound traffic on US 97 turning left onto Old Highway 97. | Install a southbound left-turn lane. | County | High Priority |
| 48 | Project | Systemic Safety | Lonerock Road | Lonerock Road lacks guardrail on curves. | Install guardrail. | County | High Priority |
| 50 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Monkland Lane | There is limited sight distance at the intersection of US 97 / Monkland Lane. | Improve sight distance at the intersection of US 97 / Monkland Lane and consider adding a left-turn lane. | County | High Priority |
| 43 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Dobie Point Rd (Kent) | There are no turn lanes from US 97 at Dobie Point Road. This road is heavily used by harvest trucks. | Install left- and right-turn lanes on US 97 at Dobie Point Road in Kent. | Kent | High Priority |
| 20 | Project | Systemic Safety | W 1st Street / Industrial access | Access to industrial areas off of 1st Street/Biggs-Rufus Highway lacks turn lanes. | Construct westbound left-turn lane on 1st Street at Industrial Park | Rufus | High Priority |
| 86 | Project | Systemic Safety | Van Gilder Road Curve Warning Signs | Van Gilder Road is a heavily used route for vehicles in the County and many of the curves lack curve warning signs. KAI observed skid marks on | Install curve warning signs and chevrons as appropriate. | County | High Priority |


| ID | Type | Category | Name | Description of Need | Description of Alternative(s) | Location | Priority |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | one curve. |  |  |  |
| 4 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 from Grass Valley to Kent | Observations from the residents indicate there is a high frequency of crashes in this location. | Passing lanes, speed treatments/enforcements, curve warning signs, etc. on US 97 from south County line to Grass Valley. | County | Medium Priority |
| 42 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Stark Lane | There is limited sight distance at the intersection of US 97 / Stark Lane. | Improve sight distance at the intersection of US 97/Stark Lane. | County | Medium Priority |
| 44 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Rutledge Lane | There is limited sight distance at the intersection of US 97 / Rutledge Lane. | Improve sight distance at the intersection of US 97 / Rutledge Lane. | County | Medium Priority |
| 77 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Barnum Lane | There is no left-turn lane from US 97 to Barnum Lane. | Install a left-turn lane from US 97 to Barnum Lane to serve alternate access to race track if alternate connection is provided to race track. | County | Medium Priority |
| 80 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Mud Hollow Road | There is no northbound left-turn lane from US 97 to Mud Hollow Road. | Install a northbound left-turn lane from US 97 to Mud Hollow Road. | County | Medium Priority |
| 49 | Project | Systemic Safety | Van Gilder Road | Van Gilder Road lacks guardrail on curves. | Install guardrail. | County | Medium Priority |
| 81 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Wilcox Lane | There is no southbound left-turn lane at US 97 / Wilcox Lane. | Install a left-turn lane at US 97 / Wilcox Lane. | County | Medium Priority |
| 40 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Liberty Lane | There is no southbound right-turn deceleration lane on US 97 at Liberty Lane. | Install southbound right-turn deceleration lane on US 97 at Liberty Lane. | County | Medium Priority |
| 41 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Bourbon Lane | There are no turn lanes from US 97 at Bourbon Lane. | Install turn lanes on US 97 at Bourbon Lane. | County | Medium Priority |
| 51 | Project | Systemic Safety | Hay Canyon Road / Monkland Lane | There is a rock bluff at Hay Canyon Road / Monkland Lane that blocks sight distance. | KAl to evaluate intersection and identify project on 5/6. | County | Medium Priority |
| 52 | Project | Systemic Safety | OR 206 / Fairview Road | There is a blind corner at OR 206 / Fairview Road. | KAI to evaluate intersection and identify project on 5/6. | County | Medium Priority |
| 47 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Moore Lane | Short deceleration lane length. | Extend deceleration lane length. | County | Low Priority |
| 28 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Clark Street | Northbound right-turn traffic from US 97 has little time to slow before making the right-turn. | Extend length of the existing northbound right-turn deceleration lane on US 97 at Clark Street. | County | Low Priority |




## PROJECT TIMING

The projects shown in Table 4-2 were categorized into short-term and medium/long-term projects. Short-term projects include those that could be addressed within the next five years and align with the High Priority projects. Some medium/long-term projects may be addressed within the next five to ten years; others will be considered during planning projects, but will not likely be addressed for 10 to 20 years.

Each project was categorized based on known transportation needs, forecast travel demand, crash history, and input from the County and ODOT staff. The amount of funding available per year is expected to have the greatest impact on the timing of these projects.

## CONCLUSION

This memorandum summarizes future transportation projects proposed for Sherman County. The projects were developed and evaluated to address current and future transportation needs based on the current and 20 -year project forecasts. The projects do not take into consideration available or potential future revenue sources to implement the projects.

The Project Advisory Committee has reviewed these projects, and their input is reflected in the project prioritization in this memorandum. The next step will be to develop a financially-constrained list of projects based on future potential revenue sources for the projects. Technical Memorandum 5 will summarize the financially-constrained project list.

Kittelson \& Associates, inc.<br>TRANSPORTATIONENGINEERING/PLANNING<br>354 SW Upper Terrace Drive, Suite 101, Bend, Oregon 97702 P541.312.8300 F541.312.4585

## TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM \#5

Sherman County Transportation System Plan Update
Preferred Alternative

| Date: | July 8, 2015 | Project \#: 18054 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| To: | Michael Duncan, ODOT <br> Georgia Macnab, Sherman County |  |
|  |  |  |
| From: | Casey Bergh, PE; Ashleigh Griffin; and Marc Butorac, PE, PTOE |  |
| cc: | Project Advisory Committee |  |

This memorandum outlines the draft preferred transportation system plan for Sherman County, which includes TSP elements consistent with OAR 660-12-020 and goals of OAR 660-12-025. The preferred plan includes recommendations for the County's transportation system, including:

- Roadway System Plan
- Access Management Plan
- Pedestrian and Bicycle System Plan
- Public Transportation System Plan
- Air/Marine/Rail/Pipeline/Transmission System Plan

The transportation components presented in this section were developed in accordance with the requirements of Oregon's Transportation Planning Rule (TPR). Each modal plan has been developed concurrent with the findings presented in the existing and future forecast conditions analysis. The plan also conveys the interests of the citizens, business owners, and governmental agencies within Sherman County, as expressed by the Public Advisory Committee (PAC) and in-person and on-line public workshops.

The preferred plan applies to the entire county, including areas within the incorporated cities of Rufus, Wasco, Moro, and Grass Valley and the unincorporated communities of Biggs and Kent.

## PROJECT ADVISORY COMMITTEE (PAC) FEEDBACK

Draft projects were reviewed at the Project Advisory Committee (PAC) meeting in May 2015. Feedback was incorporated into the preferred project list and prioritization. Attachment $A$ summarizes the feedback received and changes made to the alternatives.

## ROADWAY SYSTEM PLAN

The Sherman County roadway system plan reflects the anticipated operations and circulation needs through the year 2035 and provides guidance on how to facilitate vehicular and freight traffic over the next 20 years. The plan focuses on the City- and County-owned and maintained roadway system. All state highways residing within the County are identified for coordination purposes.

## Functional Classifications

Functional classification of a roadway characterizes the intended purpose, amount and type of vehicular traffic it is expected to carry, provisions for non-auto travel, and the roadway's design standards. The classification considers access to adjacent land uses and the transportation modes to be accommodated.

The preferred functional classification system in Sherman County includes: Minor Arterial, Major Collector, Minor Collector, and Local Road. Table 5-1 provides a detailed description of each classification. Figure 5-1 presents the preferred functional classifications for all existing and planned County roadways.

Table 5-1. Sherman County Functional Classification Descriptions

| Functional <br> Classification | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Interstate | Primary function is mobility and to serve long-distance travel. These roadways <br> are high-speed, divided roadways with limited access. Interstates link urban <br> areas across the United States. |
| Minor Arterial | Primary function is to carry high levels of regional vehicular traffic at high speeds. <br> These roads connect the collector road system to freeways, provide access to <br> other cities and communities, and serve major traffic movements. Access is <br> limited but can be accommodated with at-grade intersections. |
| Major Collector | Primary function is to serve traffic from local roads and move them to arterials. <br> These roads provide some degree of access to adjacent properties, while <br> maintaining circulation and mobility for all users. Major Collectors carry lower <br> traffic volumes at slower speeds than arterials. Major Collectors are often longer <br> in length and have lower driveway density, higher speed limits, higher traffic <br> volumes, and may have more travel lanes than Minor Collectors. |
| Minor Collector | Major Collectors can be located in urban or rural environments. In rural <br> environments, Collectors generally serve intra-county travel. In rural areas, traffic <br> volumes and spacing may be the most significant designation factors between <br> Major and Minor Collectors. In urban areas, these roads serve both access and <br> traffic circulation in higher dense residential, commercial, and industrial areas. <br> They typically have higher speeds and more signalized intersections. |
| Local Road | Primary function is to serve traffic from local roads and connect traffic to <br> arterials. These roads can be urban or rural. In urban areas, they serve both <br> access and traffic circulation but in lower density areas than Major Collectors. <br> They also penetrate neighborhoods, but often for a shorter distance than Major <br> Collectors. They typically have lower speeds and fewer signalized intersections. <br> In rural areas, they serve to bring traffic from local roads to developed areas or <br> connections to those areas. They provide service to smaller communities not <br> served by a higher class facility and link locally important traffic generators with <br> rural areas. |
| Local roads account for the largest percentage of all roadways in terms of <br> mileage. Their primary function is to provide direct access to adjacent land uses. <br> They are characterized by short roadway distances, slow speeds, and low <br> volumes. Local roads offer a high level of accessibility, serves passenger cars, <br> pedestrians, and bicycles, but not through trucks. |  |



## Design Standards

Roadway design standards were established for rural and urban conditions. The design standards take into consideration roadway function and operational characteristics, including traffic volume, capacity, operating speed, and safety. The design standards are necessary to ensure that as the road system develops, it will be capable of safely and efficiently serving the traveling public, while also accommodating orderly development of adjacent lands.

While not specifically outlined in this plan, improvements on state highways must meet ODOT design and operating standards provided in the ODOT Highway Design Manual.

## Rural Design Standards

Rural roadway design standards for all County-owned and maintained facilities are shown in Exhibit 5-1, Exhibit 5-2, and Exhibit 5-3. Deviations from these design standards will be considered on a case-bycase basis and approved by the designated roadway manager (e.g., Roadmaster).

Sidewalks have not been included in the roadway design standards because the majority of County roadways are rural in nature and sidewalks are not typically provided. Bicyclists are expected to share the travel lane with vehicles in rural areas, consistent with guidance provided in the Oregon Bicycle and Pedestrian Design Guide.


Exhibit 5-1. Rural Arterial Street Cross-Section


Exhibit 5-2. Rural Major and Minor Collector Street Cross-Section


Exhibit 5-3. Rural Local Street Cross-Section

## Urban Design Standards

Design standards for City roadways within urban areas (incorporated cities) are provided below.

## Rufus Design Standards

City of Rufus' street standards are summarized in Table 5-2. Exhibit 5-4, Exhibit 5-5, Exhibit 5-6, and Exhibit 57 illustrate the cross-sections based on the road design standards for the City of Rufus for arterials, collectors, local roads, and half-streets, respectively.

Table 5-2. City of Rufus Road Design Standards

| Type of Street | Right-of- <br> Way Width | Paving <br> Width <br> Between <br> Curbs $^{6}$ | Curb <br> Return <br> Radius | Maximum <br> Percent of <br> Grade | Minimum <br> Radius of <br> Curvature |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arterial $^{4}$ | $60^{\prime}$ | $42^{\prime}$ | $35^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $400^{\prime}$ |
| Collector $^{4}$ | $60^{\prime}$ | $28^{\prime}$ | $35^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $300^{\prime}$ |
| Residential $^{4}$ | $60^{\prime}$ | $24^{\prime}$ | $25^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |
| Half Street $^{4}$ | $50^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $25^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |
| Cul-de-sac $^{4}$ | $50-60^{\prime}{ }^{1}$ | $36^{\prime}{ }^{1}$ | $25^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |
| Alley | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $15^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |

1. The paving radius at the turn-around of a cul-de-sac shall be 38 ' on a right-of-way radius of 50 '.
2. Minimum grade of $0.3 \%$. If unavoidable conditions exist, a grade of $2 \%$ steeper than that shown will be allowed.
3. One street name sign shall be provided at each intersection for each street.
4. Curbs and gutters shall be provided on both sides of the street on Arterial and Collector Streets with the council discretion of curb designs.
5. Curbs, Gutters, pedestrian walkways and bike lanes may be required on Residential, Half Street, and Cul-de-sac streets.
6. With approval from the City, pavement widths may be reduced to a minimum of $36^{\prime}$ for Arterials, $24^{\prime}$ for Collectors, $20^{\prime}$ for Residential streets, $18^{\prime}$ for half-streets, $15^{\prime}$ for alleys, and $26^{\prime}$ for a cul-de-sac.


Exhibit 5-4. City of Rufus Arterial Design Standard


Exhibit 5-5. City of Rufus Collector Design Standard


Exhibit 5-6. City of Rufus Local Road Design Standard


Exhibit 5-7. City of Rufus Half-Street Design Standard

## Moro Design Standards

City of Moro's street standards are summarized in Table 5-3. Exhibit 5-8, Exhibit 5-9, Exhibit 5-10, and Exhibit $5-11$ illustrate the cross-sections based on the road design standards for the City of Moro for arterials, collectors, local roads, and half-streets, respectively.

Table 5-3. City of Moro Road Design Standards

| Type of Street | Right-of- <br> Way Width | Paving <br> Width <br> Between <br> Curbs $^{5}$ | Curb <br> Return <br> Radius | Maximum <br> Percent of <br> Grade | Minimum <br> Radius of <br> Curvature |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arterial $^{4}$ | $60^{\prime}$ | $42^{\prime}$ | $35^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $400^{\prime}$ |
| Collector $^{4}$ | $50^{\prime}$ | $28^{\prime}$ | $35^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $300^{\prime}$ |
| Residential $^{4}$ | $50^{\prime}$ | $24^{\prime}$ | $25^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |
| Half Street $^{4}$ | $50^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $25^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |
| Cul-de-sac $^{4}$ | $50-60^{\prime}{ }^{1}$ | $36^{\prime}{ }^{1}$ | $25^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |
| Alley | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $15^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |

1. The paving radius at the turn-around of a cul-de-sac shall be 38 ' on a right-of-way radius of 50 '.
2. Minimum grade of $0.3 \%$. If unavoidable conditions exist, a grade of $2 \%$ steeper than that shown will be allowed.
3. One street name sign shall be provided at each intersection for each street.
4. Curbs and gutters shall be provided on both sides of the street on Arterial and Collector Streets. Curbs, Gutters, pedestrian walkways and bike lanes may be required on Residential, Half Street, and Cul-de-sacs.
5. With approval from the City, pavement widths may be reduced to a minimum of $36^{\prime}$ for Arterials, $24^{\prime}$ for Collectors, $20^{\prime}$ for Residential streets, $18^{\prime}$ for half-streets, $26^{\prime}$ for a cul-de-sac, and $15^{\prime}$ for alleys.


Exhibit 5-8. City of Moro Arterial Design Standard


Exhibit 5-9. City of Moro Collector Design Standard


Exhibit 5-10. City of Moro Local Road Design Standard


Exhibit 5-11. City of Moro Half-Street Design Standard

## Wasco Design Standards

City of Wasco's street standards are summarized in Table 5-4. Exhibit 5-12, Exhibit 5-13, Exhibit 5-14, and Exhibit 5-15 illustrate the cross-sections based on the road design standards for the City of Wasco for arterials, collectors, local roads, and half-streets, respectively.

Table 5-4. City of Wasco Road Design Standards

| Type of Street | Right-of- <br> Way Width | Paving <br> Width <br> Between <br> Curbs $^{5}$ | Curb <br> Return <br> Radius | Maximum <br> Percent of <br> Grade | Minimum <br> Radius of <br> Curvature |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arterial $^{4}$ | $60^{\prime}$ | $42^{\prime}$ | $35^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $400^{\prime}$ |
| Collector $^{4}$ | $60^{\prime}$ | $28^{\prime}$ | $35^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $300^{\prime}$ |
| Residential $^{4}$ | $60^{\prime}$ | $33^{\prime}$ | $25^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |
| Half Street $^{4}$ | $50^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $25^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |
| Cul-de-sac ${ }^{4}$ | $50-60^{\prime}{ }^{1}$ | $36^{\prime}{ }^{1}$ | $25^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |
| Alley | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $15^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |

1. The paving radius at the turn-around of a cul-de-sac shall be 38 ' on a right-of-way radius of 50 '.
2. Minimum grade of $0.3 \%$. If unavoidable conditions exist, a grade of $2 \%$ steeper than that shown will be allowed.
3. One street name sign shall be provided at each intersection for each street.
4. Curbs and gutters shall be provided on both sides of the street on Arterial and Collector Streets. Curbs, Gutters, pedestrian walkways and bike lanes may be required on Residential, Half Street, and Cul-de-sacs.
5. With approval from the City, pavement widths may be reduced to a minimum of $36^{\prime}$ for Arterials, $24^{\prime}$ for Collectors, $20^{\prime}$ for Residential streets, $18^{\prime}$ for half-streets, $15^{\prime}$ for alleys, and $26^{\prime}$ for a cul-de-sac.


Exhibit 5-12. City of Wasco Arterial Design Standard


Exhibit 5-13. City of Wasco Collector Design Standard


Exhibit 5-14. City of Wasco Local Street Design Standard


Exhibit 5-15. City of Wasco Half-Street Design Standard

## Grass Valley Design Standards

City of Grass Valley's street standards are summarized in Table 5-5. Exhibit 5-16, Exhibit 5-17, Exhibit 5-18, and Exhibit 5-19 illustrate the cross-sections based on the road design standards for the City of Grass Valley for arterials, collectors, local roads, and half-streets, respectively.

Table 5-5. City of Grass Valley Road Design Standards

| Type of Street | Right-of- <br> Way Width | Paving <br> Width <br> Between <br> Curbs $^{5}$ | Curb <br> Return <br> Radius | Maximum <br> Percent of <br> Grade | Minimum <br> Radius of <br> Curvature |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arterial $^{4}$ | $60^{\prime}$ | $42^{\prime}$ | $35^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $400^{\prime}$ |
| Collector $^{4}$ | $60^{\prime}$ | $28^{\prime}$ | $35^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $300^{\prime}$ |
| Residential $^{4}$ | $60^{\prime}$ | $24^{\prime}$ | $25^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |
| Half Street $^{4}$ | $50^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $25^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |
| Cul-de-sac $^{4}$ | $50-60^{\prime}{ }^{1}$ | $36^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | $25^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |
| Alley | $20^{\prime}$ | $20^{\prime}$ | $15^{\prime}$ | $10 \%$ | $150^{\prime}$ |

1. The paving radius at the turn-around of a cul-de-sac shall be 38 ' on a right-of-way radius of 50'.
2. Minimum grade of $0.3 \%$. If unavoidable conditions exist, a grade of $2 \%$ steeper than that shown will be allowed.
3. One street name sign shall be provided at each intersection for each street.
4. Curbs and gutters shall be provided on both sides of the street on Arterial and Collector Streets.

Curbs, Gutters, pedestrian walkways and bike lanes may be required on Residential, Half Street, and Cul-de-sacs.
5. With approval from the City, pavement widths may be reduced to a minimum of $36^{\prime}$ for Arterials, $24^{\prime}$ for Collectors, $20^{\prime}$ for Residential streets, $18^{\prime}$ for half-streets, $15^{\prime}$ for alleys, and $26^{\prime}$ for a cul-de-sac.


Exhibit 5-16. City of Grass Valley Arterial Design Standard


Exhibit 5-17. City of Grass Valley Collector Design Standard


Exhibit 5-18. City of Grass Valley Local Road Design Standard


Exhibit 5-19. City of Grass Valley Half-Street Design Standard

## Access Management Policy

Managing access to the County's road system is necessary to preserve capacity and maintain safety of the County's arterial and collector system. Capacity is preserved by minimizing the number of points
where traffic flow may be disrupted by traffic entering and exiting the roadway. Access management also enhances safety along roadways by minimizing the number of potential conflict points.

Access spacing standards for all driveways and private roads accessing County collector and arterial roadways are provided in Table 5-6.

Access to state facilities is governed by ODOT's access management standards provided in the most current version of the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan and in Oregon Administrative Rule 734-051. ODOT's standards also apply to access spacing on County facilities located within the management area of a freeway or expressway interchange, as defined by OAR 734-051.

The Oregon Transportation Planning Rule (TPR) defines access management as a set of measures regulating access to streets, roads, and highways, from public roads and private driveways. The TPR requires that new connections to arterials and state highways be consistent with designated access management categories. This TSP includes an access management policy that maintains and enhances the integrity (i.e., capacity, safety, and level of service) of Sherman County's roadways.

Table 5-6. Access Management Spacing Standards for Rural Sherman County Roadways

| Functional Classification | Public Road Spacing | Private Drive Spacing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collector | $1 / 4 \mathrm{mile}$ | $1,200 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| Local Street | $200-400 \mathrm{ft}$ | Vary |

These standards apply to new development or redevelopment; existing accesses are allowed to remain as long as the land use does not change. As a result, access management is a long-term process in which the desired access spacing to a street slowly evolves over time as redevelopment occurs.

## Traffic Operations Standards

Sherman County has an obligation to maintain a safe, convenient, and economical transportation system. A maximum volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratio of 0.85 during a typical weekday peak hour should be maintained for all City- and County-owned or maintained intersections. At intersections with an ODOT facility, ODOT standards shall apply. For unsignalized intersections, the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio should be based on the intersection's critical movement. For signalized intersections, the ratio is based on the overall intersection operation.

## Systemic Safety Plan

Several projects were identified in Technical Memorandum \#4 to address safety concerns and reduce potential for crashes in Sherman County. The projects have been categorized as hot spot or systemic projects, consistent with the ODOT All Roads Transportation Safety (ARTS) program project classifications.

## Background

ODOT allocates Oregon's Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funds through the ARTS program. The program currently splits funding between hot-spot and systemic safety projects. Hot spot safety projects are individual locations where a unique countermeasure could be applied to reduce the frequency and severity of crashes. Systemic safety projects include multiple locations where many lowcost countermeasures can be applied.

ARTS project funding will be allocated through the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The project locations are selected based on reported history of fatal and severe injury crashes. The draft 300-percent list for ODOT Region 4 2017-2021 Hotspot Safety projects does not include any projects in Sherman County. Similarly, the draft 150-percent list of 2017-2021 Systemic Safety projects in Region 4 does not include any projects in Sherman County.

## County Systemic Safety Prioritization Methodology

Although no safety projects in Sherman County are included in the draft 2017-2021 STIP lists, a set of objective criteria were established to generate a prioritized list of projects that could be considered for future updates to the STIP.

A list of projects was generated based on a review of crash trends and locations with history of crashes in the County, including:

- Projects developed by the consultant team to address safety concerns identified by the Project Advisory Committee;
- Projects identified in ODOT's Roadway Departure, Intersection, and Pedestrian/Bicycle Safety Implementation Plans;
- Projects identified for locations with geometric and traffic control characteristics where lowcost, systemic countermeasures could reduce risk of roadway departure or intersection crash types.

Systemic countermeasures that may be applied for the Roadway Departure projects include centerline rumble strips, edgeline rumble strips, shoulder widening, guardrail, and curve warning signs, as summarized in Table 5-7. Intersection treatments may include additional signage, pavement markings, right-turn deceleration lanes, left-turn lanes, and mountable raised medians, as shown by the concepts in Table 5-8. Traffic volumes were not available for any of the locations where turn lanes or deceleration lanes were identified. Therefore, ODOT warrants should be reviewed prior to implementation of the left-turn or right-turn deceleration lanes. Cost estimates for these projects were based on unit costs from ODOT's list of approved Crash Reduction Factors (CRFs), 2014 ODOT bid items, and previous projects. A 40-percent contingency is applied to all estimates.

Table 5-7. Systemic Safety Countermeasure Toolbox for Rural Roadways

| Systemic Safety Countermeasure | Description | Documented Effectiveness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Milled Rumble Strip - Centerline <br> Photo: ODOT | Rumble strips are grooves in the roadway placed on the roadway in such a manner that, as the tires of a vehicle contact them, they produce sound (noise) and vibration. The noise and vibration produced by rumble strips is intended to alert inattentive drivers that they have departed from their lane. They can be placed on the shoulder (if adequate paved shoulder is available) or on the centerline. | 38 to 50 percent reduction in injury crashes resulting from headon and opposite direction sideswipe crashes on rural twolane roads. <br> (Source: NCHRP Report 641) |
| Milled Rumble Strip - Shoulder or Edgeline |  | 26 to 46 percent reduction in single-vehicle run-off-road injury crashes on two-lane rural roads (Source: NCHRP Report 641) |
| Horizontal Curve Signage | Provide Static Combination Horizontal Alignment/Advisory Curve Warning Sign, Install RECOMMENDED Chevron Signs on Rural Horizontal Curves | 13 to 16 percent reduction in run-off-road injury crashes rural twolane roads. Source: Manual for Selecting Safety Improvements on High Risk Rural Roads (FHWA-SA-14-075) |
| Photo: Speed Concepts: Informational Guide, FHWA |  |  |
| Shoulder Widening <br> Photo: Low Cost Treatments for Horizontal <br> Curve Safety (http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/roadway_dept /horicurves/fhwasa07002/ch6.cfm) | Widen the paved roadway shoulder to provide additional space for vehicles to recover if they exit the travel lane. | 3 to 6 percent reduction in crashes per one foot of shoulder widening. (Source: CMF Clearinghouse and ODOT's List of Approved CRFs) |
| Safety Edge | Install Safety Edge treatment on the pavement edge drop-off to provide a more gradual drop-off and increase the likelihood of vehicle recovery if the vehicle exits the roadway. This may be done in conjunction with shoulder widening or pavement maintenance activities. | 5 to 15 percent reduction in rural roadway crashes. <br> (Source: CMF Clearinghouse and ODOT's List of Approved CRFs) |
| $\left.\begin{array}{\|lrrrr}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Photo: }\end{array} \quad \text { Selecting } & \text { Speed } & \text { Treatments, } & \text { FHWA } \\ \text { (http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/hsip/hrr//manual/sec45.cfm) }\end{array}\right]$ |  |  |
| Guardrail <br> Photo: FHWA Horizontal Curve Safety (Source: http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/roadway_dept/horicurves/cmhoricurves/) | Install guardrail to prevent vehicles from entering areas that are not recoverable. When guardrail is located close to the roadway, vehicles are more likely to hit it. However, these crashes are typically less severe than roadway departure crashes in locations without guardrail. Guardrail is often used in situations where there is limited recovery area for vehicles and steep drop offs or fixed objects are present. | 38 percent reduction to 23 percent increase in run off the road crashes. <br> Source: CMF Clearinghouse (CMF ID: 39). <br> Note: This item is not included in ODOT's list of approved systemic countermeasures. |

Table 5-8. Systemic Safety Countermeasure Toolbox for Rural Intersections

| Systemic Safety Countermeasure | Description | Documented Effectiveness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basic Set of Sign and Marking Improvements <br> Photo: Low-Cost Safety Enhancements for Stop-Controlled and Signalized Intersections, FHWA | Install basic set of signs/markings from the ODOT Intersection Safety Implementation Plan, including: double up oversize warning signs, double STOP signs, mountable curb on stop approach (if feasible), street name signs, and stop bars. | 40 percent reduction in intersection crashes at rural two-way stop controlled intersections. <br> Source: Low-Cost Safety <br> Enhancements for <br> Stop-Controlled and Signalized <br> Intersections (FHWA-SA-09-020) |
| Right-Turn Deceleration Lane | Install right-turn deceleration lanes to provide an area for vehicles to slow down prior to completing <br> a turning movement on high-speed roads. Deceleration lanes reduce the likelihood that vehicles will be rear-ended when slowing for a turn. | 14 to 26 percent reduction in crashes at unsignalized intersections. <br> (Source: Highway Safety Manual and ODOT's List of Approved CRFs) <br> Note: This item is included in ODOT's list of approved CRFs as a hot spot treatment rather than systemic. |
| Left-turn Lane | Install a left-turn lane to provide an area for vehicles to decelerate prior to making a left-turn and an area for vehicles to wait until a sufficient gap in traffic is available to complete the left-turn. Left-turn lanes help reduce rear-end crashes and discourage left-turn vehicles from taking smaller gaps in traffic because they have a refuge area. | 33 to 55 percent reduction in crashes at rural unsignalized intersections. <br> (Source: Highway Safety Manual and ODOT's List of Approved CRFs) <br> Note: This item is included in ODOT's list of approved CRFs as a hot spot treatment rather than systemic. |
| Reduce Intersection Skew by Realignment <br> (Example of skewed approach prior to realignment.) | Realign the intersection to create a 90-degree intersection, removing any skewed approaches. | The effectiveness of this treatment varies depending on the skew angle of the intersection prior to realignment. |
| Improve Intersection Sight Distance <br> (Example of restricted sight distance that could be mitigated by tree removal.) | Improve intersection sight distance to meet minimum AASHTO guidance based on the posted speed limit of the major roadway. | 44 to 89 percent reduction in crashes at rural unsignalized intersections. <br> (Source: ODOT's List of Approved CRFs) |

Lists of prioritized Roadway Departure projects and Intersection projects, based on a set of objective criteria outlined in Table 5-9, are provided in Table 5-10 and Table 5-11. Figure 5-2 illustrates the locations of these projects throughout the County. The projects are ordered from highest to lowest priority based on the criteria each location satisfies. All locations where a fatal or severe injury crash occurred in the County were reviewed. However, crashes are not always associated with geometric factors. Crashes are random occurrences and often influenced by driver errors such as impaired driving and inattention. If no geometric factors were found during the review of the severe crash location, the location was excluded from the list of systemic safety projects. Similarly, locations where geometric concerns were identified by the County or Cities may be included even if no crashes have been reported during the past five years. No systemic pedestrian and bicycle safety projects were identified.

Table 5-9. Objective Criteria for Identifying and Prioritizing Systemic Safety Projects

|  | Roadway Departure Projects | Intersection Projects |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Criteria for Identifying Locations for Systemic Projects | - $\geq 1$ Fatal or Injury A Crash <br> - $\geq 2$ Injury B or C Crashes <br> - $\geq 3$ PDO Crashes <br> - Presence of Roadway Departure Crashes <br> - Presence of a Horizontal Curve | - $\geq 1$ Fatal or Injury A Crash <br> - $\geq 2$ Injury B or C Crashes <br> - $\geq 3$ PDO Crashes <br> - Restricted intersection sight distance <br> - Skewed intersection approach <br> - Uncontrolled approach speed $>45 \mathrm{mph}$ <br> - Functional classification <br> - Land use |

Table 5-10. Systemic Safety Roadway Departure Projects

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Potential Countermeasures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Roadway | Start MP or Cross Street | End MP <br> or Cross <br> Street | Priority | Cost <br> Estimate | Inlaid <br> Raised Pavement Markers | Widen <br> Shoulder <br> \& Install <br> Safety <br> Edge | Install Centerline and Shoulder Rumble Strips* | Curve Warning Signs | Chevrons at Curves | Guard- <br> rail | Passing Lanes^ | Speed Enforcement |
| 95 | US 97 | 0.86 | 6.20 | High | \$18,500 | X |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| 4 | US 97 | 42.43 | 43 | High | \$4,800 | X |  | X | X | X |  | X | X |
| 87 | OR 206 | 3 | 6.1 | Medium | \$12,900 | X |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| 88 | US 97 | 22.5 | 23.9 | Medium | \$8,600 | X |  | X |  |  |  | X** |  |
| 89 | Scott <br> Canyon <br> Road | Rufus <br> City <br> Limits | Herin <br> Lane | Medium | \$9,500 | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| 90 | US 97 | 12 | 13.28 | Medium | \$6,600 | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91 | US 97 | 33.33 | 33.58 | Medium | \$4,000 | X |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 49 \\ \& \\ 86 \end{gathered}$ | Van Gilder <br> Road | 4 | 5.6 | Medium | \$14,700 | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |
| 92 | Scott <br> Canyon <br> Road | Medler <br> Ln | Gerking Canyon Rd | Low | \$6,600 | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| 2 | Herin Lane | Scott <br> Canyon <br> Road | Oehman <br> Road | Low | \$9,200 | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | Lonerock Road | N/A | N/A | High | \$5,300 | X | X | X |  |  | X |  |  |
| 59 | Blagg Lane | N/A | N/A | Low | \$3,500 | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |

*Rumble strips should only be installed in locations where the shoulder width permits it.
$\wedge$ Passing lanes and speed enforcement should involve further study prior to implementation. Cost estimates do not include passing lanes.
**Passing lanes exist from approximately MP 23 to 23.55 . The study should evaluate whether this passing lane can be lengthened.

Table 5-11. Systemic Safety Intersection Projects

|  |  |  |  |  | Potential Countermeasures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Major Road | Minor Road | Priority | Cost Estimate | Rural Intersection Signing and Marking Improvements | Right-turn deceleration Lane | Lengthen existing right-turn deceleration lane | Install left-turn lane | Lengthen existing leftturn lane | Improve sight distance | Reduce intersection skew |
| 50 | US 97 | Monkland Lane | High | \$309,900 |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |
| 77 | US 97 | Barnum Lane | High | \$309,900 |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| 93 | US 97 | Sawtooth <br> Road | High | \$6,500 | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | US 97 | Finnegan Road | Medium | \$18,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| 42 | US 97 | Stark Lane | Medium | \$5,000 |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 47 | US 97 | Moore Lane | Low | \$25,600 |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | OR 206 | Fairview <br> Road | Medium | \$27,300 | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| 44 | US 97 | Rutledge Lane | Medium | \$25,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| 80 | US 97 | Mud Hollow Road | Medium | \$309,900 |  |  | - | X |  |  |  |
| 40 | US 97 | Liberty Lane | Medium | \$210,000 |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | US 97 | Bourbon Lane | Medium | \$309,900 |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| 27 | US 97 | Old Highway $97$ | Medium | \$309,900 |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| 20 | W $1^{\text {st }}$ Street / Biggs-Rufus Highway | Industrial Access | High | \$309,900 |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| 43 | US 97 | Dobie <br> Point Road | High | \$514,900 |  | X |  | X |  |  |  |
| 28 | US 97 | Clark Street | Low | \$25,600 |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | US 97 | Wilcox Lane | Medium | \$309,900 |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| 51 | Monkland Lane | Hay <br> Canyon <br> Road | Medium | \$3,200 | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

This section outlines specific transportation system improvement projects as well as a categorization of the identified improvements into two groups: near- and long-term. The categorization presented reflects the relative time period in which it may be foreseeable for the County and Cities to implement the project; it is not intended to limit the selection of a project or the order in which projects will be implemented. The County will need to periodically update its TSP and will review the need and timing for improvements at those times.

Long-term projects may or may not be feasible within the twenty-year planning horizon, for reasons of both need and resources. However, they represent a vision for an efficient transportation system in the future, and they have been identified to support the preservation of the opportunities as future conditions may warrant them.

The construction of roads, water, sewer, and electrical facilities in conjunction with local development activity should be coordinated if the County is to develop in an orderly and efficient way. Consequently, the planned improvements identified should be considered in light of developing infrastructure sequencing plans, and may need to be modified accordingly.

The planned transportation improvement alternatives in Sherman County include those identified to address various types of transportation issues, which generally include:

- Operations: These projects provide the roadway capacity needed to accommodate future traffic flows and reduce delay.
- Safety: These projects consider opportunities to improve existing facilities to reduce probability and severity of crashes. These projects include those identified as part of the Systemic Safety Plan for the County.
- Pedestrian and Bicycle Enhancements: These projects improve existing facilities or create new facilities that provide greater connectivity and increase access to pedestrian and bicycle routes.
- Heavy Maintenance: These projects address the needs identified by the County that relate to roadway, roadside, or drainage and cannot be conducted as part of regular maintenance activities.
- Full Reconstruction: These projects include reconstruction of the roadway including removal of existing roadway and placement of aggregate base and asphalt pavement.
- Feasibility Studies: These projects have identified the need for some level of long-term improvements to different roadway segments or intersections. Given the size and complexity, a more detailed evaluation of potential improvements has been identified that is beyond the scope of the TSP.
- Pilot Projects: Pilot projects are innovative projects that can be done on an interim basis and can be reversed if needed.
- Programs/Policies: The programs and policies reflect changes to County or City operations or code that has an impact on the transportation system.

While site-specific projects, such as adding turn lanes at an existing intersection, have been included to improve conditions at particular locations, the alternatives collectively reflect a broader goal which is to develop an efficient transportation network that will reduce reliance on the state highways and limit potential for motor vehicle crashes while encouraging economic activity.

## Roadway Transportation Improvements

The preferred near- and long-term transportation improvements within unincorporated areas of Sherman County are listed in Table 5-12, and the preferred transportation improvements for the incorporated cities of Rufus, Wasco, Moro, and Grass Valley are shown in Table 5-13. The table includes a project number for reference to the project location illustrated in Figure 5-3 for rural areas and Figure 5-4 for urban areas. Additionally, the tables include preliminary cost estimates with 40-percent contingency for the projects, excluding right-of-way. Potential non-binding funding sources were also identified for each project and are subject to negotiation at the time of project execution. Cost estimate calculations and assumptions are provided in Attachment B.

The implementation plan incorporates the preferred financing plan, which identifies that a limited amount of money will be available to fund projects. As a result, only improvements that are planned for implementation and are expected to have funding are shown in the near-term time frame. The longterm project timeline reflects the fact that some projects are not needed immediately and that it will take time to accumulate the funds to build those projects.

Table 5-12. Planned Transportation Improvements in Sherman County (including unincorporated areas of Biggs and Kent)

|  | Name | Description | Category | Type | Cost Estimate ${ }^{1}$ | Potential Funding Source |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID |  |  |  |  |  | ODOT/ State | County | Cities | Private |
| Short-Term Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Roadway Design Guidelines | Update roadway design guidelines for each community. | Modernization | Policy | \$0 |  | X | x |  |
| 72 | Traffic Speeds on US 97 | Improve education and enforcement related to traffic speeds in the County through programs and additional signage or campaigns. Evaluate the feasibility of using ITS treatments to reduce speed in Cities throughout the County. | Safety | Program/ <br> Study | \$20,000 | X | X | X |  |
| 73 | Truck Volumes and Speeds on US 97 in Cities | Install speed reduction treatments on US 97 to reinforce posted speeds in cities. Speed reduction treatments may consider automated speed enforcement, speed feedback signs, roadway modifications to visually indicate to drivers that they are entering urban area. | Safety | Project | \$56,800 | X | X | X |  |
| 74 | Passing Opportunities on US 97 | Conduct study to determine locations where passing lanes are needed. Supplement with previous work ODOT has completed. | Safety | Study | \$10,000 | X | X |  |  |
| 5 | Weather-related crashes | Conduct study to determine feasibility and cost of implementing treatments for weather related crashes, including: ITS treatments, different pavement materials, warning signs, etc. | Safety | Study | \$10,000 | X |  |  |  |
| 16 | OR 206/Fulton Canyon Road \& Biggs-Rufus Highway Upgrade | Upgrade OR 206/Fulton Canyon Road from a major collector to a minor arterial from the intersection of US 97 to the intersection with Biggs-Rufus Highway. Route serves as a popular alternative to US 97 for local residents. Study the feasibility of improving the roads to arterial standards. | Modernization | Policy \& Study | \$10,000 | X | X |  |  |
| 17 | Scott Canyon Road Upgrade | Upgrade Scott Canyon Road from a major collector to a minor arterial from OR 206 in Wasco to Biggs-Rufus Highway in Rufus. Route serves as a popular alternative to US 97 for local residents. Study the feasibility of improving the road to arterial standards. | Modernization | Policy \& Study | \$0 |  | X |  |  |
| 75 | OR 216 Upgrade | Upgrade OR 216 from a major collector to a minor arterial from US 97 in Grass Valley to Deschutes River. This route is a popular route for river access along the Deschutes and for residents traveling to the east. Study the feasibility of improving the road to arterial standards. | Modernization | Policy \& Study | \$10,000 | X |  |  |  |
| 76 | Van Gilder Road Upgrade | Upgrade Van Gilder Road from a major collector to a minor arterial from US 97 in Moro to the intersection with OR 206. Route serves as a popular alternative to US 97 for local residents. Study the feasibility of improving the road to arterial standards. | Modernization | Policy \& Study | \$10,000 |  | X |  |  |
| Medium and Long-Term Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | US 97 Bridge over Columbia River at Biggs Junction | Improve or replace bridge to meet current design standards. (Note: Future improvement or maintenance of this bridge falls under the Washington Department of Transportation's responsibility) | Bridge | Project | N/A | X |  |  |  |
| 18 | Intermodal freight connections at Biggs Junction | Evaluate opportunities for improved freight connections between trucks, rail, and river cargo. | Intermodal | Study | \$20,000 | X | X |  | X |
| 14 | Finnegan Road Bridge over Finnegan Creek | Study feasibility of improving or replacing bridge to meet current design standards. | Bridge | Project | \$20,000 |  | X |  |  |
| 26 | Maddie's Hump | Upgrade to major collector. Study feasibility of widening shoulders. | Modernization |  <br> Study | \$10,000 | X | X |  |  |
| 46 | US 97 / Erskine Road | Widen the throat of Erskine Road. | Modernization | Project | \$56,900 | X | X |  |  |
| 30 | Eastern Alternate Access to | Pave Blagg Lane from Oregon Raceway to Lonerock Road. Consider upgrading the functional classification. | Roadway | Project | \$2,559,600 |  | X |  | X |


| ID | Name | Description | Category | Type | Cost Estimate ${ }^{1}$ | Potential Funding Source |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { ODOT/ } \\ \text { State } \end{array} \\ & \hline \hline \end{aligned}$ | County | Cities | Private |
|  | Raceway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Northern Alternate Access to Raceway | Construct a secondary access from the Oregon Raceway to Barnum Lane. | Safety | Project | \$484,100 |  | X |  | X |
| 12 | Mud Hollow Road Bridge over Spanish Hollow Creek | Improve or replace bridge to meet current design standards. | Bridge | Project | \$100,000 |  | X |  |  |
| 55 | Wildlife Crossings | Conduct a study to determine where wildlife crossings are needed on the major state highways. Estimate the cost of installing the crossings. | Safety | Study | \$10,000 | X |  |  |  |

Table 5-13. Planned Transportation Improvements in Urban Areas

| ID | City | Name | Description | Category | Type | Cost Estimate ${ }^{1}$ | Potential Funding Source |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ODOT/ State | County | Cities | Private |
| Short-Term Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Rufus | 1st Street/Biggs-Rufus Highway Bridge (west of Sullivan Ln) | Evaluate structural integrity of the existing bridge and establish cost estimates for required improvements to support structural integrity and serve existing traffic use. | Bridge | Study | \$20,000 | X | X |  |  |
| 24 | Rufus | 1st Street/Biggs-Rufus Highway Bridge (east of Fowler St) | Evaluate structure integrity of the existing bridge and establish cost estimates for required improvements. | Bridge | Study | \$20,000 | X | X |  |  |
| 19 | Rufus | Murray Street | Install traffic calming measures on Murray Street to reinforce posted speed and deter cut-through traffic. | Modernization | Project | \$10,000 |  |  | X |  |
| 21 | Rufus | 2nd Street/Wallace Street | Connect 2nd Street to 1st Street 300' west of Wallace Street. Vacate 2nd Street from new connection to Wallace Street. Consider extending 3rd Street to 2nd Street/1st Street. | Safety | Project | \$95,800 |  |  | X |  |
| 68 | Rufus | Intersection of 2nd Street/Biggs Rufus Highway | Vacate 2nd Street from Murray Street to 1st Street. | Safety | Project | \$22,300 | X |  | X |  |
| 56 | Wasco | Wasco Wayfinding Signage | Provide better signage to direct vehicles to highways, Rufus, and Cottonwood Canyon State Park. | Modernization | Project | \$6,800 |  |  | X |  |
| 66 | Moro | High School Access | Restripe southern access points to restrict minor street left-turns to northern part of fork and make southern entrance one-way incoming northbound only. Add southbound left-turn lane at northern intersection on US 97. Relocated speed limit signs to reduce speed limit further in advance of intersection. Consider speed feedback signs to reduce speeds in advance of intersections. | Safety | Project | \$204,700 | X | X | X |  |
| Medium and Long-Term Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Rufus | Biggs Rufus Highway (1st Street) lacks defined on-street parking. | Define access management along the highway and define on-street parking spaces. | Modernization | Project | \$28,400 | X |  | X |  |


| ID | City | Name | Description | Category | Type | Cost Estimate ${ }^{1}$ | Potential Funding Source |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ODOT/ State | County | Cities | Private |
| 25 | Rufus | 2nd Street Bridge (east of Fowler St) | Close bridge to traffic when 2nd Street is closed to traffic as part of Project \#68. | Bridge | Project | \$0 |  |  | X |  |
| 69 | Rufus | Fowler Street Parking | Vacate Fowler Street from 1st Street to 2nd Street and convert to a parking lot with access to 2nd Street only. | Modernization | Project | \$27,300 |  |  | X |  |
| 71 | Rufus | Rufus Parking Analysis | Conduct a parking options study and analysis for the business and residential block. | Modernization | Study | \$10,000 |  |  | X |  |
| 45 | Grass Valley | North Street/US 97 | Reconstruct North Street approach to US 97 to provide larger turn radius, and add a left-turn lane from US 97 to North Street. | Modernization | Project | \$91,000 | X |  | X |  |

${ }^{1}$ Cost estimate is planning level only. Does not include right-of-way costs.



The total cost of projects, policies, programs, and feasibility studies shown in Table 5-12 and Table 513 that are expected to be implemented in the near-term is approximately $\$ 500,000$. The total cost of the medium/long-term alternatives is approximately $\$ 3.3$ million.

## PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE SYSTEM PLAN

The future population growth in the incorporated areas of Rufus, Wasco, Moro, and Grass Valley will increase the need to expand the existing sidewalks in the Cities and to provide new paths in and around the incorporated areas to encourage residents and visitors to ride bicycles for transportation. Providing a connected network of pedestrian and bicycle facilities is important for:

- Serving shorter trips from neighborhoods to area activity centers, such as schools, churches, and neighborhood commercial uses;
- Providing access to regional park and ride lots to enhance intermodal connections; and
- Meeting residents' and visitors' recreational needs, further promoting economic activity in the County.

Table 5-14 and Figure 5-5 summarizes the planned pedestrian and bicycle projects for the next twenty years. In rural Sherman County, bicycle and pedestrian design standards provide paved shoulders on arterials and minimum two-foot paved or unpaved shoulders on all other, lower volume roads to facilitate pedestrian and bicycle travel. Within the cities, the standards for arterials include shoulders to accommodate bicyclists in a separate space from vehicles. Bicyclists are expected to share the road with vehicles on the other local roads in the cities due to the low speeds and low volumes.

Arterials, collectors, and local streets should include sidewalks as they are developed within the city limits. A complete connected sidewalk network will encourage walking as a mode of transportation within the City. Key gaps in the existing sidewalk infrastructure as well as locations with sidewalks in need of repair are identified in Table 5-14 and Figure 5-5.

The total cost for all near-term pedestrian and bicycle system improvements is approximately $\$ 350,000$. The total cost for all medium/long-term pedestrian and bicycle system improvements is approximately $\$ 4.7$ million.

Table 5-14. Planned Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements in Sherman County

|  |  | Name | Description | Category | Cost Estimate ${ }^{1}$ | Potential Funding Source |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Location |  |  |  |  | ODOT/ <br> State | County | Cities | Private |
| Short-Term Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Rufus | 1st Street Sidewalks (Rufus) | Install sidewalks and pedestrian scale lighting along both sides of 1st Street from Sullivan Ln to Wallace Street | Pedestrian | \$300,600 | X |  | X |  |
| 70 | Rufus | Pedestrian Crossings of Biggs-Rufus Highway | Stripe crossing of 1st Street at Main Street. | Pedestrian | \$2,800 | X |  | X |  |


| Medium- \& Long-Term Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | County | Bicyclist Routes | Promote the bike routes that are currently popular routes and identify opportunities to route cyclists off of US 97 when possible. Provide signage to encourage cyclists to use alternate routes from the highway and provide warnings signs on these routes to inform drivers of the bicycle routes. | Bike | \$17,000 | X | X |  |  |
| 57 | County | Van Gilder Road | Provide directional signage for cyclists; warning signs for motorists to share the road. | Bike | \$5,100 |  | X |  | X |
| 39 | County | Ped/Bike Connections along Lonerock Road, east of City Limits of Moro | Install a shared-use path along Lonerock Road from East City Limits to Fairgrounds. | Path | \$270,300 |  | X |  |  |
| 34 | Rufus | Bikes on Main Street (Rufus) | Widen to accommodate a bicycle lane. | Bike | \$164,100 | X |  | x |  |
| 65 | Rufus | Main Street Sidewalks | Install sidewalks on Main Street from Vista Drive to 1st Street. | Pedestrian | \$500,600 |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | Rufus | Rufus Ped/Bike Access Under Freeway and Railroad | Conduct environmental impact study to determine whether Gerking Gulch is a feasible undercrossing of I84 and railroad for ped/bike users between 1st Street and the Columbia River. | Path | \$20,000 | X |  | X |  |
| 33 | Rufus | 2nd Street Sidewalks (Rufus) | Install sidewalks along the south side of 2nd Street from Main Street to Community Center | Pedestrian | \$368,100 |  |  | x |  |
| 35 | Wasco | Old Highway 97 Sidewalks | Install sidewalks on both sides of Old Highway 97 from Clark Street to 6th Street and along the east side of the road from 6th Street to Asher Street. | Pedestrian | \$1,032,000 | X | X |  |  |
| 61 | Wasco | OR 206 Sidewalks (Clark Street to Scott Street) | Install sidewalks on OR 206 from Clark Street east to Scott Street. | Pedestrian | \$723,400 | X |  | X |  |
| 62 | Wasco | Armsworthy Street Sidewalks | Install sidewalks on Armsworthy Street from Church Street to Scott Street. | Pedestrian | \$397,500 | x |  | x |  |
| 63 | Wasco | Clark Street Sidewalks | Install sidewalks on Clark Street from Old Highway 97 to Yates Street. | Pedestrian | \$231,400 | X |  | X |  |
| 64 | Wasco | OR 206 Sidewalks (Biggs Street to Church Street) | Install sidewalks on OR 206 from Biggs Street to Church Street. | Pedestrian | \$152,800 | X |  | X |  |
| 79 | Wasco | Existing Clark Street Sidewalks | Upgrade existing sidewalks along Clark Street from Columbia to Ellis, and add sidewalks on the east side. | Pedestrian | \$208,200 | X |  | x |  |
| 9 | Moro | Lonerock Road Sidewalks | Construct sidewalks on the north side of the road. | Pedestrian | \$172,300 |  | X | X |  |
| 38 | Moro | Ped/Bike Connections along 4th Street to Azure Lane in Moro | Install a shared-used path along 4th Street/Van Gilder Road from Hood Street to Azure Lane. | Path | \$134,600 |  | X | X | X |
| 7 | Moro | Sidewalks to High School | Install sidewalks or a shared-use path between the High School and the existing sidewalks on Main Street. | Pedestrian | \$184,300 | X | X | X |  |
| 84 | Grass <br> Valley | US 97 Pedestrian Scale Lighting | Install pedestrian scale lighting along the sidewalks on US 97 in Grass Valley. | Pedestrian | \$266,100 | X |  | X |  |

${ }^{1}$ Cost estimate is planning level only. Does not include right-of-way costs.


Pedestrian and Bicycle Plan
Sherman County, Oregon

## PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Sherman County Community Transit operates a dial-a-ride transit service for the County. Between July 2013 and January 2015, almost 7,500 rides were provided by the transit service, covering a distance of over 130,000 miles. The majority of rides provided, over 6,000 rides, were for Seniors. The service is available on Monday and Thursday each week and offered for a fare of $\$ 5$ to all residents. Residents must request a pick-up 24-hours in advance and can be picked up anywhere in the County or Cities. Typical trips are to The Dalles for shopping, business, and medical appointments. Buses also transport residents to Hood River and Portland for medical trips.

Sherman County Community Transit has the funding and resources necessary to continue providing dial-a-ride transit service. Sherman County Community Transit receives funding from ODOT and is being reimbursed for Veteran medical trips by the Veteran's Administration. No fixed route service is needed to support the communities.

## AIR SERVICE

The Wasco State Airport is located on the east side of Wasco in Sherman County. The airport dates back to 1946 and has been continuously operated by the State of Oregon since it acquired it in 1958. The airport accommodates general aviation and agricultural users serving the local community and the surrounding region. Wasco State Airport has a land area of approximately 66 acres and is zoned Airport Development (A-D) by Sherman County. The outer periphery of the airport is predominantly zoned Exclusive Farm Use (A-E). The airport is located entirely outside the City's urban growth boundary (UGB). Both the City of Wasco and Sherman County have adopted the FAA Part 77 Imaginary Surfaces Plan for the Airport. There are no planned projects associated with the Wasco State Airport.

## MARINE SYSTEM PLAN

Sherman County is located on the Columbia River, a major water transportation route. The only river cargo operations that currently exist in the County are located at Biggs Junction, where Mid-Columbia Producers export much of their grain in the region.

Rufus also has access to the river which could be developed for recreational or industrial purposes in the future if the demand exists. Project number 18 in Table 5-12 identifies a planned study to evaluate opportunities for intermodal connections between the rail system, roadway system, and marine transportation system.

## RAIL SERVICE

The Union Pacific Main Line (UP) and the Burlington Northern/Santa Fe Bend Branch (BNSF) serve Sherman County at Biggs Junction. The UP line includes a spur serving the Mid-Columbia Grain

Growers Terminal at Biggs. However no grain has been hauled from this spur for approximately 10 years. Therefore, there are no train stops in Sherman County today. There is currently no passenger rail service in the County.

As shown in Exhibit 5-20, the UP railroad that runs along the Columbia River through Sherman County is designated as a Class I Railroad. Project number 18 in Table 5-12 identifies a planned study to evaluate opportunities for intermodal connections between the rail system, roadway system, and marine transportation system.


Source: Oak Ridge National Laboratory Rail GIS Data, FRA, ODOT

Exhibit 5-20. State of Oregon Railroads

## PIPELINE AND TRANSMISSION SYSTEM PLAN

Two natural gas pipelines run through Sherman County although they do not currently serve the County. If larger commercial or industrial development came to the County, the County may support the development of pipeline access for the County.

Future extension of a high-speed broadband service is planned from Idaho along the Columbia River. Sherman County may be able to provide broadband services to its citizens through this line. A
broadband internet connection could allow for implementation of Intelligent Transportation Solutions along I-84 that could have a positive effect on transportation safety and mobility. Other benefits of this added service could spur economic development.

## TRANSPORTATION FINANCE ELEMENT

Funding for transportation projects is increasingly in short supply as existing infrastructure ages and transportation demands increase. This section provides a means for evaluating the likelihood that projects can be funded within the timelines identified in the TSP and defines priorities based on available funding opportunities.

The TPR requires that the Sherman County TSP address transportation funding, including the following elements:

- A list of planned transportation facilities and major improvements;
- A general estimate of the timing for planned transportation facilities and major improvements;
- Determination of rough cost estimates for the transportation facilities and major investments identified in the TSP; and,
- A discussion of existing and potential financing sources for each transportation facility and major improvement (which can be described in terms of guidelines or local policies).


## Current Sherman County Transportation Funding Revenues

Sherman County has had an annual revenue of approximately $\$ 2.2$ million per year over the past ten years. This funding covers all transportation related projects, including maintenance and capital improvements projects. As shown in Exhibit 5-21, the County's transportation revenue comes from a variety of sources including property taxes, other local revenue, state revenue, and federal revenue. ODOT has historically been able to fund the County's transportation operations and maintenance activities for state facilities.

Exhibit 5-22 shows that the County has had a small portion of transportation revenue remaining at the end of each fiscal year with the exception of two years when the expenditures exceeded the revenue. Over the past ten years, approximately $\$ 1.9$ million in excess transportation revenue has been accumulated. The majority of transportation expenditures over the past 10 years have covered operations, maintenance, and system preservation, as shown in Exhibit 5-23. Approximately $\$ 200,000$ were used for new facilities and system enhancement projects during the past ten years.


Exhibit 5-21. Sherman County Transportation Revenue Sources (2005-2014)


Exhibit 5-22. Sherman County Transportation Revenue Compared to Transportation Expenditures (2005-2014)


Exhibit 5-23. Sherman County Transportation Expenditures (2005-2014)

## Transportation Funding Options

Sherman County faces two inter-related financing issues: how to finance operations and maintenance and how to finance capital projects. Presently, the majority of public works funding is devoted to operations and maintenance; there is no substantial funding for capital projects. As shown in Table 515 , the total funding needed to accomplish all of the near-term alternatives summarized in this plan, including all projects and studies, systemic safety projects, and active transportation projects, would be approximately $\$ 2,615,000$. Comprehensive tables summarizing all modal alternatives and their cost estimate is provided in Attachment $C$.

Table 5-15. Total Project Costs

| Project Type | Near-Term | Medium/Long-Term |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Systemic Safety | $\$ 1,780,000$ | $\$ 1,330,000$ |
| Roadway | $\$ 530,000$ | $\$ 3,250,000$ |
| Pedestrian and Bicycle | $\$ 305,000$ | $\$ 4,640,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 2,615,000$ | $\$ 9,220,000$ |

Potential strategies for addressing these needs in Sherman County may generally be grouped into three categories: secure more external funding, identify public/private sponsorship opportunities, and raise local revenue through user fees and taxes. Observations on the use of these strategies are discussed below. They are not all mutually exclusive.

## Identify Additional Grant Opportunities

ODOT offers multiple grant opportunities to support transportation projects. The County and Cities should identified grants from those summarized in Table 5-16 that are applicable to their projects. Some of these programs require a local match. The County and Cities should begin identifying these programs early in order to plan for the funding necessary to satisfy a local match. Using local dollars as a match for a grant opportunity is a strategy to stretch the local funding even farther.

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Source } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Source Title | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Award } \\ \text { Cycle } \end{gathered}$ | Intended Use | Applicable Project Types | Administration Agency | Deadline | Local Match | Website |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Rivers, Trails, and Conservation Assistance Program | Annual | Technical assistance for recreation and conservation projects. | Shared-use paths | National Park Service | August | None | http://www.nps.gov/ncre/programs/rtca/contactus/cu_apply.html |
| 2 | Highway Safety Improvement Program | Annual | Address safety issues on highways and High Risk Rural Roads | All | ODOT | Varies | 10\% | www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/TRAFFIC-ROADWAY/highway _safety_program.shtml |
| 3 | Oregon Parks and Recreation Local Government Grants | Annual | Primary use is recreation; transportation allowed. Construction limited to outside road right-of-way, only in public parks or designated recreation areas | Shared-use paths | OPRD | Varies | 20\% | http://www.oregon.gov/OPRD/GRANTS/local.shtml |
| 4 | Recreational Trails Program | Annual | Recreational trail-related projects, such as hiking, running, bicycling, off-road motorcycling, and all-terrain vehicle riding. | Shared-use paths | OPRD | Varies | 20\% | http://www.oregon.gov/OPRD/GRANTS/trails.shtml |
| 5 | Land and Water Conservation Fund | Annual | Acquire land for public outdoor recreation or develop basic outdoor recreation facilities | Shared-use paths, bikeways, sidewalks | OPRD | Varies | 50\% | http://www.oregon.gov/OPRD/GRANTS/Iwcf.shtml |
| 6 | Statewide Transportation Improvement Program | Biennial | Multi-year, statewide, intermodal program of transportation projects | Sidewalk, bikeways, crossing improvements | ODOT | Varies | Varies | http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/STIP/ |
| 7 | ATV Grant Program | Annual | Operation and maintenance, law enforcement, emergency medical services, land acquisition, leases, planning, development, and safety education in Oregon's OHV (off-highway vehicle) recreation areas | Shared-use paths | OPRD | February / <br> April | 20\% | http://www.oregon.gov/oprd/ATV/pages/grants.aspx |
| 8 | Immediate Opportunity Funds | Biennial | Support primary economic development through the construction and improvement of street and roads. | All | ODOT | On-going | 50\% | http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/TD/EA/reports/IOF_PolicyGuidelines2015\%20doc.pdf |
| 9 | Enhance (STIP) | Biennial | Activities that enhance, expand, or improve the transportation system. Projects that improve or enhance the state's multimodal transportation system. | All | ODOT | August | 10\% | http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/TD/STIP/Pages/WhatsChanged.aspx |
| 10 | ConnectOregon | Biennial | Non-highway transportation projects that promote economic development in Oregon. | Non-highway modes | ODOT | November | 20\% | http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/TD/TP/pages/connector.aspx |
| 11 | All Roads Transportation Safety (ARTS) | Biennial | Address safety needs on all public roads in Oregon; reduce fatal and serious injury crashes. | All hot spot and systemic safety projects | ODOT | Varies | 8\% | http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/HWY/TRAFFIC-ROADWAY/Pages/ARTS.aspx |

## Public/Private Sponsorship Opportunities

Public/Private sponsorships involve a private entity such as a local business owner working with the public agency to fund a project. In return for their investment in the community, these business owners often have recognition for their role, providing a marketing venue for the business. In Sherman County, one potential opportunity for this type of partnership is the bicycle wayfinding signage project. Private organizations that sponsor a sign may have the opportunity to provide their logo on a sign to help direct cyclists to their community and business.

## Local Taxes and User Fees

Many types of user fees and taxes may be collected to finance road construction and operations. On that premise, it is assumed that the County will need to develop local revenue sources to supplement or replace federal resources if it hopes to maintain current levels of service and assuming that changes in state of federal financing, coupled with efficiency measures are not enough to close the funding gap. Table 5-17 lists options that the County and Cities may wish to consider for funding local roads. The sources include a mix of fees and taxes, some of which if implemented would have implications for other aspects of the County and City budgets. Some of these fees could also be used to provide a local match to obtain greater federal or state funding, further stretching local dollars.

## Development Code Updates

In order to fund sidewalk projects, a change to the development code may be beneficial to local jurisdictions. The development code identifies the requirements that a developer must meet before obtaining permission to build. Local jurisdictions may choose to require developers to complete sidewalks in locations where they are identified in the TSP and enforce the completion through the development code. The jurisdiction may also choose to collect a payment in lieu of sidewalk construction from the developers and then use the money to construct complete sections of sidewalk when enough is collected to create efficiencies.

Table 5-17. Local Taxes and User Fee Options

| Source | Description | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General Fund | Property taxes from the <br> county's permanent tax rate. | Diverting general fund revenue to the Road <br> Fund would have significant consequences for <br> other county services. |
| Supplemental 5-year <br> Serial Levy | Voter approved property tax <br> levied in addition to the <br> county's permanent tax rate. | A road fund serial levy would have to be <br> approved by voters every five years. A one- <br> time approval would buy time for the county <br> to develop other options. This method could <br> fund operations and capital programs, some of <br> which might reduce future maintenance <br> requirements. |
| Road Utility Fee | Monthly user fee with revenue <br> dedicated to road operations. <br> May be enacted legislatively <br> but could be challenged and <br> brought to a vote. | This type of fee becoming more common in <br> cities but would require substantial <br> investment in rate studies, administrative <br> staffing, software and computer systems to <br> enable the county to collect the revenue. This <br> source is generally better suited to funding <br> operations than for capital improvements, but <br> it may free up existing resources for capital <br> projects. |
| Vehicle Registration | An extra fee on all registered <br> motor vehicles in the county. <br> May be authorized legislatively <br> but could be challenged and <br> brought to a vote. | State must be willing to act as a collection <br> agent for the county, otherwise would be easy <br> to implement. This source could fund <br> operations or capital programs. |
| Fee | Require that all motor vehicles <br> registered in the county also <br> have their title recorded as <br> personal property with the <br> County. | This would generate two sources of revenue: <br> from the fee itself and from personal property <br> taxes levied on motor vehicles. This could be <br> problematic for renters and would increase <br> taxable property that the Assessor must <br> account for. |
| Motor Vehicle Title fuel tax would be easy to collect |  |  |
| Fee | May be enacted legislatively <br> but could be challenged and <br> brought to a vote. | A local-option ful <br> because the infrastructure is already in place. <br> Would generate revenue for the county from <br> motorists passing through the county. This <br> method could fund operations and capital <br> programs. |
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# Attachment A. PAC \& Public Feedback on the Draft List of Alternatives 

## Handout \#1: County

## Sherman County TSP Workshop Instructions:

We would like to get your feedback on the alternatives and priorities identified in Tech Memo \#4.

Step 1: Please review the proposed roadway design guidelines and provide comments here letting us know if you agree with the guidelines. If you disagree, please explain why below or provide markups of your comments directly on the figures.

Jaclyn McCurdy (Rufus \& Biggs service District) - "I disagree with the guidelines due to Rufus' $1^{\text {st }}$ Street is not wide enough for 80 ft - needs to be 60 ft with bike and sidewalks."

Step 2: Please review the table provided and indicate whether you agree or disagree with the alternative's priority in the table. Please reference the figures for additional information on the project location if needed. If you disagree with the project or priority, please be sure to explain why in the next column. Feel free to provide any additional comments in the last column as well.

Step 3: Please indicate any alternatives to address existing or future needs that we have missed in the County or Cities by listing them below:

Caitlin Blagg's (Sherman County Health District/Medical Clinic) comments:

- Finish paving blagg In from racetrack to Lonerock Rd (project \#30)
- Install guardrail going down Lonerock towards Coelsch Road - deep canyon there

Cassie Strege's (City of Wasco) priorities:
\#28, 27, 56, 15.
Jaclyn McCurdy's (Rufus and Biggs) comments: - referred to her as JMC in table

- Rufus left turn lane on West Hwy $30 / 1^{\text {st }}$ Street into Industrial Park
- Business Loop district sign

Jessica Metta comments:

- Wasco projects to add:
- Sidewalks along Clark from Columbia to Ellis need some improvements in places \& road lacks curbs in some spots. See notes on Wasco map - add sidewalk East side, add signage for Cottonwood."

Mark Coles commented to add the following:

- US 97/Mud Hollow left turn In. northbound onto Mud Hollow.

Paul Sather commented to add turn lanes at Wilcox too - very high priority.
Tom Miller commented:

- Left-turn lane from US 97 to Barnum;
- Left-turn lane from US 97 to North St (Grass Valley).

UNK(2) commented on maps:

- Upgrade classification of Herin Lane further east of where existing func class ends
- "lower" main st in rufus near curve
- 
- 
- 
- 

Step 4: If you have any additional comments, please provide them below.

Please provide your name and contact information below so that we may contact you with any follow up questions regarding your notes.

Name: $\qquad$
Organization: $\qquad$

Email address: $\qquad$
Phone number: $\qquad$

Thank you for your input!
If you would like to provide additional comments, please submit those to Georgia Macnab at Sherman County, or provide them online at www.shermancountytsp.com.

## Table of Alternatives

Please focus on providing input on COUNTY, KENT, and BIGGS projects. If you have comments on the projects in other cities, please provide those as well.

| ID | Type | Category | Name | Description of Need | Description of Alternative(s) | Location | Priority | Agree / Disagree? | If you disagree, please explain why. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biggs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Project | Bridge | US 97 Bridge over Columbia River at Biggs Junction | The Biggs Rapids Bridge over the Columbia River is classified as functionally obsolete, indicating that it is still structurally sound but does not meet current design standards for its purpose. It likely needs widening. | Improve or replace bridge to meet current design standards. | Biggs Junction | Medium Priority |  | Mark Coles commented: In Poss...(?). UNK agrees. JMC agrees. |
| 18 | Study | Intermodal | Intermodal freight connections at Biggs Junction | Intermodal freight connections are limited at Biggs Junction. Some truck to river cargo connections exist. No rail service in Biggs Junction. | Evaluate opportunities for improved freight connections between trucks, rail, and river cargo. | Biggs Junction | Medium Priority |  | Mark Coles agrees. UNK agrees. JMC agrees. |
| County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Policy | Modernization | Roadway Design Guidelines | Roadway design guidelines for cities are not reflective of the rural character of the communities. | Update roadway design guidelines for each community. | County | High Priority |  | Mark coles commented: have concerns. UNK DISagrees. Cassie Strege (Wasco) agrees. JMC agrees. |
| 72 | Project | Safety | Traffic Speeds on US 97 | Residents are concerned about traffic speeds on US 97 in the County. | Enforcement, Education, ITS | County | High Priority |  | Mark Coles agrees. UNK agrees. JMC agrees; |
| 73 | Project | Safety | Truck Volumes on US 97 in Cities | Residents are concerned about high truck volumes on the highway within the downtown areas of the cities. | Install speed reduction treatments on US 97 to reinforce posted speeds in cities. | County | High Priority |  | JMC agrees; Mark Coles agrees. UNK DISagrees. |
| 74 | Project | Safety | Passing Opportunities on US 97 | Residents are concerned about the lack of passing opportunities on US 97 and the impatience drivers experience while being stuck behind trucks. | TSP to identify specific locations of concern and recommend ODOT conduct county-wide study. | County | High Priority |  | Mark Coles agrees. Paul Sather agrees and commented "Top Priority, Bell Ridge, any passing lanes going south are needed. Going south trucks can't get around each other." UNK agrees. Bonne whitley (GV) Agrees; JMC agrees; |
| 10 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Bicyclist Routes | Bicyclists are uncomfortable riding on US 97 due to high speeds and truck traffic. | Promote the bike routes that are currently popular routes and identify opportunities to route cyclists off of US 97 when possible. Provide signage to encourage cyclists to use alternate routes from the highway and provide warnings signs on these routes to inform drivers of the bicycle routes. | County | Medium Priority |  | Caitlin Blagg's comment: Bikes need to be aware of heavy farm equipment moving along back roads - farm equipment has limited visibility. Mark Coles commented that is should be Low Priority and said "not priority in my opinion." UNK DISagrees. JMC agrees; |
| 57 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Van Gilder Road | Van Gilder Road is a heavily used bike route in the County. | Provide directional signage for cyclists; warning signs for motorists to share the road. | County | Medium Priority |  | Mark Coles commented that is should be Low Priority. UNK DISagrees. JMC agrees; |
| 12 | Project | Bridge | Mud Hollow Road <br> Bridge over <br> Spanish Hollow Creek | The Mud Hollow Road bridge, immediately west of US 97, over Spanish Hollow Creek has a low sufficiency rating and is classified as structurally deficient by ODOT. | Improve or replace bridge to meet current design standards. | County | Medium Priority |  | Mark Coles commented that is should be Low Priority but also agreed with project noting that "this has history." UNK DISagrees. JMC agrees; |


| ID | Type | Category | Name | Description of Need | Description of Alternative(s) | Location | Priority | Agree / Disagree? | If you disagree, please explain why. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | Project | Bridge | Finnegan Road Bridge over Finnegan Creek | The bridge on Finnegan Road over Finnegan Creek has a low sufficiency rating and is classified as structurally deficient. | Improve or replace bridge to meet current design standards. | County | Medium Priority |  | Mark Coles agrees. UNK agrees. JMC agrees; |
| 26 | Policy \& Study | Modernization | Biggs-Rufus Highway Upgrade (Maddie's Hump) | There is concern about a potential closure of Biggs-Rufus Highway at this location. The road serves the local residents who live/work in Biggs/Rufus and also provides an important alternative route to the interstate when it closes. | Upgrade from minor collector to major collector between Biggs and Rufus. Study feasibility of widening shoulders and installing guardrail and/or rock guard for vehicles. | County | Medium Priority |  | Mark Coles agrees and commented "money pit". UNK agrees. JMC agrees; |
| 31 | Project | Safety | Northern Alternate Access to Raceway | The Oregon Raceway currently only has one access available: Blagg Lane from US 97. | Construct a secondary access from the Oregon Raceway to Barnum Lane. | County | Medium Priority |  | Caitlin Blagg commented "pave the secondary access." Mark Coles commented "Low - They can go east to get out, its just gravel). Tom Miller agrees with this project. UNK DISagrees. Bonne Whitley (GV) agrees and notes "include turn lane from US 97"; JMC agrees; |
| 76 | Policy | Modernization | Van Gilder Road Upgrade | Van Gilder Road is currently classified as a major collector from US 97 in Moro to the intersection with OR 206. The route is a popular alternative to US 97 for local residents. | Upgrade Van Gilder Road from a major collector to a minor arterial from US 97 in Moro to the intersection with OR 206. Route serves as a popular alternative to US 97 for local residents. Study the feasibility of improving the road to arterial standards. | County | Medium Priority |  | Mark Coles commented - "not sure, thinking." UNK agrees. JMC agrees; |
| 16 | Policy | Modernization | OR 206/Fulton <br>  <br> Biggs-Rufus <br> Highway Upgrade | OR 206/Fulton Canyon Road (from the intersection of US 97 to the intersection with Biggs-Rufus Highway) and Biggs-Rufus Highway (from OR 206 to the western county limit) are currently classified as major collectors. These routes serve as popular alternatives to provide connections to l-84 (west) for local residents. Fulton Canyon Road access is restricted for trucks; trucks cannot use this route due to limited width. | Upgrade OR 206/Fulton Canyon Road from a major collector to a minor arterial from the intersection of US 97 to the intersection with Biggs-Rufus Highway. Route serves as a popular alternative to US 97 for local residents. Study the feasibility of improving the roads to arterial standards. | County | Medium Priority |  | Mark Coles commented "ODOT Concerns." UNK agrees. JMC agrees; |
| 17 | Policy | Modernization | Scott Canyon Road Upgrade | Scott Canyon Road is currently classified as a major collector from OR 206 in Wasco to BiggsRufus Highway in Rufus. Route serves as a popular alternative connection to I-84 (east) for local residents. This road is difficult for trucks to traverse due to limited width. Trucks are discouraged from using this route. | Upgrade Scott Canyon Road from a major collector to a minor arterial from OR 206 in Wasco to Biggs-Rufus Highway in Rufus. Route serves as a popular alternative to US 97 for local residents. Study the feasibility of improving the road to arterial standards. | County | Medium Priority |  | Mark Coles commented "not sure, thinking". UNK agrees. JMC agrees; |
| 75 | Policy \& | Modernization | OR 216 Upgrade | OR 216 is currently classified as a major collector | Upgrade OR 216 from a major | County | Medium |  | Mark Coles commented "ODOT |


| ID | Type | Category | Name | Description of Need | Description of Alternative(s) | Location | Priority | Agree / Disagree? | If you disagree, please explain why. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Study |  |  | from US 97 in Grass Valley to Deschutes River. This route is a popular route for river access along the Deschutes and for residents traveling to the west. | collector to a minor arterial from US 97 in Grass Valley to Deschutes River. This route is a popular route for river access along the Deschutes and for residents traveling to the east. Study the feasibility of improving the road to arterial standards. |  | Priority |  | Concerns." UNK agrees. JMC agrees; |
| 39 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Ped/Bike Connections along Lonerock Road, east of City Limits of Moro | There are no ped/bike connections along Lonerock Road from the East City Limits of Moro to Fairgrounds. | Install a shared-use path along Lonerock Road from East City Limits to Fairgrounds. | County | Low Priority |  | Jessica Metta notes this should be Medium priority; Mark Coles agrees at Low Priority. UNK DISagrees. JMC agrees; |
| 46 | Project | Modernization | US 97 / Erskine Road | Narrow throat at intersection; road is crumbling. | Widen the throat of Erskine Road. | County | Low Priority |  | Mark Coles agrees (\& stars this one) and notes Medium priority. UNK agrees. JMC agrees; |
| 30 | Project | Roadway | Eastern Alternate <br> Access to <br> Raceway | The Oregon Raceway currently only has one access available: Blagg Lane from US 97 . | Pave Blagg Lane from Oregon Raceway to Lonerock Road. Consider upgrading the functional classification. | County | Low Priority |  | Caitlin Blagg agrees and commented "\#1 <br> - this needs to happen." Mark Coles agrees and notes Medium priority. Tom <br> Miller agrees with this project and commented that he would like to see higher priority - safety. UNK agrees. Bonne Whitley (GV) agrees and notes "need for another way from race track"; JMC agrees; |
| 55 | Study | Safety | Wildlife Crossings | Residents are concerned about wildlife crashes. | Conduct a study to determine where wildlife crossings are needed on the major state highways. Estimate the cost of installing the crossings. | County | Low Priority |  | UNK DISagrees. JMC agrees; |
| Grass Valley |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | Project | Modernization | North Street/US 97 | Turn radius for westbound right turn is too small to accommodate large vehicles. | Reconstruct North Street approach to US 97 to provide larger turn radius. | Grass Valley | Medium Priority |  | Jessica Metta notes "also add project to replace street lights with pedestrian scale attractive ones"; Mark Coles agrees with this project. Tom Miller agrees with this project. Bonne Whitley (GV) agrees and also commented "upgrade street lights"; JMC agrees; |
| Moro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 | Project | Safety | High School Access | The high school currently has three access locations via two general areas. One has limited sight distance. The high school serves younger/vulnerable drivers. There is desire to restrict access to one location, but concerns about maintaining two points for emergency | Consolidate access points. Consider a new access point just north of high school, closing southern access, and converting northern access to ped/bike only route. Maintain secondary access for emergency | Moro | High Priority |  | Caitlin Blagg disagreed and commented <br> "a gate will slow down emergency vehicles - not good in an emergency. This needs work, I just don't think this is the answer." Mark Coles agrees and notes "yes something different what we |


| ID | Type | Category | Name | Description of Need | Description of Alternative(s) | Location | Priority | Agree / Disagree? | If you disagree, please explain why. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | access. The elementary school will be moving to the same site, increasing traffic by about 25 vehicles per day (according to numbers provided to Brad Dehart by the school district). | vehicles only by using a gate. |  |  |  | currently have, left turn lane and decel lane." JMC agrees; |
| 7 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Sidewalks to High School | No pedestrian or bicycle facilities exist to connect the High School to residential areas of Moro. | Install sidewalks or a shared-use path between the High School and the existing sidewalks on Main Street. Consider converting some of the existing roadway to pedestrian and bicycle access only. | Moro | Medium Priority |  | Mark Coles commented that the high school loop road does have bike path H.S. north. JMC agrees; |
| 38 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Ped/Bike Connections along 4th Street to Azure Lane in Moro | There are no ped/bike connections along 4th Street/Van Gilder Road from Hood Street to Azure Lane, which serves a major employer, in Moro. | Install a shared-used path along 4th Street/Van Gilder Road from Hood Street to Azure Lane. | Moro | Medium Priority |  | JMC agreed but also noted - "Done, removed." Jessica Metta notes this should be changed to Low priority; Mark Coles commented "completed." JMC agrees; |
| 9 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Lonerock Road Sidewalks | No sidewalks exist along Lonerock Road between US 97 and the Steve Burnett Extension \& Research Building. | Construct sidewalks on the north side of the road. | Moro | Low Priority |  | Jessica Metta notes this should be changed to medium priority; Mark Coles agrees. JMC agrees; |
| 29 | Project | Modernization | Moro Truck Traffic | Moro is bisected by US 97 which has a high truck volume. In addition, residents have observed vehicles traveling fast through the downtown area. | Install a bypass around Moro. | Moro | Low Priority |  | Caitlin Blagg commented "or install speed cameras \& Fine people who are speeding." Jessica Metta notes "bad idea - do not support." Mark Coles disagrees. Bonne Whitley (GV) disagrees and notes "bad idea"; JMC agrees; |
| Rufus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | 1st Street <br> Sidewalks (Rufus) | 1st Street lacks sidewalks and serves as an eastwest route through Rufus. | Install sidewalks along both sides of 1st Street from Sullivan Ln to Wallace Street | Rufus | High Priority |  | Janice Strand (R) - agrees and <br> commented "\#1-Highest - will definitely upgrade downtown but might actually slow traffic". Jessica Metta commented "also add ped-scale attractive street lights to this part." Mark Coles agrees. Mayor of Rufus commented online: "Human safety should be an important element for ODOT. When ODOT diverted traffic through town due to the closure of $1-842$ years ago, there was a major concern for safety. Rufus has a highway going through town. It is the feelings of the citizens that ODOT has no regard for human safety by ignoring the fact there is a need for sidewalks, safe parking, and erosion control for their bridges which are severely under maintained and falling apart. Once again we need to address these problems with long term fixes instead of bandage repairs. As the Mayor of Rufus it has been a major topic for our citizens and nothing has been done." JMC |


| ID | Type | Category | Name | Description of Need | Description of Alternative(s) | Location | Priority | Agree / Disagree? | If you disagree, please explain why. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | agrees (\#1); |
| 65 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Main Street Sidewalks | Main Street lacks sidewalks. It is a collector in city limits. | Install sidewalks on Main Street from Vista Drive to 1st Street. | Rufus | High Priority |  | Janice Strand ( R ) - commented " $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{B}$ Low Priority - traffic too fast". Mark Coles commented "Medium priority - Agree just don't have the money." He also commented "county rd scott canyon." JMC agrees but noted Medium Priority; |
| 19 | Project | Modernization | Murray Street | This residential road is used as a cut-through in Rufus. | Install traffic calming measures on Murray Street to reinforce posted speed and deter cut-through traffic. | Rufus | High Priority |  | Janice Strand (R) - commented \#3 - city can handle this. Mark Coles agrees. JMC agrees; |
| 21 | Project | Safety | 2nd <br> Street/Wallace <br> Street | The existing intersection is too close to the highway. | Connect 2nd Street to 1st Street 300' west of Wallace Street. Vacate 2nd Street from new connection to Wallace Street. Consider extending 3rd Street to 2nd Street/1st Street. | Rufus | High Priority |  | Janice Strand (R) - commented \#3 intersection/access is confusing- extend guardrail parallel to Hwy 30. Mark Coles agrees. JMC agrees (\#2); |
| 68 | Project | Safety | Intersection of 2nd Street/Biggs Rufus Highway | The intersection of 2nd Street/1st street/Biggs Rufus Highway is skewed. | Vacate 2nd Street from Murray Street to 1st Street. | Rufus | High Priority |  | Janice Strand (R) - agreed\& commented \#2. JMC agrees (\#2); |
| 34 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Bikes on Main Street (Rufus) | Bicyclists share the roadway with vehicles along this road. Truck traffic is heavy during harvest time. | Widen to accommodate a bicycle lane. | Rufus | Medium Priority |  | Mark Coles agrees. JMC agrees; |
| 70 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Pedestrian Crossings of Biggs-Rufus Highway | There are no defined crossings or marked crosswalks along Biggs-Rufus Highway/1st Street in Rufus. | Stripe crossing of 1st Street at Main Street. | Rufus | Medium Priority |  | Janice Strand (R) - commented S/B High <br> Priority. Mark Coles agrees. Mayor of Rufus commented online: "U.S. 30 through town is a major concern. Parking is dangerous and has no safe parameters. Backing onto U.S. 30 from a parking space has created fender benders and WILL cause a major life threating accident. Our time to have ODOT address these issues with safety is long needed. We are a major area for recreation and traffic control is non-existent." JMC agrees (\#2 - noted High Priority); |
| 23 | Project | Bridge | 1st Street/BiggsRufus Highway Bridge (west of Sullivan Ln) | Visual inspection indicates bridge needs repair | Evaluate structure integrity of the existing bridge and establish cost estimates for required improvements. | Rufus | Medium Priority |  | Janice Strand (R) - commented S/B High Priority. Mark Coles agrees. Mayor of Rufus commented online: "As the Mayor of Rufus there are a few points of concern along U.S. 30 through the city. One point of major concern and safety is the bridge at the east end of town. Obviously when originally constructed it worked well for the traffic and the size and weight of the vehicles in the 40's. Times have change with vehicles, trucks and traffic. The bridge is undersized in relation to the road traffic, in dire need of major repair versus patching. Heavy loads are a common sight through our city" JMC agrees (\#2 noted High Priority); |
| 24 | Project | Bridge | 1st Street/Biggs- | Visual inspection indicates bridge needs repair | Evaluate structure integrity of the | Rufus | Medium |  | Janice Strand (R) - commented S/B High |


| ID | Type | Category | Name | Description of Need | Description of Alternative(s) | Location | Priority | Agree / Disagree? | If you disagree, please explain why. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rufus Highway Bridge (east of Fowler St) |  | existing bridge and establish cost estimates for required improvements. |  | Priority |  | Priority. Mark Coles agrees. Mayor of Rufus commented: "As the Mayor of Rufus there are a few points of concern along U.S. 30 through the city. One point of major concern and safety is the bridge at the east end of town. Obviously when originally constructed it worked well for the traffic and the size and weight of the vehicles in the 40 's. Times have change with vehicles, trucks and traffic. The bridge is undersized in relation to the road traffic, in dire need of major repair versus patching. Heavy loads are a common sight through our city" JMC agrees (\#2 - noted High Priority); |
| 22 | Project | Modernization | Biggs Rufus <br> Highway (1st <br> Street) lacks defined on-street parking. | Access to business is not defined, and no onstreet parking exists through downtown area. | Define access management along the highway and define on-street parking spaces. | Rufus | Medium Priority |  | Jessica Metta comments "High Priority just because this would be related to project 32 - adding sidewalks will restrict access to some current parking." Mark Coles agrees. JMC agrees; |
| 71 | Study | Modernization | Rufus Parking <br> Analysis | The downtown area of Rufus lacks a detailed parking analysis to help identify parking needs and options. | Conduct a parking options study and analysis for the business and residential block. | Rufus | Medium Priority |  | Janice Strand (R)-commented S/B Low Priority - we can aggect this with sidewalks \& closure of Fowler St. between $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ plus sidewalks with aprons can help. JMC agrees but notes Low Priority; |
| 33 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | 2nd Street <br> Sidewalks (Rufus) | 2nd Street lacks sidewalks. This street serves access to the Community Center. | Install sidewalks along the south side of 2nd Street from Main Street to Community Center | Rufus | Low Priority |  | JMC agrees; |
| 67 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Rufus Ped/Bike <br> Access Under <br> Freeway and <br> Railroad | There is no ped/bike access under the freeway and river. | Conduct environmental impact study to determine whether Gerking Gulch is a feasible undercrossing of I-84 and railroad for ped/bike users between 1st Street and the Columbia River. | Rufus | Low Priority |  | Janice Strand (R)- commented S/B Medium Priority; JMC agrees but notes Medium Priority; |
| 25 | Project | Bridge | 2nd Street Bridge (east of Fowler St) | Visual inspection indicates bridge needs repair | Evaluate structure integrity of the existing bridge and recommend closure of road if bridge is not structurally sound. | Rufus | Low Priority |  | Janice Strand (R)- commented - eliminate - we will close $2^{\text {nd }}$ at Fowler across bridge going East. JMC agrees; |
| 69 | Project | Modernization | Fowler Street Parking | There is a lack of defined parking spaces in downtown Rufus. | Vacate Fowler Street from 1st Street to 2nd Street and convert to a parking lot with access to 2nd Street only. | Rufus | Low Priority |  | JMC agrees; |
| Wasco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | Project | Modernization | Wasco <br> Wayfinding <br> Signage | The Wasco wayfinding signage is limited, and many drivers make incorrect turns. | Provide better signage to direct vehicles to highways \& Rufus. | Wasco | High Priority |  | Mark Coles put a ? by this one. Cassie Strege (Wasco) agrees. JMC agrees; |
| 35 | Project | Active Transportation | Old Highway 97 Sidewalks | Old Highway 97 is a Major Collector in Wasco and lacks sidewalks from Clark Street to the north | Install sidewalks on both sides of Old Highway 97 from Clark Street to 6th | Wasco | Medium Priority |  | JMC agrees; |


| ID | Type | Category | Name | Description of Need | Description of Alternative(s) | Location | Priority | Agree / Disagree? | If you disagree, please explain why. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | and west. It provides connections to residences between Clark Street to Asher Street in Wasco. | Street and along the east side of the road from 6th Street to Asher Street. |  |  |  |  |
| 61 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | OR 206 Sidewalks (Clark Street to Scott Street) | OR 206 lacks sidewalks from Clark Street east to Scott Street (an arterial in city limits). | Install sidewalks on OR 206 from Clark Street east to Scott Street. | Wasco | Medium Priority |  | Mark Coles put a ? by this one. JMC agrees; |
| 63 | Project | Active Transportation | Clark Street Sidewalks | Clark Street from Old Highway 97 to Yates Street lacks sidewalks. It is a collector in the city limits. | Install sidewalks on Clark Street from Old Highway 97 to Yates Street. | Wasco | Medium Priority |  | JMC agrees; |
| 64 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | OR 206 Sidewalks (Biggs Street to Church Street) | OR 206 from Biggs Street to Church Street lacks sidewalks. It is an arterial in city limits. | Install sidewalks on OR 206 from Biggs Street to Church Street. | Wasco | Medium Priority |  | JMC agrees; |
| 62 | Project | Active <br> Transportation | Armsworthy Street Sidewalks | Armsworthy Street lacks sidewalks. It is a collector in the city limits. | Install sidewalks on Armsworthy Street from Church Street to Scott Street. | Waso | Medium Priority |  | JMC agrees; |
| Systemic Safety Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Project | Systemic Safety | Fixed-object and non-collision crashes | The County-wide crash history showed a high proportion of fixed-object and non-collision crashes. | County wide systemic safety projects for rural roads (rumble strips, shoulder widening). | County | High Priority |  | Mark Coles commented "not in my opinion." JMC agrees; |
| 5 | Project or Study | Systemic Safety | Weather-related crashes | The County-wide crash history showed a high percentage of weather-related crashes. I-84 had the highest number of crashes in the County. | County wide systemic safety projects for weather related crashes, which may include: ITS treatments, different pavement materials, warning signs, etc. | County | High Priority |  | Mark Coles put a ? by this one. JMC agrees; |
| 2 | Project | Systemic Safety | Herin Lane | Crash rate is above the statewide 90th percentile for similar facilities. Key crash trends: fixed object and non-collision crashes as well as icy road conditions. This segment was studied because it was counted, and it likely represents similar characteristics of other County roads. | County-wide systemic safety projects for rural roads (rumble strips, shoulder widening) | County | High Priority |  | Mark Coles wrote medium priority and commented "more shoulders, no rumble strips. Like to know what year that crash data was pulled from." JMC agrees; |
| 59 | Project | Systemic Safety | Blagg Lane Curve Warning Signs | There is no warning of the approaching curve (\& adjacent drop-off) when traveling westbound on Blagg Lane from the racetrack. | Install curve warning signs on the outside of the horizontal curve on Blagg Lane $1 / 2$ mile east of US 97 . | County | High Priority |  | Caitlin Blagg agreed and marked this as \#3 for her. Mark Coles commented "I believe there is, I need to check." JMC agrees; |
| 27 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Old Highway 97 | There is a high volume of southbound traffic on US 97 turning left onto Old Highway 97. | Install a southbound left-turn lane. | County | Medium Priority |  | JMC agrees;Cassie Strege (Wasco) agrees and says high priority - \#2. Mark Coles agrees. |
| 28 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Clark Street | Northbound right-turn traffic from US 97 has little time to slow before making the right-turn | Install a northbound right-turn deceleration lane on US 97 at Clark Street | County | Medium Priority |  | JMC agrees;Cassie Strege (Wasco) agrees and says high priority - \#1. Mark Coles changed this to low priority and commented "there is one just needs to be longer." |
| 4 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 from Grass Valley to Kent | Observations from the residents indicate there is a high frequency of crashes in this location. | Passing lanes, speed treatments/enforcements, curve warning signs, etc. | County | Medium Priority |  | Mark Coles commented "to County Line" instead of to Kent" JMC agrees; |
| 42 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Stark Lane | There is limited sight distance at the intersection of US 97 / Stark Lane. | Improve sight distance at the intersection of US 97/Stark Lane. | County | Medium Priority |  | Mark Coles commented: "? Really. I need to check". JMC agrees; |


| ID | Type | Category | Name | Description of Need | Description of Alternative(s) | Location | Priority | Agree / Disagree? | If you disagree, please explain why. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Rutledge Lane | There is limited sight distance at the intersection of US 97 / Rutledge Lane. | Improve sight distance at the intersection of US 97 / Rutledge Lane. | County | Medium Priority |  | JMC agrees;Mark Coles starred this one. |
| 48 | Project | Systemic Safety | Lonerock Road | Lonerock Road lacks guardrail on curves. | Install guardrail. | County | Medium Priority |  | Caitlin Blagg agreed and marked this as <br> \#2 for her. She commented "canyon coming out of Moro towards Coelsch Rd needs guardrail." Mark Coles agreed with this one and noted it as 2-3 on his priority list. JMC agrees; |
| 49 | Project | Systemic Safety | Van Gilder Road | Van Gilder Road lacks guardrail on curves. | Install guardrail. | County | Medium Priority |  | Mark Coles commented "low no crash data". JMC agrees; |
| 50 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Monkland Lane | There is limited sight distance at the intersection of US 97 / Monkland Lane. | Improve sight distance at the intersection of US 97 / Monkland Lane. | County | Medium Priority |  | Mark Coles commented "High" priority and noted it as 2-3 on his list. JMC agrees; |
| 40 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Liberty Lane | There is no southbound right-turn deceleration lane on US 97 at Liberty Lane. | Install southbound right-turn deceleration lane on US 97 at Liberty Lane. | County | Low Priority |  | Mark Coles noted this as medium priority and as his top 2-3 priorities. (Paul Sather commented that this intersection should be high priority); JMC agrees; |
| 41 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Bourbon Lane | There are no turn lanes from US 97 at Bourbon Lane. | Install turn lanes on US 97 at Bourbon Lane. | County | Low Priority |  | Mark Coles commented that this should be medium priority. (Paul Sather commented that this intersection should be high priority); JMC agrees; |
| 47 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Moore Lane | Short deceleration lane length. | Extend deceleration lane length. | County | Low Priority |  | Mark Coles commented that this should be low priority and noted "at least they have one." JMC agrees; |
| 51 | Project | Systemic Safety | Hay Canyon Road / Monkland Lane | There is a rock bluff at Hay Canyon Road / Monkland Lane that blocks sight distance. | KAI to evaluate intersection and identify project on $5 / 6$. | County | Low Priority |  | Mark Coles noted Medium priority and circle "KAl" to find out what KAI is. JMC agrees; |
| 52 | Project | Systemic Safety | OR 206 / Fairview Road | There is a blind corner at OR 206 / Fairview Road. | KAI to evaluate intersection and identify project on 5/6. | County | Low Priority |  | JMC agrees;Mark Coles noted Medium priority and circle "KAl" to find out what KAl is. |
| 43 | Project | Systemic Safety | US 97 / Dobie Point Rd (Kent) | There are no turn lanes from US 97 at Dobie Point Road. This road is heavily used by harvest trucks. | Install turn lanes on US 97 at Dobie Point Road in Kent. | Kent | High Priority |  | Bonne Whitley (GV) agrees; Mark Coles agrees with this and noted "left-turn and decel". Paul Sather commented "Agree, Add bourbon, liberty, Wilcox- very high priority." |
| 20 | Project | Systemic Safety | W 1st Street / Industrial access | Access to industrial areas off of 1st Street/BiggsRufus Highway lacks turn lanes. | Construct westbound left-turn lane on 1st Street at Industrial Park | Rufus | High Priority |  | Mark Coles agrees with this. |

## Attachment B. Cost Estimate Calculations

## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate

| High School Shared Use Path |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | DESCRIPTION | $\frac{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{QTY}}$ | UNIT COST |  | TOTAL |  |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION, PROJ MGT, TEMP. FACILITIES, ETC. | LS | \$ | \$ 12,000.00 | \$ | 12,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | PROVIDE TRAFFIC CONTROL | LS | \$ | \$ 2,000.00 | \$ | 2,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | F\&P EROSION CONTROLMEASURES | LS | \$ | \$ 3,000.00 | \$ | 3,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | PROVIDE SUBGRADEPREPARATION | LS | \$ | \$ 8,000.00 | \$ | 8,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | F\&P 1-1/2" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON | \$ | \$ 35.00 | \$ | 32,793.70 |
|  |  | 937 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P $3 / 4$ " MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON | \$ | \$ 45.00 | \$ | 12,046.67 |
|  |  | 268 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | F\&P 1/2" DENSE ODOT LEVEL 2 MHMAC PAVING | TON | \$ | \$ 110.00 | \$ | 58,433.12 |
|  |  | 531 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | F\&P PAINT STRIPING | LS | \$ | \$ 1,000.00 | \$ | 1,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | F\&P ALL NECESSARY SIGNAGE | LS | \$ | 500.00 | \$ | 500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CO | TR | UOTE = | \$ | 129,773.49 |
| 1 | ENGINEERING, SURVEYING,MANAGEMENT | LS | 22\% |  | \$ | 28,550.17 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | CONTINGENCY | LS | 20\% |  | \$ | 25,954.70 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{l\|ll} \hline \text { TOTAL QUOTE }= & \$ & 184,278.36 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High School Shared Use Path |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate



## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate

| 2nd St. Realignment (Rufus) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | DESCRIPTION | $\frac{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{QTY}}$ | UNIT COST |  | TOTAL |  |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION, PROJ MGT, TEMP. FACILITIES, ETC. | LS |  | \$ 3,100.00 | \$ | 3,100.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | PROVIDE TRAFFIC CONTROL | LS |  | \$ 3,000.00 | \$ | 3,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | F\&P EROSION CONTROLMEASURES | LS |  | \$ 1,500.00 | \$ | \$ 1,500.00 |
|  |  | , |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | PROVIDE DEMOLITION \& PAVEMENT REMOVAL | SQ FT |  | \$ 1.75 | \$ | \$ 8,837.50 |
|  |  | 5,050 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | PROVIDE GRADE PREPARATION \& DEMO | LS |  | \$ 2,500.00 | \$ | \$ 2,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P 1-1/2" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON |  | \$ 40.00 | \$ | \$ 5,711.65 |
|  |  | 143 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | F\&P 3/4" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON |  | \$ 50.00 | \$ | \$ 2,039.88 |
|  |  | 41 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | F\&P 1/2" DENSE ODOT LEVEL 2 MHMAC PAVING | TON |  | \$ 75.00 | \$ | \$ 5,508.92 |
|  |  | 73 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | F\&P PAINT STRIPING | LS | \$ | 1,500.00 | \$ | \$ 1,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | F\&P ALL NECESSARY SIGNAGE | LS | \$ | 1,250.00 | \$ | \$ 1,250.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CONSTRUCTION QUOTE = |  |  | \$ | 34,947.95 |
| 1 | ENGINEERING, SURVEYING,MANAGEMENT | LS | 22\% |  | \$ | 7,688.55 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | CONTINGENCY | LS | 20\% |  | \$ | 6,989.59 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| [ TOTAL QUOTE $=$ \$ \$ 49,626.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2nd St. Realignment (Rufus) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate



## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate

| Eastern Alternate Raceway Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | DESCRIPTION | $\frac{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{QTY}}$ |  | ST |  | TOTAL |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION, PROJ MGT, TEMP. FACILITIES, ETC. | LS | \$ | \$ 160,000.00 | \$ | 160,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | PROVIDE TRAFFIC CONTROL | LS | \$ | \$ 4,000.00 | \$ | 4,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | F\&P EROSION CONTROLMEASURES | LS | \$ | \$ 2,000.00 | \$ | 2,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | PROVIDE GRADE PREPARATION | LS | \$ | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ | 50,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | F\&P 1-1/2" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON | \$ | \$ 30.00 | \$ | 597,000.00 |
|  |  | 19,900 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P 3/4" MINUS AGGREGATE BASE | TON | \$ | \$ 40.00 | \$ | 228,000.00 |
|  |  | 5,700 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | F\&P 1/2" DENSE ODOT LEVEL 2 MHMAC PAVING | TON | \$ | \$ 65.00 | \$ | 754,000.00 |
|  |  | 11,600 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | F\&P PAINT STRIPING | LS | \$ | \$ 6,500.00 | \$ | 6,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | F\&P ALL NECESSARY SIGNAGE | LS | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | 1,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CONSTRUCTION QUOTE = |  |  | \$ | 1,802,500.00 |
| 1 | ENGINEERING, SURVEYING, MANAGEMENT | LS | 22\% |  | \$ | 396,550.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | CONTINGENCY | LS | 20\% |  | \$ | 360,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastern Alternate Raceway Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate

| Northern Alternate Raceway Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | DESCRIPTION | $\frac{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{QTY}}$ | UNIT COST |  | TOTAL |  |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION, PROJ MGT, TEMP. FACILITIES, ETC. | LS |  | \$ 31,000.00 | \$ | 31,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | PROVIDE TRAFFIC CONTROL | LS |  | \$ 1,500.00 | \$ | 1,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | F\&P EROSION CONTROLMEASURES | LS |  | \$ 3,000.00 | \$ | \$ 3,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | PROVIDE CLEARING \&GRUBBING | LS |  | \$ 4,000.00 | \$ | \$ 4,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | PROVIDE GRADE PREPARATION | LS |  | \$ 10,000.00 | \$ | \$ 10,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P 1-1/2" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON |  | \$ 40.00 | \$ | \$ 123,777.98 |
|  |  | 3,094 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | F\&P 3/4" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON |  | \$ 50.00 | \$ | \$ 44,206.42 |
|  |  | 884 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | F\&P 1/2" DENSE ODOT LEVEL 2 MHMAC PAVING | TON |  | \$ 75.00 | \$ | \$ 118,421.66 |
|  |  | 1,579 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | F\&P PAINT STRIPING | LS | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | \$ 2,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | F\&P ALL NECESSARY SIGNAGE | LS | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | \$ 2,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CONSTRUCTION QUOTE = |  |  | \$ | 340,906.05 |
| 1 | ENGINEERING, SURVEYING,MANAGEMENT | LS | 22\% |  | \$ | 74,999.33 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | CONTINGENCY | LS | 20\% |  | \$ | 68,181.21 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northern Alternate Raceway Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate



## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate

| 2nd St. Sidewalks (Rufus) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | DESCRIPTION | $\frac{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{QTY}}$ | UNIT COST |  | TOTAL |  |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION, PROJ MGT, TEMP. FACILITIES, ETC. | LS |  | \$ 23,000.00 | \$ | \$ 23,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | PROVIDE TRAFFIC CONTROL | LS |  | \$ 6,000.00 | \$ | 6,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | F\&P EROSION CONTROLMEASURES | LS |  | \$ 2,500.00 | \$ | \$ 2,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | PROVIDE DEMOLITION \& PAVEMENT REMOVAL | SQ FT |  | \$ 1.75 | \$ | \$ 23,310.00 |
|  |  | 13,320 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | F\&P STORM CATCH BASIN | EA |  | \$ 1,500.00 | \$ | \$ 6,000.00 |
|  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P STORM SEWER MANHOLE | EA |  | \$ 2,000.00 | \$ | \$ 6,000.00 |
|  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P STORM SEWER | LF |  | \$ 40.00 | \$ | \$ 40,000.00 |
|  |  | 1,000 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | PROVIDE SUBGRADEPREPARATION | LS |  | \$ 5,000.00 | \$ | \$ 5,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | F\&P 1-1/2" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON |  | \$ 35.00 | \$ | \$ 18,549.68 |
|  |  | 530 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | F\&P 3/4" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON |  | \$ 45.00 | \$ | \$ 6,814.17 |
|  |  | 151 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | F\&P 1/2" DENSE ODOT LEVEL 2 MHMAC PAVING | TON |  | \$ 110.00 | \$ | \$ 39,663.06 |
|  |  | 361 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | F\&P CONCRETE CURBS | LF |  | \$ 25.00 | \$ | \$ 27,000.00 |
|  |  | 1,080 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | F\&P CONCRETE WALK | SF |  | \$ 8.00 | \$ | \$ 43,200.00 |
|  |  | 5,400 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | F\&P CONCRETE WALK INSTERSECTION RETURNS | EA |  | \$ 600.00 | \$ | \$ 1,800.00 |
|  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | F\&P CONCRETE WALK DRIVEWAY DROPS | EA |  | \$ 400.00 | \$ | \$ 2,400.00 |
|  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | F\&P PAINT STRIPING | LS | \$ | \$ 5,000.00 | \$ | \$ 5,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | F\&P ALL NECESSARY SIGNAGE | LS |  | \$ 3,000.00 | \$ | \$ 3,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CONSTRUCTION QUOTE = |  |  | \$ | 259,236.90 |
| 1 | ENGINEERING, SURVEYING,MANAGEMENT | LS | 22\% |  | \$ | 57,032.12 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | CONTINGENCY | LS | 20\% |  | \$ | 51,847.38 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TOTAL QUOTE $=$ ¢ |  |  | 368,116.40 |
| 2nd St. Sidewalks (Rufus) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate

| Main St. Bike Lanes (Rufus) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | DESCRIPTION | $\frac{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{QTY}}$ | UNIT COST |  | TOTAL |  |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION, PROJ MGT, TEMP. FACILITIES, ETC. | LS | \$ | \$ 10,000.00 | \$ | 10,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | PROVIDE TRAFFIC CONTROL | LS | \$ | \$ 2,000.00 | \$ | 2,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | F\&P EROSION CONTROLMEASURES | LS | \$ | \$ 1,000.00 | \$ | 1,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | PROVIDE GRADE PREPARATION | LS | \$ | \$ 5,000.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | F\&P 1-1/2" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON | \$ | \$ 40.00 | \$ | 41,522.96 |
|  |  | 1,038 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P $3 / 4$ " MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON | \$ | \$ 50.00 | \$ | 14,829.63 |
|  |  | 297 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | F\&P 1/2" DENSE ODOT LEVEL 2 MHMAC PAVING | TON | \$ | \$ 75.00 | \$ | 37,834.39 |
|  |  | 504 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | F\&P PAINT STRIPING | LS | \$ | \$ 3,000.00 | \$ | 3,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | F\&P ALL NECESSARY SIGNAGE | LS | \$ | 400.00 | \$ | 400.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CO | TR | UOTE = | \$ | 115,586.99 |
| 1 | ENGINEERING, SURVEYING,MANAGEMENT | LS | 22\% |  | \$ | 25,429.14 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | CONTINGENCY | LS | 20\% |  | \$ | 23,117.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL QUOTE $=$ $\$ 164,133.52$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Main St. Bike Lanes (Rufus) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate

| Old Highway 97 Sidewalks (Wasco) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | DESCRIPTION | $\frac{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{QTY}}$ |  |  |  | TOTAL |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION, PROJ MGT, TEMP. FACILITIES, ETC. | LS |  |  | \$ |  |
|  |  | 1 |  | \$ 65,000.00 |  | 65,000.00 |
| 2 | PROVIDE TRAFFIC CONTROL | LS |  | \$ 12,000.00 | \$ | \$ 12,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | F\&P EROSION CONTROLMEASURES | LS |  | \$ 4,000.00 | \$ | \$ 4,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | PROVIDE DEMOLITION \& PAVEMENT REMOVAL | SQ FT |  | \$ 1.75 | \$ | \$ 83,401.50 |
|  |  | 47,658 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | F\&P STORM CATCH BASIN | EA |  | \$ 1,500.00 | \$ | \$ 15,000.00 |
|  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P STORM SEWER MANHOLE | EA |  | \$ 2,000.00 | \$ | \$ 10,000.00 |
|  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P STORM SEWER | LF |  | \$ 40.00 | \$ | \$ 84,000.00 |
|  |  | 2,100 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | PROVIDE SUBGRADEPREPARATION | LS |  | 15,000.00 | \$ | \$ 15,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | F\&P 1-1/2" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON |  | \$ 35.00 | \$ | \$ 51,030.78 |
|  |  | 1,458 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | F\&P 3/4" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON |  | \$ 45.00 | \$ | \$ 18,746.00 |
|  |  | 417 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | F\&P 1/2" DENSE ODOT LEVEL 2 MHMAC PAVING | TON |  | \$ 110.00 | \$ | \$ 109,114.39 |
|  |  | 992 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | F\&P CONCRETE CURBS | LF |  | \$ 25.00 | \$ | \$ 90,975.00 |
|  |  | 3,639 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | F\&P CONCRETE WALK | SF |  | \$ 8.00 | \$ | \$ 145,560.00 |
|  |  | 18,195 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | F\&P CONCRETE WALK INSTERSECTION RETURNS | EA |  | \$ 600.00 | \$ | \$ 8,400.00 |
|  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | F\&P CONCRETE WALK DRIVEWAY DROPS | EA | \$ | \$ 400.00 | \$ | \$ 2,000.00 |
|  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | F\&P PAINT STRIPING | LS |  | \$ 7,500.00 | \$ | \$ 7,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | F\&P ALL NECESSARY SIGNAGE | LS | \$ | \$ 5,000.00 | \$ | \$ 5,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CONSTRUCTION QUOTE = |  |  | \$ 726,727.67 |  |
| 1 | ENGINEERING, SURVEYING,MANAGEMENT | LS | 22\% |  |  | \$ 159,880.09 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | CONTINGENCY | LS | 20\% |  | \$ | \$ 145,345.53 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | UOTE = | \$ | 1,031,953.30 |
| Old Highway 97 Sidewalks (Wasco) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate

| 4th St. Shared Use Path |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | DESCRIPTION | $\frac{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{QTY}}$ | UNIT COST |  | TOTAL |  |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION, PROJ MGT, TEMP. FACILITIES, ETC. | LS |  | \$ 8,500.00 | \$ | \$ 8,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | PROVIDE TRAFFIC CONTROL | LS |  | \$ 4,000.00 | \$ | \$ 4,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | F\&P EROSION CONTROLMEASURES | LS |  | \$ 2,500.00 | \$ | \$ 2,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | PROVIDE CLEARING \&GRUBBING | LS |  | \$ 2,000.00 | \$ | \$ 2,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | PROVIDE GRADE PREPARATION | LS |  | \$ 25,000.00 | \$ | \$ 25,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P 1-1/2" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON |  | \$ 40.00 | \$ | \$ 20,990.67 |
|  |  | 525 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | F\&P 3/4" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON |  | \$ 50.00 | \$ | \$ 7,496.67 |
|  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | F\&P 1/2" DENSE ODOT LEVEL 2 MHMAC PAVING | TON |  | \$ 75.00 | \$ | \$ 22,313.69 |
|  |  | 298 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | F\&P PAINT STRIPING | LS |  | \$ 1,000.00 | \$ | \$ 1,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | F\&P ALL NECESSARY SIGNAGE | LS | \$ | 1,000.00 | \$ | \$ 1,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CONSTRUCTION QUOTE = |  |  | \$ | 94,801.03 |
| 1 | ENGINEERING, SURVEYING,MANAGEMENT | LS | 22\% |  | \$ | 20,856.23 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | CONTINGENCY | LS | 20\% |  | \$ | 18,960.21 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4th St. Shared Use Path |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate



## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate



## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate



## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate

| Armsworthy St. Sidewalks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | DESCRIPTION | $\frac{\overline{U / M}}{\overline{Q T Y}}$ | UNIT COST |  | TOTAL |  |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION, PROJ MGT, TEMP. FACILITIES, ETC. | LS |  |  | \$ |  |
|  |  | 1 |  | \$ 30,000.00 |  | 30,000.00 |
| 2 | PROVIDE TRAFFIC CONTROL | LS |  | \$ 6,000.00 | \$ | \$ 6,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | F\&P EROSION CONTROLMEASURES | LS |  | \$ 2,500.00 | \$ | \$ 2,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | PROVIDE DEMOLITION \& PAVEMENT REMOVAL | SQ FT |  | \$ 1.50 | \$ | \$ 2,496.00 |
|  |  | 1,664 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | F\&P STORM CATCH BASIN | EA |  | \$ 1,500.00 | \$ | \$ 6,000.00 |
|  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P STORM SEWER MANHOLE | EA |  | \$ 2,000.00 | \$ | \$ 8,000.00 |
|  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P STORM SEWER | LF |  | \$ 40.00 | \$ | \$ 48,000.00 |
|  |  | 1,200 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | PROVIDE SUBGRADEPREPARATION | LS |  | \$ 10,000.00 | \$ | \$ 10,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | F\&P 1-1/2" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON |  | \$ 35.00 | \$ | \$ 5,167.02 |
|  |  | 148 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | F\&P 3/4" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON |  | \$ 45.00 | \$ | \$ 1,898.09 |
|  |  | 42 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | F\&P 1/2" DENSE ODOT LEVEL 2 MHMAC PAVING | TON |  | \$ 110.00 | \$ | \$ 11,048.17 |
|  |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | F\&P CONCRETE CURBS | LF |  | \$ 25.00 | \$ | \$ 41,225.00 |
|  |  | 1,649 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | F\&P CONCRETE WALK | SF |  | \$ 8.00 | \$ | \$ 94,280.00 |
|  |  | 11,785 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | F\&P CONCRETE WALK INSTERSECTION RETURNS | EA |  | \$ 600.00 | \$ | \$ 4,200.00 |
|  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | F\&P CONCRETE WALK DRIVEWAY DROPS | EA |  | \$ 400.00 | \$ | \$ 1,600.00 |
|  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | F\&P PAINT STRIPING | LS |  | \$ 5,000.00 | \$ | \$ 5,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | F\&P ALL NECESSARY SIGNAGE | LS |  | \$ 2,500.00 | \$ | \$ 2,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CONSTRUCTION QUOTE = |  |  | \$ | 279,914.28 |
| 1 | ENGINEERING, SURVEYING,MANAGEMENT | LS | 22\% |  | \$ | 61,581.14 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | CONTINGENCY | LS | 20\% |  | \$ | \$ 55,982.86 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TOTAL QUOTE = ${ }^{\text {\$ }}$ |  |  | 397,478.28 |
| Armsworthy St. Sidewalks |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate

| Clark Street Sidewalks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | DESCRIPTION | $\frac{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{QTY}}$ |  |  |  | TAL |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION, PROJ MGT, TEMP. FACILITIES, ETC. | LS | \$ |  | \$ |  |
|  |  | 1 |  | \$ 20,000.00 |  | 20,000.00 |
| 2 | PROVIDE TRAFFIC CONTROL | LS | \$ | \$ 3,500.00 | \$ | \$ 3,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | F\&P EROSION CONTROLMEASURES | LS | \$ | \$ 2,000.00 | \$ | 2,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | PROVIDE DEMOLITION \& PAVEMENT REMOVAL | SQ FT | \$ | \$ 1.50 | \$ | \$ 1,800.00 |
|  |  | 1,200 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | F\&P STORM CATCH BASIN | EA | \$ | \$ 1,500.00 | \$ | \$ 6,000.00 |
|  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P STORM SEWER MANHOLE | EA | \$ | \$ 2,000.00 | \$ | \$ 4,000.00 |
|  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P STORM SEWER | LF | \$ | \$ 40.00 | \$ | \$ 24,000.00 |
|  |  | 600 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | PROVIDE SUBGRADEPREPARATION | LS | \$ | \$ 2,500.00 | \$ | 2,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | F\&P 1-1/2" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON | \$ | \$ 35.00 | \$ | \$ 2,949.07 |
|  |  | 84 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | F\&P 3/4" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON | \$ | \$ 45.00 | \$ | 1,083.33 |
|  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | F\&P 1/2" DENSE ODOT LEVEL 2 MHMAC PAVING | TON | \$ | \$ 110.00 | \$ | 6,305.73 |
|  |  | 57 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | F\&P CONCRETE CURBS | LF | \$ | \$ 25.00 | \$ | \$ 29,900.00 |
|  |  | 1,196 |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | F\&P CONCRETE WALK | SF |  | \$ 8.00 | \$ | \$ 47,840.00 |
|  |  | 5,980 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | F\&P CONCRETE WALK INSTERSECTION RETURNS | EA | \$ | 600.00 |  | \$ 4,200.00 |
|  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | F\&P CONCRETE WALK DRIVEWAY DROPS | EA | \$ | 400.00 | \$ | \$ 400.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | F\&P PAINT STRIPING | LS | \$ | 4,000.00 |  | \$ 4,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | F\&P ALL NECESSARY SIGNAGE | LS | \$ | 2,500.00 | \$ | \$ 2,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CONSTRUCTION QUOTE = |  |  | \$ | 162,978.14 |
| 1 | ENGINEERING, SURVEYING,MANAGEMENT | LS | 22\% |  | \$ | 35,855.19 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | CONTINGENCY | LS | 20\% |  | \$ | 32,595.63 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | UOTE = | \$ | 231,428.96 |
| Clark Street Sidewalks |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate



## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate



## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate

| Moro High School South Access (Fork) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | DESCRIPTION | $\frac{\text { U/M }}{\text { QTY }}$ | UNIT COST |  | TAL |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION, PROJ MGT, TEMP. FACILITIES, ETC. | LS 1 | \$ 1,950.00 | \$ | 1,950.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | PROVIDE TRAFFIC CONTROL | LS | \$ 4,000.00 | \$ | 4,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 3 | F\&P EROSION CONTROLMEASURES |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | LS | 500.00 | \$ | 500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 4 | PROVIDE PAINT REMOVAL | LS | 5,000.00 | \$ |  |
|  |  | 1 |  |  | 5,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | F\&P PAINT STRIPING | LS | \$ 5,000.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P ALL NECESSARY SIGNAGE | LS | \$ 5,000.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | CONSTRUCTION QUOTE = |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | 21,450.00 |
| 1 | ENGINEERING, SURVEYING, MANAGEMENT | LS | 22\% | \$ | 4,719.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CONTINGENCY | LS | 20\% | \$ | 4,290.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL QUOTE = $\$ \mathbf{\$} 30,459.00$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moro High School South Access (Fork) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate



## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate

| Existing Clark St. Sidewalks |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | DESCRIPTION | $\frac{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{QTY}}$ | UNIT COST |  | TOTAL |  |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION, PROJ MGT, TEMP. FACILITIES, ETC. | LS | \$ | \$ 12,500.00 | \$ | 12,500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | PROVIDE TRAFFIC CONTROL | LS | \$ | \$ 2,000.00 | \$ | 2,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | F\&P EROSION CONTROLMEASURES | LS | \$ | \$ 1,000.00 | \$ | 1,000.00 |
|  |  | , |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | PROVIDE DEMOLITION \& PAVEMENT REMOVAL | SQ FT | \$ | \$ 1.50 | \$ | 14,235.00 |
|  |  | 9,490 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | PROVIDE SUBGRADEPREPARATION | LS | \$ | \$ 10,000.00 | \$ | 10,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P CONCRETE WALK | SF | \$ | \$ 8.00 | \$ | 100,200.00 |
|  |  | 12,525 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | F\&P CONCRETE WALK INSTERSECTION RETURNS | EA | \$ | \$ 600.00 | \$ | 4,200.00 |
|  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | F\&P PAINT STRIPING | LS | \$ | \$ 2,000.00 | \$ | 2,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | F\&P ALL NECESSARY SIGNAGE | LS | \$ | \$ 500.00 | \$ | 500.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CONSTRUCTION QUOTE = |  |  | \$ | 146,635.00 |
| 1 | ENGINEERING, SURVEYING,MANAGEMENT | LS | 22\% |  | \$ | 32,259.70 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | CONTINGENCY | LS | 20\% |  | \$ | 29,327.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| [ TOTAL QUOTE $=$ \$ \$ 208,221.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Clark St. Sidewalks |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Sherman County Transportation System T.E.C. Engineers Estimate

| US 97 Lighting |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITEM \# | DESCRIPTION | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{M}} \\ & \mathrm{QTY} \end{aligned}$ | UNIT COST |  | TOTAL |  |
| 1 | MOBILIZATION, PROJ MGT, TEMP. FACILITIES, ETC. | LS | \$ | \$ 17,000.00 | \$ | 17,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | PROVIDE TRAFFIC CONTROL | LS |  | \$ 5,000.00 | \$ | 5,000.00 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | PROVIDE DEMOLITION \& PAVEMENT REMOVAL | SQ FT | \$ | \$ 1.50 | \$ | 12,060.00 |
|  |  | 8,040 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | F\&P 1-1/2" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON | \$ | \$ 35.00 | \$ | 7,903.52 |
|  |  | 226 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | F\&P 3/4" MINUS AGGREGATEBASE | TON | \$ | \$ 45.00 | \$ | 2,903.33 |
|  |  | 65 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | F\&P 1/2" DENSE ODOT LEVEL 2 MHMAC PAVING | TON |  | \$ 110.00 | \$ | 22,532.48 |
|  |  | 205 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | F\&P ORNAMENTAL LIGHT POLE | EA | \$ | \$ 5,000.00 | \$ | 120,000.00 |
|  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | CONSTRUCTION QUOTE = |  |  | \$ | 187,399.34 |
| 1 | ENGINEERING, SURVEYING,MANAGEMENT | LS | 22\% |  | \$ | 41,227.85 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | CONTINGENCY | LS | 20\% |  | \$ | 37,479.87 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| [ TOTAL QUOTE $=$ \$ \$ 266,107.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 97 Lighting |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cost estimates for remaining projects were developed using the following unit costs, with 42\% contingency applied.

- New enhanced signs: $\$ 600$ per sign
- Marked crosswalk: \$2,000 per crossing
- Guardrail (based on ODOT bid costs)
- Average cost for guardrail (small project only): \$53 per lin ft
- Average cost estimate for anchors: \$903 ea
- Average cost estimate for non-flare terminals: \$2,550 ea
- New curb: $\$ 25$ per lin ft
- Improving sight distance: \$5,000 per location
- Left-turn lanes on US 97 to side streets:
- Assumptions: taper rate of 55:1 for 65 mph road; 660' of taper; $100^{\prime}$ of storage, $450^{\prime}$ of deceleration.
- $\$ 15$ per sq ft of new pavement
- Including contingency: \$304,920 per left-turn lane
- Right-turn deceleration lane on US 97: $\$ 210,000$ including contingency
- Rural intersection treatments:
- \$360 per new sign
- $\$ 650$ per new oversized sign
- \$1,000 for Stop Ahead legend
- $\$ 7.55$ per sq ft of raised median
- Shoulder widening: $\$ 15$ per sq ft of new pavement
- Rumble strips:
- Centerline rumble strips (including labor): \$3,000 per mile
- Shoulder rumble strips (including labor): \$850 per mile


## Attachment C. Planned TSP Alternatives

Systemic Safety Roadway Departure Projects

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Potential Countermeasures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Roadway | Start MP or Cross Street | End MP or Cross Street | Priority | Cost Estimate | Inlaid Raised Pavement Markers | Widen Shoulder \& Install Safety Edge | Install Centerline and Shoulder Rumble Strips* | Curve Warning Signs | Chevrons at Curves | Guardrail | Passing Lanes^ | Speed Enforcement |
| 95 | US 97 | 0.86 | 6.20 | High | \$18,500 | X |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| 4 | US 97 | 42.43 | 43 | High | \$4,800 | X |  | X | X | X |  | X | X |
| 87 | OR 206 | 3 | 6.1 | Medium | \$12,900 | X |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| 88 | US 97 | 22.5 | 23.9 | Medium | \$8,600 | X |  | X |  |  |  | X** |  |
| 89 | Scott Canyon Road | Rufus City Limits | Herin Lane | Medium | \$9,500 | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| 90 | US 97 | 12 | 13.28 | Medium | \$6,600 | X |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91 | US 97 | 33.33 | 33.58 | Medium | \$4,000 | X |  | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 49 \& \\ 86 \end{gathered}$ | Van Gilder <br> Road | 4 | 5.6 | Medium | \$14,700 | X | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |
| 92 | Scott Canyon Road | Medler Ln | Gerking Canyon Rd | Low | \$6,600 | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| 2 | Herin Lane | Scott Canyon Road | Oehman Road | Low | \$9,200 | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | Lonerock <br> Road | N/A | N/A | High | \$5,300 | X | X | X |  |  | X |  |  |
| 59 | Blagg Lane | N/A | N/A | Low | \$3,500 | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |

*Rumble strips should only be installed in locations where the shoulder width permits it.
$\wedge$ Passing lanes and speed enforcement should involve further study prior to implementation. Cost estimates do not include passing lanes.
**Passing lanes exist from approximately MP 23 to 23.55. The study should evaluate whether this passing lane can be lengthened

|  |  |  |  |  | Potential Countermeasures |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Major Road | Minor Road | Priority | Cost Estimate | Rural Intersection Signing and Marking Improvements | Right-turn deceleration Lane | Lengthen existing right-turn deceleration lane | Install leftturn lane | Lengthen existing left-turn lane | Improve sight distance | Reduce intersection skew |
| 50 | US 97 | Monkland Lane | High | \$309,900 |  |  |  | X |  | X |  |
| 77 | US 97 | Barnum Lane | High | \$309,900 |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| 93 | US 97 | Sawtooth Road | High | \$6,500 | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | US 97 | Finnegan Road | Medium | \$18,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| 42 | US 97 | Stark Lane | Medium | \$5,000 |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |
| 47 | US 97 | Moore Lane | Low | \$25,600 |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | OR 206 | Fairview Road | Medium | \$27,300 | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| 44 | US 97 | Rutledge Lane | Medium | \$25,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| 80 | US 97 | Mud Hollow Road | Medium | \$309,900 |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| 40 | US 97 | Liberty Lane | Medium | \$210,000 |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | US 97 | Bourbon Lane | Medium | \$309,900 |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| 27 | US 97 | Old Highway 97 | Medium | \$309,900 |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| 20 | W $1^{\text {st }}$ Street / BiggsRufus Highway | Industrial Access | High | \$309,900 |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| 43 | US 97 | Dobie Point Road | High | \$514,900 |  | X |  | X |  |  |  |
| 28 | US 97 | Clark Street | Low | \$25,600 |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | US 97 | Wilcox Lane | Medium | \$309,900 |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| 51 | Monkland Lane | Hay Canyon Road | Medium | \$3,200 | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Planned Transportation Improvements in Sherman County (including unincorporated areas of Biggs and Kent)

|  | Name | Description | Category | Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { Estimate }{ }^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Potential Funding Source |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID |  |  |  |  |  | ODOT/ State | County | Cities | Private |
| Short-Term Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Roadway Design Guidelines | Update roadway design guidelines for each community. | Modernization | Policy | \$0 |  | X | X |  |
| 72 | Traffic Speeds on US 97 | Improve education and enforcement related to traffic speeds in the County through programs and additional signage or campaigns. Evaluate the feasibility of using ITS treatments to reduce speed in Cities throughout the County. | Safety | Program/ <br> Study | \$20,000 | X | X | X |  |
| 73 | Truck Volumes and Speeds on US 97 in Cities | Install speed reduction treatments on US 97 to reinforce posted speeds in cities. Speed reduction treatments may consider automated speed enforcement, speed feedback signs, roadway modifications to visually indicate to drivers that they are entering urban area. | Safety | Project | \$56,800 | X | X | X |  |
| 74 | Passing Opportunities on US 97 | Conduct study to determine locations where passing lanes are needed. Supplement with previous work ODOT has completed. | Safety | Study | \$10,000 | X | X |  |  |
| 5 | Weather-related crashes | Conduct study to determine feasibility and cost of implementing treatments for weather related crashes, including: ITS treatments, different pavement materials, warning signs, etc. | Safety | Study | \$10,000 | X |  |  |  |
| 16 | OR 206/Fulton Canyon Road \& Biggs-Rufus Highway Upgrade | Upgrade OR 206/Fulton Canyon Road from a major collector to a minor arterial from the intersection of US 97 to the intersection with Biggs-Rufus Highway. Route serves as a popular alternative to US 97 for local residents. Study the feasibility of improving the roads to arterial standards. | Modernization |  <br> Study | \$10,000 | X | X |  |  |
| 17 | Scott Canyon Road Upgrade | Upgrade Scott Canyon Road from a major collector to a minor arterial from OR 206 in Wasco to Biggs-Rufus Highway in Rufus. Route serves as a popular alternative to US 97 for local residents. Study the feasibility of improving the road to arterial standards. | Modernization |  <br> Study | \$0 |  | X |  |  |
| 75 | OR 216 Upgrade | Upgrade OR 216 from a major collector to a minor arterial from US 97 in Grass Valley to Deschutes River. This route is a popular route for river access along the Deschutes and for residents traveling to the east. Study the feasibility of improving the road to arterial standards. | Modernization |  <br> Study | \$10,000 | X |  |  |  |
| 76 | Van Gilder Road Upgrade | Upgrade Van Gilder Road from a major collector to a minor arterial from US 97 in Moro to the intersection with OR 206. Route serves as a popular alternative to US 97 for local residents. Study the feasibility of improving the road to arterial standards. | Modernization | Policy \& Study | \$10,000 |  | X |  |  |
| Medium and Long-Term Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | US 97 Bridge over Columbia River at Biggs Junction | Improve or replace bridge to meet current design standards. (Note: Future improvement or maintenance of this bridge falls under the Washington Department of Transportation's responsibility) | Bridge | Project | N/A | X |  |  |  |
| 18 | Intermodal freight connections at Biggs Junction | Evaluate opportunities for improved freight connections between trucks, rail, and river cargo. | Intermodal | Study | \$20,000 | X | X |  | X |
| 14 | Finnegan Road Bridge over Finnegan Creek | Study feasibility of improving or replacing bridge to meet current design standards. | Bridge | Project | \$20,000 |  | X |  |  |
| 26 | Maddie's Hump | Upgrade to major collector. Study feasibility of widening shoulders. | Modernization |  <br> Study | \$10,000 | X | X |  |  |
| 46 | US 97 / Erskine Road | Widen the throat of Erskine Road. | Modernization | Project | \$56,900 | X | X |  |  |
| 30 | Eastern Alternate Access to | Pave Blagg Lane from Oregon Raceway to Lonerock Road. Consider upgrading the functional classification. | Roadway | Project | \$2,559,600 |  | X |  | X |


|  |  | Description | Category | Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { Estimate }{ }^{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Potential Funding Source |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Name |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ODOT/ } \\ & \text { State } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | County | Cities | Private |
|  | Raceway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Northern Alternate Access to Raceway | Construct a secondary access from the Oregon Raceway to Barnum Lane. | Safety | Project | \$484,100 |  | X |  | X |
| 12 | Mud Hollow Road Bridge over Spanish Hollow Creek | Improve or replace bridge to meet current design standards. | Bridge | Project | \$100,000 |  | X |  |  |
| 55 | Wildlife Crossings | Conduct a study to determine where wildlife crossings are needed on the major state highways. Estimate the cost of installing the crossings. | Safety | Study | \$10,000 | X |  |  |  |


| ID | City | Name | Description |  |  |  | Potential Funding Source |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Category | Type | Estimate ${ }^{1}$ | ODOT/ State | County | Cities | Private |
| Short-Term Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Rufus | 1st $\quad$ Street/Biggs-Rufus Highway Bridge (west of Sullivan Ln) | Evaluate structural integrity of the existing bridge and establish cost estimates for required improvements to support structural integrity and serve existing traffic use. | Bridge | Study | \$20,000 | X | X |  |  |
| 24 | Rufus | 1st Street/Biggs-Rufus  <br> Highway Bridge (east of  <br> Fowler St)   | Evaluate structure integrity of the existing bridge and establish cost estimates for required improvements. | Bridge | Study | \$20,000 | X | X |  |  |
| 19 | Rufus | Murray Street | Install traffic calming measures on Murray Street to reinforce posted speed and deter cut-through traffic. | Modernization | Project | \$10,000 |  |  | X |  |
| 21 | Rufus | 2nd Street/Wallace Street | Connect 2 nd Street to 1st Street 300 ' west of Wallace Street. Vacate 2nd Street from new connection to Wallace Street. Consider extending 3rd Street to 2nd Street/1st Street. | Safety | Project | \$95,800 |  |  | X |  |
| 68 | Rufus | Intersection of 2nd Street/Biggs Rufus Highway | Vacate 2nd Street from Murray Street to 1st Street. | Safety | Project | \$22,300 | X |  | X |  |
| 56 | Wasco | Wasco Wayfinding Signage | Provide better signage to direct vehicles to highways, Rufus, and Cottonwood Canyon State Park. | Modernization | Project | \$6,800 |  |  | X |  |
| 66 | Moro | High School Access | Restripe southern access points to restrict minor street left-turns to northern part of fork and make southern entrance one-way incoming northbound only. Add southbound left-turn lane at northern intersection on US 97 . Relocated speed limit signs to reduce speed limit further in advance of intersection. Consider speed feedback signs to reduce speeds in advance of intersections. | Safety | Project | \$204,700 | X | X | X |  |
| Medium and Long-Term Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Rufus | Biggs Rufus Highway (1st Street) lacks defined onstreet parking. | Define access management along the highway and define on-street parking spaces. | Modernization | Project | \$28,400 | X |  | X |  |
| 25 | Rufus | 2nd Street Bridge (east of Fowler St) | Close bridge to traffic when 2nd Street is closed to traffic as part of Project \#68. | Bridge | Project | \$0 |  |  | X |  |
| 69 | Rufus | Fowler Street Parking | Vacate Fowler Street from 1st Street to 2nd Street and convert to a parking lot with access to 2nd Street only. | Modernization | Project | \$27,300 |  |  | X |  |
| 71 | Rufus | Rufus Parking Analysis | Conduct a parking options study and analysis for the business and residential block. | Modernization | Study | \$10,000 |  |  | X |  |
| 45 | Grass <br> Valley | North Street/US 97 | Reconstruct North Street approach to US 97 to provide larger turn radius, and add a left-turn lane from US 97 to North Street. | Modernization | Project | \$91,000 | X |  | X |  |

${ }^{1}$ Cost estimate is planning level only. Does not include right-of-way costs.

Table 5-1. Planned Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements in Sherman County

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Potential Funding Source |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Location | Name | Description | Category | Cost Estimate ${ }^{1}$ | ODOT/ <br> State | County | Cities | Private |
| Short-Term Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Rufus | 1st Street Sidewalks (Rufus) | Install sidewalks and pedestrian scale lighting along both sides of 1st Street from Sullivan Ln to Wallace Street | Pedestrian | \$300,600 | X |  | X |  |
| 70 | Rufus | Pedestrian Crossings of Biggs-Rufus Highway | Stripe crossing of 1st Street at Main Street. | Pedestrian | \$2,800 | X |  | X |  |
| Medium- \& Long-Term Projects |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | County | Bicyclist Routes | Promote the bike routes that are currently popular routes and identify opportunities to route cyclists off of US 97 when possible. Provide signage to encourage cyclists to use alternate routes from the highway and provide warnings signs on these routes to inform drivers of the bicycle routes. | Bike | \$17,000 | X | X |  |  |
| 57 | County | Van Gilder Road | Provide directional signage for cyclists; warning signs for motorists to share the road. | Bike | \$5,100 |  | X |  | X |
| 39 | County | Ped/Bike Connections along Lonerock Road, east of City Limits of Moro | Install a shared-use path along Lonerock Road from East City Limits to Fairgrounds. | Path | \$270,300 |  | X |  |  |
| 34 | Rufus | Bikes on Main Street (Rufus) | Widen to accommodate a bicycle lane. | Bike | \$164,100 | X |  | X |  |
| 65 | Rufus | Main Street Sidewalks | Install sidewalks on Main Street from Vista Drive to 1st Street. | Pedestrian | \$500,600 |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | Rufus | Rufus Ped/Bike Access Under Freeway and Railroad | Conduct environmental impact study to determine whether Gerking Gulch is a feasible undercrossing of I-84 and railroad for ped/bike users between 1st Street and the Columbia River. | Path | \$20,000 | X |  | X |  |
| 33 | Rufus | 2nd Street Sidewalks (Rufus) | Install sidewalks along the south side of 2nd Street from Main Street to Community Center | Pedestrian | \$368,100 |  |  | X |  |
| 35 | Wasco | Old Highway 97 Sidewalks | Install sidewalks on both sides of Old Highway 97 from Clark Street to 6th Street and along the east side of the road from 6th Street to Asher Street. | Pedestrian | \$1,032,000 | X | X |  |  |
| 61 | Wasco | OR 206 Sidewalks (Clark Street to Scott Street) | Install sidewalks on OR 206 from Clark Street east to Scott Street. | Pedestrian | \$723,400 | X |  | X |  |
| 62 | Wasco | Armsworthy Street Sidewalks | Install sidewalks on Armsworthy Street from Church Street to Scott Street. | Pedestrian | \$397,500 | X |  | X |  |
| 63 | Wasco | Clark Street Sidewalks | Install sidewalks on Clark Street from Old Highway 97 to Yates Street. | Pedestrian | \$231,400 | X |  | X |  |
| 64 | Wasco | OR 206 Sidewalks (Biggs Street to Church Street) | Install sidewalks on OR 206 from Biggs Street to Church Street. | Pedestrian | \$152,800 | X |  | x |  |
| 79 | Wasco | Existing Clark Street Sidewalks | Upgrade existing sidewalks along Clark Street from Columbia to Ellis, and add sidewalks on the east side. | Pedestrian | \$208,200 | X |  | X |  |
| 9 | Moro | Lonerock Road Sidewalks | Construct sidewalks on the north side of the road. | Pedestrian | \$172,300 |  | X | X |  |
| 38 | Moro | Ped/Bike Connections along 4th | Install a shared-used path along 4th Street/Van Gilder Road from | Path | \$134,600 |  | X | X | X |


|  |  |  | Description | Category | Cost Estimate ${ }^{1}$ | Potential Funding Source |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Location | Name |  |  |  | ODOT/ <br> State | County | Cities | Private |
|  |  | Street to Azure Lane in Moro | Hood Street to Azure Lane. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Moro | Sidewalks to High School | Install sidewalks or a shared-use path between the High School and the existing sidewalks on Main Street. | Pedestrian | \$184,300 | X | X | X |  |
| 84 | Grass Valley | US 97 Pedestrian Scale Lighting | Install pedestrian scale lighting along the sidewalks on US 97 in Grass Valley. | Pedestrian | \$266,100 | X |  | X |  |

${ }^{1}$ Cost estimate is planning level only. Does not include right-of-way costs.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Oregon Transportation Commission reviewed and adopted changes to Policy 1F in December 2011.
    ${ }^{2}$ Any OHP Amendments are contingent on Oregon Transportation Commission (OTC) approval.

[^1]:    Source: Oregon Highway Plan, Appendix C Revisions to Address Senate Bill 264 (2011) Table 12

[^2]:    $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}=$ volume-to-capacity

[^3]:    Source: http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/planning/processes/statewide/related/highway functional classifications/section03.cfm\#Toc336872980

