Meeting Minutes

OR 66 Green Springs Highway Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP)

Project Team Meeting #4 May 14, 2012 at 1:00 p.m.

Attendees:

Oregon Department of Transportation Ana Jovanavic, Peter Schuytema, Butch Hansen, David

Warrick, Joel McCarrol (via phone) Dennis Nelson, Stan Strickland

Klamath County Dennis Nelson, Stan Strickland
Kittelson & Associates Hermanus Steyn (via phone), Susan Wright,

Matt Kittelson

Harrison Engineering Ed Harrison
Reames Golf & Country Club Laine Wortman
ODF Klamath Falls Bryan Koehn
Columbia Plywood Glen Keown
Clough Oil Jill Clough

Purpose:

This meeting presented the following:

- Future Conditions Analysis Results
- Concept Development Background
- Concept Discussion

Agenda

1. Introductions

Attendees were introduced. Two attendees participated via the phone.

- 2. Review of land use approach
 - a. Future land use scenario based on the Klamath Falls Urban Area Travel Demand Model
 - i. ~1,000 households added in study area through horizon year
 - ii. ~440 jobs added in study area through horizon year

3. Overview of future conditions analysis

- a. The travel demand model indicates that future demand from US 97 southbound to OR 140 northbound/westbound will increase significantly during the weekday p.m. peak hour.
 - i. The opposite demand is assumed to occur during the weekday a.m. peak hour.
 - ii. The demand is likely the result of large household increases assumed within the Running Y Ranch to the northwest.
 - iii. This pattern is consistent with typical commuter patterns.
- b. As a result of these travel patterns, the southbound off-ramp terminal and the OR 66/OR 140 intersection are forecasted to exceed mobility thresholds in the future.

4. Concept Development

- a. Concepts were developed based on input receiving to-date, mainly from the Visioning Workshops held in December 2011.
- b. The interchange area was divided into 3 areas to simplify the development of alternatives.
 - i. Interchange form
 - ii. West of interchange
 - iii. East of interchange
- c. Concepts developed focus on major roadway facilities. Impacts to Balsalm Drive, Delap Pit Road, and Greensprings Drive are not specifically addressed.
- d. Intersection control is not addressed. Specific intersection improvements (signalized intersection versus roundabout) would impact concepts details.
 - i. A series of roundabouts along a corridor would allow accesses between them to be converted to right-in/right-out movements and accommodating U-turns at the roundabouts.

5. Initial Concepts

- a. Interchange concepts
 - i. Concept I-1: Improve existing interchange

- 1. Would focus on improvements to the existing southbound offramp and northbound loop on-ramp.
- 2. This interchange form is well suited to serve expected demand patterns.

ii. Concept I-2: Convert to full diamond interchange

- 1. The removal of the existing northbound loop on-ramp would not serve future demand patterns well.
- 2. The PT suggested modifying this concept to retain the loop ramp while adding the westbound to northbound on-ramp in the northeast quadrant of the interchange..

iii. Concept I-3: Construct to partial cloverleaf interchange

- 1. This concept is not well suited to serve future demand, because it converts the southbound rights to northbound lefts that would impact traffic operations at the southbound ramp terminal.
- 2. The PT recommended not pursuing this concept further.

iv. Concept I-4: Construct single point urban interchange (SPUI)

- 1. This impact would require significant reconstruction of the interchange and the existing undercrossing.
- 2. The significant capacity increase provided by this concept is not needed are this location.
- 3. The PT recommended not pursuing this concept further.

v. Concept I-5: Construct diverging diamond interchange (DDI)

- 1. ODOT is currently considering this type of interchange in Phoenix, Oregon.
- 2. This interchange type requires vehicles to cross over (i.e., travel on the left side of the road) within the ramp terminals. This allows for extremely efficient operations.
- 3. The PT would like to explore this option further.

vi. Concept I-6: Construct full cloverleaf interchange

1. This interchange would have significant impacts to all quadrants on the interchange.

- 2. Construction viability is questionable and most likely quite expensive.
- 3. The PT recommended not pursuing this concept further.

b. West side concepts

- i. Concept W-1: Realign OR 140 to the west.
 - 1. This concept would improve safety and operations by meeting applicable intersection spacing standards.
 - 2. OR 66 would be retained as the through movement.
 - 3. The PT would like to explore this option further.
- ii. Concept W-2: Realign OR 140 to be the through movement.
 - 1. Would result difficulties serving OR 66 bound traffic (additional left-turn lanes likely required).
 - 2. Long-term benefits are not as profound as initially understood.
 - 3. PT recommends not pursuing this option further.
- iii. Concept W-3: Realign OR 140 to the west. Disconnect Balsam Drive and Delap Pit Road.
 - 1. Same as Concept W-1, but disconnects Balsam and Delap Pit Road from the highway.
 - 2. The County voiced concerns over the viability of the potential connection of Delap Pit Road to the north. Significant geometric and topographical issues would likely result.
 - 3. ODF also indicated their strong desire to have Delap Pit Road open for emergency response purposes.
 - 4. Future connections will be addressed by the alternatives analysis.

c. East side concepts

- i. Concept E-1: Provide frontage road that connects to existing Greensprings Drive and Memorial Drive alignments.
 - 1. Would create a new intersection that would meet applicable access spacing standards.

- 2. This concept should consider the longitudinal grade along OR 140 that exists in the vicinity of the proposed intersection.
- 3. Existing Greensprings Drive and Memorial Drive accesses would be closed.
- 4. The PT recommended this concept be evaluated further.
- ii. Concept E-2: Realign Greensprings Drive and Memorial Drive to align with north-south property lines.
 - 1. Would result in an intersection with OR 140 in a difficult location related to the longitudinal grade along OR 140.
 - 2. The resulting intersection along OR 140 would not meet applicable access spacing standards.
 - 3. This concept would provide indirect access for the existing businesses along Greensprings Drive.
 - 4. The PT recommended this concept not be evaluated further.
- iii. Concept E-3: Improve the Memorial Drive intersection
 - 1. This concept would close Greensprings Drive and provide improvements to the existing Memorial Drive intersection, including providing a connection to the north, which currently does not exist.
 - 2. This concept would likely require widening the existing bridge along OR 140 to the east.
 - 3. The PT recommended this concept not be evaluated further.
- iv. Concept E-4: Construct a jughandle interchange at Memorial Drive.
 - 1. Would be consistent with expressway designation for this section of OR 140.
 - 2. Likely an expensive improvement.
 - 3. The PT suggested this improvement be explored in conjunction with a frontage road along OR 140 to provide better access for Greensprings Drive businesses.
 - 4. The PT recommended exploring this option further.
- d. Other considerations discussed.

i. Reames Golf Club Access

- 1. The existing Reames Golf Club access on US 97 is located too close to the US 97/OR 140 interchange on- and off-ramps.
- 2. Possible options discussed include:
 - a. Option #1: Access via Memorial Drive to the east
 - i. Would require reconstruction of part of the golf course.
 - b. Option #2: A shared access with Columbia Plywood to the south
 - i. Would require a frontage road along US 97.
 - c. Option #3: Access via OR 140.
 - i. Would require a long road around the property to access Memorial Drive to the east.
- 3. These options will be explored.

Future Meetings:

Next Project Team Meeting (PT #5): July 19, 2012

This meeting will cover Technical Memorandum #5 – Alternatives Analysis

Next Public Open House:

July 19 2012