TSP Policies - Document G: Urban Land Use and Transportation

INTRODUCTION

This document provides an overview of current policies regarding urban roads in the Clackamas County Comprehensive Plan and staff recommendations for revising those policies and creating new policies. The staff recommendations are based on review of the existing County Comprehensive Plan – Chapter 5, State Transportation Planning Rule (TPR), Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), and TSP Vision, Goals and Objectives.

Key Questions

- 1. Should the County adopt a broad policy on integrating land use and transportation? (232, 233 and 234)
- 2. Should the County require new development to provide both short- and long-term secure bicycle parking? (255)
- 3. Should the County undertake a study of the Clackamas Regional Center / Fuller Road Station Area to determine if these areas should be designated as a multimodal mixed-use area (MMA)? (258 and 261)
- 4. Should the County convert its Transportation System Development Charge (SDC) methodology from vehicle trips to person trips so that larger variety of capital project can be included in the SDC system? (260)
- 5. Should the County convert the revised Table s2 and 5 into the access standards Table 5a and 5b which would be included in the Comprehensive Plan? (236 and Page 11)
- 6. Should the County convert the revised Table 3 into a set of typical cross section drawings that are included in the Comprehensive Plan?
- 7. Should the County update Operational Performance Standards to match the standards set by the Oregon Transportation Plan and the Regional Transportation Plan? (Table XX Page 28)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Policies	2
Integration of Urban Land Use and Transportation	2
Intergovernmental Partnerships and Coordination	2
Road Access Standards	3
Parking	6
Safety – road condition	8
Other Land Use Topics	8
Access Tables V-5a and V-5b	11

Roadway Classifications and Typical Cross Sections	15
Table V-3 Roadway Classifications and Guidelines	16
Table V-4 Regional Street and Throughway System Design	า
Types – Inside Metro	20
Typical Road Cross Sections – Examples	23
Table XX – Operational Standards	28

General Policies - Urban Roads and Travel

ID #	Current Location in Comp Plan	Current Policy	Staff Recommendations Changes in Red		Working Group Issues
		Integration of Urban Land Use and Transportation	The policies below are recommended to be added to provide broad policy support for integrating urban land use and transportation.		
232		New	Support and promote an integrated approach to land use and transportation planning in urban areas.	0	
233		New	Support transportation planning and implementation so that supports livable and sustainable urban communities.	0	
234		New	Prioritize transportation investments that support complete and sustainable urban communities as a long-term strategy to end reliance on long commutes out of the County to employment destinations such as the Clackamas Industrial Area or the Clackamas Regional Center.	0	
		Intergovernmental Partnerships and Coordination			
235		New	Support intergovernmental partnerships needed to promote coordination and solve multi-jurisdictional transportation needs in urban areas (e.g. Sunrise Corridor).	0	
ID #	Current Location in Comp Plan	Current Policy	Staff Recommendations Changes in Red		Working Group Issues
		Road Access Standards			

236	Access	Plan and control access onto roads within the County,	Plan and control access onto roads within the County, as	R
	Standards	as shown on Table V-5, for urban areas and according	shown on Table V-5a and V-5b for both new and existing	
		to the American Association of State Highway and	uses, and coordinate with the Oregon Department of	
	14.0	Transportation Officials (AASHTO) guidelines for rural	Transportation for access control on state highways.	
		areas, for both new and existing uses, and coordinate	Where access management standards are adopted by the	
		with the Oregon Department of Transportation for	County in Special Transportation Plans, those standards	
		access control on state highways. Access standards	shall apply.	
		need to be applied in a flexible manner that maintains		
		reasonable access to property when access cannot be	See Table V-5a and V-5b Page 11	
		denied. Where access management standards are		
		adopted by the County in Special Transportation Plans,	Note: Special Transportation Plans currently is only the	
		those standards shall apply	172 nd – 190 th Corridor Management Plan but it could	
			include other plan in the future	
237	Access	Support the implementation of state access	No Change	R
	Standards	management standards (OAR Chapter 734, Division 51,		Μ
		as amended, and the Oregon Highway Plan) on state		
	15.0	highway facilities within the Interchange Management		
		Areas.		
238	Access	Improve highway operations and safety by supporting	No Change	R
	Standards	construction of public roads that provide reasonable		
		alternative access within Interchange Management		
	16.0	Areas. When reasonable access is provided, support		
		the elimination of direct access to state highway		
		facilities.		
	Current		Staff Recommendations	Working
ID #	Location in	Current Policy	Chanaes in Red	Group
	Comp Plan			issues
		Road Access Standards		
239		New	Access Standard in Tables V-5a and V-5b will be	R
			implemented through the Zoning and Development	
		Or "Therefore, the County Road Standards will include	Ordinance and the County Road Standards.	
		a process to determine where (or whether?)	1. These implementing documents may provide a	
		engineering design exceptions are necessary to	greater level of guidance for the purpose of	
		successfully design and implement the construction of	designing transportation facilities and access to the	

		the County's trans. system	county transportation system but they shall broadly conform to the standards set out in Tables V-5a and V-5B	
			2. It is not the intent of this section to limit the flexibility needed in the engineering design process necessary to produce a safe and efficient transportation system. Therefore, the County Roadway Standards will include a process for granting engineering design exceptions that are necessary to successfully design and implement the construction of the County's transportation system.	
240		Part of Existing Policy 14	Access standards need to be applied in a flexible manner that maintains reasonable access to property when access cannot be denied.	R
ID #	Current Location in Comp Plan	Current Policy	Staff Recommendations Changes in Red	Working Group Issues
ID #	Current Location in Comp Plan	Current Policy Road Access Standards	Staff Recommendations Changes in Red	Working Group Issues
ID # 241	Current Location in Comp Plan	Current Policy <i>Road Access Standards</i> New – may be more appropriate for the ZDO	Staff Recommendations Changes in Red	Working Group Issues R

			safety or mobility of the subject roadway. If access is allowed to arterials, access restrictions along arterials shall be considered in favor of full access intersections	
243		New – may be more appropriate for the ZDO	With development, requested access may be denied and/or reduced from existing conditions if adequate safety, spacing, classification and mobility requirements cannot be met or if there is a reasonable alternate such as a shared access or access to an equal or lower classification street is available	R
ID #	Current Location in Comp Plan	Current Policy	Staff Recommendations Changes in Red	Working Group Issues
		Road Access Standards		
244		New – may be more appropriate for the ZDO	Spacing shall be measured from the proposed driveway/roadway centerline to the centerline of an existing or planned driveway/roadway centerline.	R
245		New – may be more appropriate for the ZDO	Along properties with multiple roadway frontages, access shall generally be provided only from the street with a lower functional classification and/or the road with the road with the lower traffic volume except where safety dictates an alternative access scenario	R
246		New – may be more appropriate for the ZDO	Site designs in which the design vehicle is required to back onto or from an arterial or collector are prohibited.	R
		Parking		
247	Parking 1.0	Set minimum and maximum limits on allowed off- street parking relative to building size, location and use, and adjacent land uses.	Set minimum and maximum limits on allowed off-street parking relative to building size, location and use, and adjacent land uses, and in coordination with regional requirements	R
248	Parking 2.0	Encourage off-street parking in commercial, industrial, and high density residential areas to be at the sides or rear of buildings where practical, with buildings oriented to the street in a manner that is convenient	Require Encourage off-street parking in commercial, industrial, and high-density residential areas to be at the sides or rear of buildings where practical, with buildings oriented to the street in a manner that is convenient to	R

		to pedestrians and aesthetically pleasing to passers-by, but does not interfere with sight distance on the roadway, or preclude road widening.	pedestrians and aesthetically pleasing to passers-by, but does not interfere with sight distance on the roadway, or preclude road widening.	
ID #	Current Location in Comp Plan	Current Policy	Staff Recommendations Changes in Red	Working Group Issues
		Parking		
249	Parking 3.0	Existing curbside parking along arterials and collectors may be removed to allow the striping of bike lanes, construction of travel or turning lane improvements or for increasing sight distance. Where parking standards are adopted by the County in Special Transportation Plans, those standards shall apply.	No Change	0
250	Parking 4.0	Allow developments along transit routes to decrease their parking area requirements if they provide pedestrian and transit amenities	No Change	R
251	Parking 5.0	Allow commercial and industrial developments to decrease their parking area requirements if they provide and maintain ridesharing programs.	Allow commercial and industrial developments to decrease their parking area requirements if they provide and maintain ridesharing programs or other Transportation Demand Management strategies.	R P
252	Parking 6.0	Allow shared parking where feasible, such as within mixed use development and where adjacent land uses are compatible. Such sharing of parking can be used to help satisfy compliance with parking standards.	Require Allow shared parking where feasible, such as within mixed use development and where adjacent land uses are compatible. Such sharing of parking can be used to help satisfy compliance with parking standards.	R
253	Parking 7.0	Increase on-street parking in residential areas by minimizing the width of driveway curb cuts.	No Change	R
254	Parking 8.0	On-street parking may be prohibited in front of schools as needed to assure student safety and school security, and shall be reviewed on a school by school basis.	No Change	R
ID #	Current Location in Comp Plan	Current Policy	Staff Recommendations Changes in Red	Working Group Issues

		Parking		
255		New	Require new development to provide both short- and	R
			long-term secure bicycle parking, as appropriate, and	
			initiate a program for adding bicycle parking in areas	
			frequented by bicyclists.	
		Safety – road condition		
		Other Land Use Topics?		
256	Improvements	Encourage a relationship between land use and	No Change	0
	to Serve	roadways which decreases average trip length.		
	24.0			
257	Improvements	Require that changes to the Comprehensive Plan land	Require that changes to the Comprehensive Plan land use	R
	to Serve	use designations within the Interchange Management	designations within the Interchange Management Areas	М
	Development	Areas identified on Map V-12 must be consistent with	identified on Map V-12 -must be consistent with Oregon	
	29.0	Oregon Administrative Rules 660-012-0060. If the land	Administrative Rules 660-012-0060. If the land uses	
		uses allowed by the new Comprehensive Plan land use	allowed by the new Comprehensive Plan land use	
		designation would cause the interchange mobility	designation would cause the interchange mobility	
		standards to be exceeded, the change either shall be	standards to be exceeded, the change either shall be	
		denied, or improvements shall be made such that the	denied, or improvements shall be made such that the	
		mobility standards are met.	mobility standards are met.	
258	New	New	Study and analyze the greater Clackamas Regional Center	R
			/ Fuller Road Station Area to determine if this area should	
			be designated as a multimodal mixed-use area (MMA) as	
			provided in the Transportation Planning Rule – (OAR 660-	
			012-0060 - Plan and Land Use Regulation Amendments)	
	Current		Staff Pacammandations	Working
ID #	Location in	Current Policy	Changes in Red	Group
	Comp Plan		Chunges in Rea	Issues
		Other Land Use Topics?		
259		New	Transportation System Development Charges update The	R
			Transportation SDC project list to reflect the projects	
			identified in the TSP updates.	
260		New	Convert the Transportation System Development Charges	R
			methodology from vehicle trips to person trips to allow	

			pedestrian, transit, and bicycle projects to be funded using the TSDC.	
261		New	Study alternative mobility standard and development review framework within the Clackamas Regional Center and/or Clackamas Industrial Area	0
ID #	Current Location in Comp Plan	Current Policy	Staff Recommendations Changes in Red	Working Group Issues
		Other Land Use Topics?		
262		New	 The County supports Transit-Oriented Development and the creation of transit-supportive communities by optimizing the use of land around high quality transit to help achieve the following social, environmental and economic objectives: a) Support publicly-funded transit investments and enhance transit ridership; b) Create greater mobility choice through improved travel options (such as walking, bicycling, and taking transit.); c) Decrease auto use and lessen the negative impacts of the automobile such as: contributing to traffic congestion and air pollution, high household spending on transportation, consumption of fossil fuels, and parking needs; d) Create interesting and active places to live, work and play; e) Improve the design quality of the built environment; f) Increase housing options suited to a mix of generations and incomes; g) Support healthier lifestyles by encouraging increased walking and bicycling; h) Foster economic development, an enhanced tax base and the potential for revenue from public-sector real estate assets, and i) Increase the predictability and consistency of the development process. 	R

Table V-5a and 5b - Access Standards – replaces V-2 and V-5

Table V-5a (Revised)

Access Standards by Functional Classification,	Functional Classification				
Urban Areas Only	Major Arterial	Minor Arterial	Collector	Connector	Local
Street to Street Intersections					
Street Access Allowed to Arterials	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Street Access Allowed to Collectors			Yes	Yes	Yes
Street Access Allowed to Connectors				Yes	Yes
Street Access Allowed to Local					Yes
Minimum Intersection Distance from an Existing or Planned	400'	300'	150'	100'	100'
Roadway Intersection, Signal or Roundabout*					
Minimum Distance Between Signals or Roundabouts	1000'	1000'	1000'		
Preferred Spacing Between Roadways/Driveways			530'	530'	530'
Minimum Pedestrian/Bicycle Connection Spacing if Preferred Spacing Not Met	330'	330'	330'	330'	330'

Access Standards by Functional Classification,					
Urban Areas Only					
Street to Driveway Intersections					
Minimum Full Access Driveway Spacing*	400'	300'	150'	25'**	25'**
Minimum Restricted Access Driveway Spacing	400'	200'	100'		
Single Family Residential Driveway Access Allowed	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Maximum Spacing Between Roadways/Driveways			530'	530'	530'
Preferred Pedestrian/Bicycle Connection Spacing if Maximum Spacing Not Met	330'	330'	330'	330'	330'
Minimum Development Generated Average Daily Traffic Threshold for Secondary Access	2500	2000	1000		

Notes:

Modifications to these requirements and guidelines may be processed via Section 170 of the Clackamas County Roadway Standards-- this may not be needed per new policy

No portion of a driveway allowed within 2' of a property line. - ZDO Provisions?

*Access should not be allowed within 95th percentile queue of signalized or roundabout intersection - ZDO Provisions?

**Measured from right-of-way lines at an intersection - ZDO Provisions?

N/A = Not applicable

Table V-5b

Access Standards by Functional Classification,	Functional Classification				
Rural Areas Only	Major Arterial	Minor Arterial	Collector	Connector	Local
Street to Street Intersections					
Street Access Allowed to Arterials	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Street Access Allowed to Collectors			Yes	Yes	Yes
Street Access Allowed to Connectors				Yes	Yes
Street Access Allowed to Local					Yes
Non Signalized Intersection Minimum Intersection Distance from an Existing or Planned Roadway Intersection, Signal or Roundabout *	1000'	500'	250'	100'	100'
Minimum Distance Between Signals or Roundabouts	2000'	2000'	2000'		

Access Standards by Functional Classification,									
Rural Areas Only									
Street to Driveway Intersections									
Minimum Full Access Driveway Spacing ADT Over 5000*	600'	600'	600'						
Minimum Full Access Driveway Spacing ADT Over 2500*	500'	500'	500'						
Minimum Full Access Driveway Spacing ADT Over 1000*	400'	400'	400'						
Minimum Full Access Driveway Spacing ADT 400 ≥ 1000	200'	200'	200'	200'	200'				
Minimum Full Access Driveway Spacing ADT ≤ 400	100'	100'							
Single Family Residential Driveway Access Allowed if Alternatives Feasible	No	No	No	Yes	Yes				

Notes:

Modifications to these requirements and guidelines may be processed via Section 170 of the Clackamas County Roadway Standards -- this may not be needed per new policy

N/A = Not applicable

No portion of a driveway allowed within 2' of a property line. ZDO Provisions?

*Access should not be allowed within 95th percentile queue of signalized or roundabout intersection - ZDO Provisions ?

**Measured from right-of-way lines at an intersection - ZDO Provisions ?

Table V-3 Roadway Classifications and Typical Cross Sections-

Table V-3 will be replaced with Typical Cross Section Drawings that use the dimension included in Table V-3 but look similar to the Washington County Cross Sections Page 17 which are attached as an example. Typical Street Cross Sections are expected to be eliminated from the County Road Standards.

The following provisions apply to Roadway Classifications and Typical Cross Sections:

- Storm water treatments for County roads will include conventional storm water systems, ditches, drainage swales and other non structural storm water facilities. Median lanes and landscape strips may be used in part or in whole as bio-swales. Details on storm water facilities will be included in the County Road Standards.
- Rights-of-way for all arterials and collectors shall be adequate to accommodate all required road improvements including bikeways, shoulders, landscaping, street-lighting, on street parking, drainage facilities and sidewalks as appropriate for rural and urban areas.

Additional right-of-way may be required for slope, sign, and utility easements.

Rights-of-way may be increased at intersections to accommodate needed turn lanes, pedestrian facilities and bikeways, roundabouts or on street parking.

- Pedestrian facilities, bikeways, and landscape strips are required on all new streets within the Urban Growth Boundary and when development or redevelopment occurs on existing streets.
- The Roadway Classifications and Typical Cross Sections are modified as set out in Special Transportation Plans which are adopted as part of this Chapter of the Comprehensive Plan

	Table V-3 Roadway Classifications and Guidelines –									
THIS TABI	THIS TABLE WILL BE USED TO PRODUCE TYPICAL ROAD CROSS SECTION DRAWINGS THAT WILL REPLACE									
	THIS TABLE IN CHAPTER 5									
Urban Functional Classification	Metro Green Streets Classification	Number Of Traffic Lanes	Median Lanes	Typical Right-Of- Way Width*	Typical Paved Width	Sidewalk/ Pathway / Pedestrian Zone	Bikeways (Bike Lanes, Cycle Track Etc)	Landscape Strip And Street Trees	Storm Water Facilities	
			•	Urb	an Area		•		•	
Freeway / Expressway, State Highways	Regional Principal Arterials	Defer to State Standards (ODOT) or Adopted Alternative Road Cross Sections for specific portions of urban system								
Major Arterial	Regional Boulevard or Regional Street	4 11' – 12' travel lanes	12' – 14' median / turn lane	98' —118'	64'- 80'	Yes 6' -15' as part of a Pedestrian Zone on each side of the street	Yes 6' – 8'	Yes 5' – 7' may include on street parking	Conventional and/or non- structural storm water facilities	
Minor Arterial	Community Boulevard Or Community Street	2 to 4 11' – 12' Travel lanes	Optional 12' – 14' median / turn lane	68' – 112'	60' – 78'	Yes 6' -10	Yes 6'- 8'	Yes 5' - 7' may include on street parking	Conventional and/or non- structural storm water facilities	
Urban Functional Classification	Metro Green Streets Classification	Number Of Traffic Lanes	Median Lanes	Typical Right-Of- Way Width*	Typical Paved Width	Sidewalk/ Pathway / Pedestrian Zone	Bikeways (Bike Lanes, Cycle Track Etc)	Landscape Strip And Street Trees	Storm Water Facilities	

Collector	Road	2	Optional	64' - 90'	44' – 70'	Yes	Yes	Yes	Conventional
		10' – 12'	11' – 13'	may		5' -7'	6'- 8'	5' – 7'	and/or non-
		Travel	median /	include				may include	structural
		lanes	turn lane	on street				on street	storm water
				parking				parking	facilities
									-
Connector	Road	2	No	64' – 78'	36' – 50'	Yes	No	Yes	Conventional
Urban		14' – 17'			Parking on	5' -7'		5' – 7'	and/or non-
Commercial		travel			one side or				structural
Multifamily		lanes			both sides				storm water
									facilities
Connector	Road	2	No	78′	58'	Yes	No	Yes	Conventional
Industrial		21' travel			Parking on	5'		5'	and/or non-
		lanes			both sides				structural
									storm water
									facilities
		-							
Local	Road	2	NO	$50^{\circ} - 60^{\circ}$	28' - 58'	Yes	NO	Yes	Conventional
		$10^{7} - 21^{7}$			depending	5' -7'		5′	and/or non-
		travel			on parking				structural
		lanes							storm water
									facilities
Alley		2	No	16'	16'	No	No	No	N/A
,,		8' travel							,
		lanes							
Urban	Metro Green	Number	Median	Typical	Typical	Sidewalk/	Bikeways	Landscape	Storm Water
Functional	Streets	Of	Lanes	Right-Of-	Paved	Pathway /	, (Bike Lanes,	Strip And	Facilities
Classification	Classification	Traffic		Way	Width	Pedestrian	Cycle Track	Street Trees	
		Lanes		Width*		Zone	Etc)		
				Urba	an Area				
	Urban Multi	0	No	24	12	0	2 6' bike	12	N/A
	Use Path	-				_	lanes		

Rural Functional	Metro Green Streets	Number Of	Median Lanes	Minimu m Right-	Paved Width	Sidewalk/ Pathway	Bikeways	Landscape Strip	Storm Water Facilities		
Classification	Classification	Traffic		Of-Way							
		Lanes		Width*							
				Rura	al Area						
Freeway / Defer to State Standards (ODOT) or Adopted Alternative Road Cross Sections for specific portions of rural											
Expressway,	Expressway, system										
State											
Tigitway3	Rural Road on	2 to 4	No	63' -83'	34' – 54'	6'	Yes	7'	non-structural		
	high speed	11' travel			with 3'	_	6'		storm water		
	roads and	lanes			shoulders				facilities		
	freight routes										
	with bio-										
Dunal Antoniala	swales	2	Ontional			Vac	Vac	Vac	Conventional		
In Rural Centers	N/A	2 11' – 12'	0ptional 12' – 14'	00 - 90 may	30 - 50	fes, 6'	6'	5'	and/or non-		
or		Travels	median /	include on		in Rural			structural		
Unincorporated		lanes	turn lane	street		Centers, or			storm water facilities		
communities				parking		uni					
Rural	Metro Green	Number	Median	Minimum	Paved	Sidewalk/	Bikeways	Landscape	Storm Water		
Functional	Streets	Of	Lanes	Right-Of-	Width	Pathway		Strip	Facilities		
Classification	Classification	Traffic		Width*							
		Lanes		 D							
Kurai Area											
Rural Arterials	N/A	2	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Optional} \\ 12' - 14' \end{array}$	66'- 72'	36′ – 50′	No	Yes	No	non-structural		
median / turn		Travels	median /			6" Gravel	Ø		storm water		
lane,		lanes	turn lane			Shoulders			facilities		
Not permitted in											
Resources Zone											

Rural Collectors median / turn lane, Not permitted in Resources Zone	N/A	2 10' – 12' Travels lanes	Optional 11' – 13' median / turn lane	60'	36' – 50'	No, 6' Gravel Shoulders	Yes 6'	No	Ditches and/or non-structural storm water facilities
Rural Connector	N/A	2 11' Travels lanes	No	55'	22'	No 6' Gravel Shoulders	Νο	No	Ditches and/or non-structural storm water facilities
Rural Local	N/A	2 11' Travels lanes	No	50'	22'	No 6' Gravel Shoulders	No	No	Ditches and/or non-structural storm water facilities

Table V-4 Arterial and Throughway Design Concepts – Inside Metro Boundary						
2040 Design Concept	Design Guidelines	Examples (from Figure 2-10)				
		Regional Design Classifications				
Throughway - Freeway	Principal arterial	1-5				
	 4 - 6 through lanes (plus auxiliary lanes) with grade separated interchanges Medians Bikeways, usually separated from and parallel to facility. Transit Amenities – Through service supported with 	I-205				
	amenities only at station areas, transit priority where appropriate at interchangesPrimary freight routes					
Throughway - Highway	 Principal arterial 6 through lanes (plus auxiliary lanes) with grade separated intersections/ interchanges Bikeways and sidewalks separated from and parallel to facility Medians with limited use as a turn lane. Transit Amenities – Through service supported with amenities only at station areas, transit priority where appropriate at intersections Primary freight routes 	US 26 OR 224 Sunrise Project to 152 nd Avenue OR 213 S OR 99 E - North of OR 224 and South of Oregon City				
Throughway -Parkway	 Principal arterial 6 through lanes (plus auxiliary lanes) with grade separated intersections/ interchanges Bikeways and sidewalks separated from and parallel to facility Medians with limited use as a turn lane. 					
Regional Boulevard• 2040 centers• Station communities• Main streets	 Major Arterial 4 through lanes with turn lanes Bikeways, sidewalks and pedestrian buffers Medians used as pedestrian refuge and turn lane. 	OR 213 N (82 nd) OR 99 E - Downtown Milwaukie & Oregon City OR 43 - Downtown Lake Oswego				

	 Transit Amenities – High quality service supported with substantial amenities at stops and station areas Primary freight routes, provide access to markets with loading amenities within the right-of-way Storm Water – Median Linear Detention Basin and Street Tree Wells and infiltration trenches 	Sunnyside Road and Sunnybrook - in Regional Center
Regional Street	Major Arterial	OR 212 / 224 - Clackamas Industrial Area
Industrial areas	 4 through lanes with turn lanes 	McLoughlin Blvd - Oak Grove
Employment areas	 Bikeways, sidewalks and pedestrian buffers 	Sunnyside Road
Corridors	 Medians used as pedestrian refuge and turn lane. 	172 nd Avenue
Intermodal facilities	 Transit Amenities – High quality service supported with 	OR 43
	substantial amenities at stops and station areas	Molalla Road
	 Primary freight routes, provide access to markets with 	Beavercreek Road
	loading amenities within the right-of-way	82 nd Drive
	 Storm Water – Median Linear Detention Basin and Street 	
	Tree Wells and infiltration trenches	
Community Boulevard	Minor Arterial	SW Boones Ferry & Kruse Way
• 2040 centers	 2 through lanes with turn lanes 	Hwy 43 & SW A St.
Station communities	 Bikeways, sidewalks and pedestrian buffers 	Willamette Falls Dr & 10th
Main streets	 Medians used as pedestrian refuge and turn lane. 	Hwy 99E & Lake Rd.
	 Transit Amenities – High quality service supported with 	Hwy 99E in Oregon City
	substantial amenities at major stops and station areas	King Rd at Linwood
	 Secondary freight routes, provide access to markets with 	SE 82 rd (King Rd to Sunnyside)
	loading amenities within the right-of-way	Sunnybrook (SE 82 th to I-205)
	 Storm Water – Median Linear Detention Basin and Street 	Monterey (Fuller Road to I-205)
	Tree Wells and infiltration trenches	
Community Street	Minor Arterial	Borland Rd
Industrial areas	 2 through lanes with turn lanes 	Bryant Rd
Employment areas	 Bikeways, sidewalks and pedestrian buffers 	River Rd
Corridors	 Medians used as pedestrian refuge and turn lane. 	Oatfield Road
Intermodal Facilities	 Transit Amenities – High quality service supported with 	Webster Road
	substantial amenities at major stops and station areas	Linwood Street
	 Secondary freight routes, provide access to markets with 	Wilsonville Road

	 loading amenities within the right-of-way Storm Water – Median Linear Detention Basin and Street Tree Wells and infiltration trenches 	Johnson Creek Boulevard King Road Railroad Avenue 122 nd & 129 th Streets
Road (Urban and Rural)	 Urban Roads, usually 2-3 lanes Rural Roads, usually 2–3 lanes Transit Amenities – Through service supported with limited amenities at major stops and station areas Primary freight routes Storm Water – Median Linear Detention Basin or Street Tree Wells and infiltration trenches 	
For All	The number and widths of lanes, bike lanes, and access points, and location of signals are determined by functional classification. <u>– See Table 3</u> Width of sidewalks is determined by functional class and adjacent land use. – <u>See Table 3</u>	

Source: 2035 Regional Transportation Plan, Metro (June 2010). Figure 2.10, Regional Design Classifications (p 2-25); and, Table 2.6, Arterial and Throughway Design Concepts (p 2-26 – 2-27).











Table V- XX Road Operations Performance Standards

PM 2 Hour Peak - Performance Standards for Arterial and Collector Roads	Planning Standards: TSP, Comprehensive Plan		Engineering and Roadway Design		All other Development Review				
	Amendment, Zone Change								
Urban Area – Inside Metro by Land Use Type – as	1 st Hour	2 nd Hour	1 st Hour	2 nd Hour	1 st Hour	2 nd Hour			
identified on Comp Plan Map IV – 8									
Central City Regional Centers Town Centers Main	1.1	.99	1.1	.99	1.1	.99			
Streets Station Communities									
Corridors Industrial Areas Intermodal Facilities	.99	.99	.99	.99	.99	.99			
Employment Areas Inner Neighborhoods Outer									
Neighborhoods	-								
Other Principal Arterials	1 st Hour	2 nd Hour	1 st Hour	2 nd Hour	1 st Hour	2 nd Hour			
OR 99E (from Lincoln Street to OR 224 interchange)	1.1	.99	1.1	.99	1.1	.99			
Other Principal Arterial Routes;	.99	.99	.99	.99	.99	.99			
<i>I-205</i> ^в									
I-5 (Marquam Bridge to Wilsonville) ^в									
OR 212									
OR 224									
OR 213									
	ct	- nd	st	- nd	st	- nd			
Urban Areas Outside of Metro	1 ^a Hour	2 [™] Hour	1 ^{ar} Hour	2 [™] Hour	1 ^{ar} Hour	2 [™] Hour			
County Roads – Inside Cities	LOS D		LOS D		LOS D				
If analysis is required									
	. st	end	. st	and	. st	end			
Rural Area - Outside Metro	1 ^{ss} Hour	2 ^m Hour	1 ^{or} Hour	2 nd Hour	1 ^{or} Hour	2 Hour			
PWI Peak Hour Performance Standards	Intersection of O	DOT Eacilities and C	ountu Roada						
depending upon posted speed and highway classification									
Inside Urban Growth Boundary	0.80 to 0.95	0.80 to 0.95	0.80 to 0.95	0.80 to 0.95	0.80 to 0.95	0.80 to 0.95			
Unincorporated Communities	0.70 to 0.80	0.70 to 0.80	0.70 to 0.80	0.70 to 0.80	0.70 to 0.80	0.70 to 0.80			
Rural Lands	0.70 to 0.75	0.70 to 0.75	0.70 to 0.75	0.70 to 0.75	0.70 to 0.75	0.70 to 0.75			
Rural County Roads	1 st Hour	2 nd Hour	1 st Hour	2 nd Hour	1 st Hour	2 nd Hour			
Intersections	LOS D		LOS D		LOS D				