

Section 4 – Regional Center SW Access Corridor Analysis

Clackamas Regional Center Southwest Access Corridor Analysis Tech Memo

The Clackamas Regional Center Southwest Access Corridor Analysis Tech Memo analysis provides more detailed information about alternative improvements for the Clackamas Regional Center Southwest Access Corridor (Harmony Road/Sunnyside Road/82nd Avenue) area, which is expected to experience significant future congestion and out of direction travel.

Dynamic Traffic Assignment (DTA) is an analysis tool that models individual travel behavior at a system level and takes a mesoscopic simulation approach to travel modeling. This means that DTA is able to provide a higher level of detail than a travel demand model (macrosimulation) by using smaller units of time. It is also able to model a larger network area and more complex route selection, than intersection-based models (microsimulation).

Clackamas Regional Center Southwest Access Corridor Analysis Tech Memo Appendices These appendices contain information from the 2008 Harmony Road EIS and additional model output from the SW Corridor Analysis



Dynamic Traffic Assignment (DTA) – Initial Findings

Date:	February 11, 2013 Project #: 12	1732
To:	TSP Public Advisory Committee	
From:	TSP Project Management Team	
Project:	Clackamas County Transportation System Plan Update	
Subject:	Clackamas Regional Center Southwest Access Corridor Dynamic Traffic Assignment (DT. Initial Findings	A)

This memorandum reports the findings of the Dynamic Traffic Assignment (DTA) analysis performed as part of the Clackamas County Transportation System Plan (TSP) Update. The analysis provides more detailed information about alternative improvements for the Clackamas Regional Center Southwest Access Corridor (Harmony Road/Sunnyside Road/82nd Avenue) area, which is expected to experience significant future congestion and out of direction travel.

This memorandum provides an introduction to DTA and is organized as follows:

Background	2
Clackamas Regional Center Southwest Access Corridor DTA Analysis	2
DTA Alternatives Tested	4
Measures of Effectiveness	7
Overview of Initial Findings	8
Harmony Road Findings	10
Fuller Road Findings	17
Grade-Separation at Harmony Road/Linwood Avenue	18
Alternative Cost estimates and Estimated Peak Hour Savings	20
Findings and Conclusions	22
Next Steps Economic Development, Transportation Investment and Regulatory Considerations	5.23

BACKGROUND

The Clackamas Regional Center Southwest Access Corridor is a part of the transportation system that supports the future development of the Clackamas Regional Center, which is and will continue to be one of the major employment centers in Clackamas County. As part of the Clackamas County Transportation System Plan (TSP) update, a number of existing and future congestion problems were identified in the area.

The *Existing and Future Conditions Report* assessed operations on the Clackamas County transportation system under a 2035 Low Build Scenario, which assumes projected population and employment growth occurs and only the transportation projects that are currently funded are constructed. This analysis assumed that the Sunnybrook Road extension and Monterey Avenue extension are constructed. However, it does not include additional widening on Harmony or grade-separated improvements at the SE Harmony Road/SE Linwood Avenue intersections. With the Low Build improvements in place, the analysis projected the 2035 operations shown in Table 1 for study intersections within the Clackamas Regional Center Southwest Access Corridor:

ID	Intersection	Jurisdicti on	Performance Standard	Currently Meets Standard?	Low Build Project?	Meets Standard in 2035 Low Build?
123	SE Lake Rd/SE International Way	County	v/c = 0.99	Yes	No	No (v/c=1.39)
124	SE Harmony Rd/SE Linwood Ave	County	v/c = 0.99	Yes	No	No (v/c=1.11)
125	SE Harmony Rd/SE Fuller Rd	County	v/c = 1.1	Yes	No	Yes (v/c = 1.0)
126	SE Sunnyside Rd/SE Harmony Rd/SE 82nd Ave	ODOT	v/c = 1.1	Yes	No	Yes (v/c = 1.0)
136	SE Sunnybrook Blvd/ OR 213 (SE 82 nd Ave)	ODOT	v/c = 1.1	Yes	Yes (U001)	No (v/c=1.35)

Tabla 1	2025 Low Duild Troffic O	norations Analy	cic Doculto of Study	Intercections in the	Clackamac Degional Contar
тарет		Derations Analy	SIS RESULTS AL SLUOV	intersections in the	CIACKAMAS REGIONAL CENTER

v/c = volume-to-capacity ratio

As seen in Table 1, three of the intersections in the Southwest Access Corridor are forecast to not meet the operational standards in 2035. Two additional intersections operate at volume-to-capacity ratios just under the standard. These projected deficiencies could hinder future development in the area. Therefore, a number of potential improvements are being considered for the area. In order to assess the potential impact of these improvements for the area, a Dynamic Traffic Assignment (DTA) analysis was performed.

CLACKAMAS REGIONAL CENTER SOUTHWEST ACCESS CORRIDOR DTA ANALYSIS

Dynamic Traffic Assignment (DTA) is an analysis tool that models individual travel behavior at a system level and takes a mesoscopic simulation approach to travel modeling. This means that DTA is able to provide a higher level of detail than a travel demand model (macrosimulation) by using smaller units of time. It is also able to model a larger network area and more complex route selection, than intersection-based models (microsimulation).

This concept is illustrated below:



Source: Metro

DTA can provide more detailed and holistic information than traditional static models and has several unique advantages over static tools, including:

- Capacity constrained: Street segments and intersections in a DTA model are capacity constrained, while static models can produce volume/capacity ratios that exceed 1.0 for these same facilities. A DTA model addresses excess demand by either spreading it across the network (using alternate routes) or across a longer period of time (i.e., vehicles spend additional time on the network).
- Signal Timing: DTA models take signal timing into account, producing more realistic results compared to macrosimulation models which have a simplified approach to intersection capacity.
- Variability: DTA can model multiple hours, days and months; thereby, capturing more variability in roadway conditions (e.g., weather, incidents, construction, etc.). DTA can also model network operations in smaller increments (such a 5 minute intervals) for the entire modeling period, providing a more granular data set.
- **Event Modeling**: DTA can model events such as train crossings, work zones, special events, and crashes.
- Relatable Measures of Effectiveness (MOE): DTA produces results that address travel time, speed, and reliability, which are more relatable to the experience of traveler using the system than traditional outputs like Level of Service (LOS) and volume/capacity ratio (v/c).

DTA is being used for the TSP Update in order to re-evaluate the alternatives for the Clackamas Regional Center Southwest Access Corridor (Harmony Road/Sunnyside Road/82nd Avenue area) that were previously evaluated in the Harmony Road Area Transportation Improvements EIS (*shown in Appendix A*). It is able to provide a more detailed, complete comparison of the potential improvements for the area by modeling the transportation system with each alternative in place. The DTA study area for this analysis is shown in *Figure 1* and shows the transportation projects (in blue) assumed to be built in the 2035 Low Build Scenario which were used in the DTA analysis. The figure also includes the study intersections that do not meet standards in the 2035 Low Build Scenario. The majority of the DTA analysis focused on the Harmony Road/Sunnyside Road/82nd Avenue area, as described below.

DTA ALTERNATIVES TESTED

The DTA analysis of the Clackamas Regional Center Southwest Access Corridor was undertaken to test the relative effectiveness of potential improvements for the Harmony Road/Sunnyside Road/82nd Avenue area. Alternatives were originally developed as part of the original Harmony Road Area Transportation Improvement EIS. This analysis tests six alternatives for the area to better understand the operational effectiveness using additional relatable Measures of Effectiveness (MOE) beyond the traditional volume-to-capacity ratio and level-of-service measures used in the EIS. All six alternatives model projected traffic volumes for the year 2035 and assume the other Low-Build transportation projects shown in Figure 1 have been completed.

Each alternative is described below and illustrated in *Figure 2*.

- 1. **"No-Build" Alternative** (Baseline) this scenario assumes no additional improvements are made in the area, beyond the projects shown in Figure 1 (i.e. no Sunnybrook Extension).
- Alternative 2 (Sunnybrook Extension, Grade-Separated RR Crossing) this scenario assumes the Sunnybrook Extension is built with a two-lane cross-section and a grade-separated railroad crossing is constructed at the intersection of Harmony Road and Linwood Avenue. It also assumes Harmony Road is widened to three lanes between OR 224 and 82nd Avenue.
- 3. Alternative 3 (Harmony Widening from Fuller Road to 82nd, 82nd Widening, Grade-Separated RR Crossing) this scenario assumes that Harmony Road is widened to 5 lanes between Fuller Road and 82nd Avenue and 3 lanes between OR 224 and Fuller Road, It also assumes 82nd Avenue is widened to 7 lanes between Harmony Road/Sunnyside Road and Sunnybrook Boulevard and a grade-separated railroad crossing is constructed at the intersection of Harmony Road and Linwood Avenue.
- 4. Alternative 4 (Sunnybrook Extension) this scenario assumes the Sunnybrook extension is built with a two-lane cross-section without any improvements at the Harmony Road/Linwood Avenue railroad crossing (i.e. this intersection is not grade separated).



Clackamas County TSP



H: broifile/11732 - Clackamas County TSP/70% Growth Scenario/DTA Atternativ

- 5. Alternative 5 (Greater Harmony Widening, Grade-Separated RR Crossing) this scenario assumes that Harmony Road is widened to 5 lanes between 82nd Avenue and OR 224 and a grade-separated railroad crossing is constructed at the intersection of Harmony Road and Linwood Avenue.
- 6. Alternative 6 (Sunnybrook Extension, Harmony Widening from Fuller to OR 224, Grade-Separated RR Crossing) - this scenario assumes Harmony Road is widened to 5 lanes between OR 224 and Fuller Road and 3 lanes between Fuller Road and 82nd Avenue. It also includes the Sunnybrook extension and grade-separated railroad crossing at the intersection of Harmony Road and Linwood Avenue.

MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS

DTA provides a variety of different measures of effectiveness (MOE) for assessing the operational results of the alternatives. As noted above, these MOEs provide more realistic and relatable measures than most static models that primarily utilize volume-to-capacity ratios and level-of-service for a specific one-hour period time. For this analysis, the following MOEs were assessed over a three-hour time period:

Travel Time (or running speed): travel time provides a relatable, easily conceptualized measure of how long it takes to travel from one end of a corridor to the other. Assessing the 5th percentile and 95th percentile travel time accounts for the more exceptional cases. The 5th percentile travel time is the time below which 5% of all travel times fall, meaning it represents the lowest travel times, while the 95th percentile travel time represents the highest travel times. This concept is illustrated in Exhibit 2. Comparing the 95th percentile travel time for different alternatives can help assess how events (such as train crossings) impact travel time.





- Travel Time Reliability: travel time reliability considers the range of travel times experienced during a given period of time (weekdays from 3:00 to 6:00 PM for this analysis). Drivers typically judge their roadway experience by the predictability of their travel time, and they are most affected by travel times that are far higher than they expect. The smaller the range of travel times, the more reliable the roadway and the better its performance. When drivers are able to more accurately predict the time it takes them to travel a roadway, they can budget their time appropriately. If the travel time is unreliable, drivers have to build in additional travel time as a buffer, which leads to inefficiencies and lost time.
- Congestion: the higher the level of congestion, the lower the speeds on the roadway. For the DTA analysis, congestion is based on the speeds on the roadway and is relative, meaning it can be defined by any speed. For this analysis, congestion was defined as speeds less than or equal to 60 percent of the free flow speed (the speed vehicles move in the absence of any congestion).
- Outflow volume (intersection-level): outflow volume reflects how many vehicles an intersection is able to process during a given period of time. The higher the outflow volume, the more vehicles that can pass through the intersection and thus the better its performance.
- Queuing: queue lengths (distances occupied by stopped vehicles) provide an easily understandable measure of how well an intersection is performing. Monitoring queue spillback is helpful for assessing potential impacts between intersections as well as impacts on driveways. It can also be used to assess whether left-turn lanes are needed or are adequate at a given storage length.

OVERVIEW OF INITIAL FINDINGS

The six alternatives outlined above were compared by modeling traffic on eight different corridors in the DTA study area shown in **Figure 3**, including:

- A. SE Sunnybrook Boulevard (between SE 82nd Avenue and SE Sunnyside Road)
- B. SE Harmony Road (between OR 224 and SE 82nd Avenue)
- C. SE Sunnyside Road (between SE 82nd Avenue and SE Sunnybrook Blvd)
- D. SE Fuller Road (between SE King and SE Harmony Road)
- E. SE Johnson Creek Boulevard (between SE 45th Place and I-205 Ramps)
- F. SE 82nd Avenue (between OR 224 and SE Johnson Creek Boulevard)
- G. I-205 (between 82nd Drive on-ramp and SE Foster Road off-ramp)
- H. OR 224 (between OR 99E on-ramp and I-205)



Overall, the differences in operational performance between the alternatives were minimal on the majority of the corridors. On most corridors, the analysis showed less than a half-minute difference in travel time between each alternative (e.g., Exhibit 3 compares the average travel time on the SE Sunnyside Road corridor for vehicles traveling eastbound between SE 82nd Avenue and SE Sunnybrook Boulevard between 3:00 and 6:00 PM).





The only significant differences in performance were observed on the SE Harmony Road and SE Fuller Road corridors, which makes sense given that these corridors are the closest to the transportation improvements modeled under the different alternatives. Alternative 5 (Greater Harmony Widening, Grade-Separated RR Crossing) and Alternative 6 (Sunnybrook Extension, Harmony Widening from Fuller to OR 224, Grade-Separated RR Crossing) produced the best operations on both corridors when compared to the other alternatives, as shown below in Exhibit 4. Alternatives 2, 3 and 4 produced operations similar in year 2035 to what vehicles experience today on SE Harmony Road and SE Fuller Road. The remainder of this analysis focuses on the Harmony Road and Fuller Road corridor findings. (Additional graphs showing the travel time on each corridor under each alternative are provided in Appendix B).

HARMONY ROAD FINDINGS

The DTA analysis revealed significant differences in performance on SE Harmony Road between OR 224 and SE 82nd Avenue for the five build alternatives. Overall, Alternatives 5 and 6 performed the best on the corridor and produced the most significant operational benefits. While not as high performing,

Alternatives 2, 3 and 4 showed advantages over the 2035 no build alternative. The findings for the corridor are reported below for the previously identified MOEs. It should be noted that MOE results for each alternative are provided in the appendix, while the information below highlights key findings and illustrates the MOEs using select alternatives to demonstrate how the graphics should be interpreted.

Travel Time

According to the DTA analysis, Alternatives 5 and 6 produce the most travel time savings on the Harmony Road corridor. For the 1.3-mile segment of Harmony Road between OR 224 and 82^{nd} Avenue, Alternatives 5 and 6 both reduce peak hour travel times in the eastbound direction by up to 3 minutes per trip compared to the 2035 no build alternative. In comparison, Alternatives 2, 3, and 4 reduces travel times by about 2 minutes per trip compared to the no build alternative and operate similarly to the existing conditions. The average travel times for the Harmony Road corridor in the eastbound direction between 3:00 - 6:00 PM are shown in Exhibit 4.





* indicates at-grade railroad crossing (not modeled in this analysis)

As seen in Exhibit 4, the difference in travel times for the alternatives is most pronounced between 5:00 and 6:00 PM. The alternatives performed similarly in the westbound direction, although with less acute differences in travel times between the alternatives. Exhibit 5 shows the average travel times for the Harmony Road corridor in the westbound direction between 3:00 – 6:00 PM.





* indicates at-grade railroad crossing (not modeled in this analysis)

As seen in Exhibit 5, the differences in travel times for vehicles traveling westbound on SE Harmony Road are substantially less between alternatives than in the eastbound direction. The DTA analysis only assessed PM peak hour travel times, so greater differences may be observed during other periods of the day (i.e., AM peak hour as motorists are commuting westbound to the downtown Portland area).

Travel Time Reliability

The DTA analysis showed significantly less variability in travel times for all the alternatives than in the no build alternative. By far the most significant gains in reliability were seen with Alternatives 5 and 6, although improvements were also observed with Alternatives 2, 3 and 4. *Graphs illustrating the travel time reliability for each alterative are provided in Appendix C.*

The "buffer" time (time between the 95th percentile and 5th percentile travel times) under the existing conditions (2010) and no build alternative (2035) for eastbound travel on the SE Harmony Road corridor are shown in Exhibit 6.





* indicates at-grade railroad crossing (not modeled in this analysis)

As seen in the exhibit, the range of travel times experienced on the corridor is anticipated to increase significantly in the future. The blue ban illustrates the range of travel times observed between the 5th and 95th percentile travel times (roughly 2 to 3 minutes in variation) on the corridor under existing conditions (2010). The red ban is for the no build alternative (2035) and reflects a larger range (roughly 4 to 6 minutes) of travel times. When travel times are unreliable, roadway users have to allot additional buffer time to their expected travel time, which leads to inefficiencies and wasted time.

As noted above, all five build alternatives improve travel time reliability on the SE Harmony Road corridor in the eastbound direction, with Alternative 5 producing the most significant gains, followed by Alternative 6. Alternative 5 reduce the range of travel times experienced to approximately 1 minute, resulting in more consistent, reliable travel times. Exhibit 7 shows the span of travel times observed for both Alternative 5 and the No-Build alternative during the PM peak hour, for the eastbound direction.





* indicates at-grade railroad crossing (not modeled in this analysis)

In order to compare the gains in travel time reliability across the alternatives, the average buffer time between 3:00 and 6:00 PM was calculated for each alternative. This represents the average difference between the slowest and fastest moving vehicles on the corridor. Therefore, the larger the buffer time, the wider the range of travel times on the corridor and the lower the reliability. The results are shown in Table 2.

Scenario	Eastbound	Westbound
Existing (2012)*	2.2	2.0
No Build*	4.6	3.1
2	3.1	1.7
3	2.4	1.5
4*	3.1	2.2
5	1.1	0.7
6	1.8	0.9

Table 2 2035 Average Buffer Time on Harmony Road Corridor (82nd to OR 224)

* indicates an at-grade railroad crossing (not modeled in this analysis)

As seen in the table, Alternative 5 produces the most significant gains in reliability, followed closely by Alternative 6. Alternatives 2, 3, and 4 also provide more reliable travel times than the no build alternative. The buffer times for westbound travel on the corridor are lower than for the eastbound travel for all alternatives, indicating greater reliability.

Travel Speed

The DTA analysis assessed travel speeds on the Harmony Road corridor for each alternative. This analysis evaluated speeds on the corridor overall, but also took a closer look at speeds along each segment of the corridor to identify where speeds are slower. For example, Exhibit 8 compares the average speeds on Harmony Road eastbound along the corridor from OR 224 to 82nd Avenue in the baseline scenario with speeds in Alternative 2. The lowest speeds in the baseline scenario are observed just east of SE Railroad Avenue/SE Linwood Avenue up to SE 82nd Avenue. Speeds increase noticeably between the baseline scenario and Alternative 2, particularly on the approach to the SE Harmony Road/SE Fuller Road/SE Sunnybrook Boulevard intersection. *Similar plots for all alternatives are provided in Appendix D.*



Exhibit 8: Speeds on SE Harmony Road Eastbound (No-Build versus Alternative 2)

Table 3 provides a comparison of the 5th percentile, average, and 95th percentile speeds along the Harmony Road corridor for each alternative in both the eastbound and westbound directions. These speeds are representative of the entire corridor.

	Eastbound				Westbound	
Alternative	5th Percentile	Average	95th Percentile	5th Percentile	Average	95th Percentile
Existing (2010)*	10.3	14.0	17.2	12.5	16.4	20.7
No Build*	7.7	10.2	14.9	11.1	15.3	20.4
2	9.5	13.2	17.7	13.4	18.2	22.0
3	10.4	12.6	15.1	14.5	18.4	21.9
4*	9.8	13.3	17.9	12.4	16.5	21.0
5	14.1	16.2	19.3	18.3	20.3	22.1
6	12.5	16.2	20.0	17.2	19.9	22.3

 Table 3
 2035 Average 5:00-6:00 PM Speed (mph) along Harmony Road Corridor (82nd to OR 224)

* indicates at-grade railroad crossing (not modeled in this analysis)

As seen in Table 3, the observed speeds on the corridor are substantially higher with Alternatives 5 and 6, particularly in the eastbound direction. Average speeds are about 6 mph higher than the 2035 no build alternative in the eastbound direction and about 5 mph higher in the westbound direction with both Alternative 5 or 6. In order to produce significant gains in speeds on Harmony Road, the roadway needs to be widened to 5 lanes between OR 224 and Fuller Road to support the high volume of through movements (as in Alternative 5 and 6). It should be noted that Alternatives 2 and 4 provides similar operating speeds in 2035 compared to what exists today along Harmony Road because the railroad crossing events meter traffic into the corridor.

Congestion

Congestion on the SE Harmony Road corridor was also assessed for each alternative. For this analysis, roadway segments are considered congested if the average speeds are less than or equal to 60% of the free flow speed. Like travel speeds, congestion was assessed along each segment of the corridor to identify where congestion is most severe. Exhibit 9 compares the congestion on the corridor in the eastbound direction for the no build alternative and Alternative 6. As seen in the exhibit, Alternative 6 reduces congestion most significantly between the intersection of SE Harmony Road/SE Railroad Avenue/ SE Linwood Avenue and SE Harmony Road/SE Fuller Road/SE Sunnybrook Boulevard. *Similar plots for all alternatives are provided in Appendix E.*





FULLER ROAD FINDINGS

The Fuller Road corridor study corridor showed notable differences in the alternatives assessed for travel time in the southbound direction. The alternatives including the Sunnybrook Extension (Alternatives 2, 4 and 6) improved travel times compared to the other alternatives, with up to a minute in travel time savings during the PM peak hour. Exhibit 10 compares the travel times for the southbound direction of travel along Fuller Road between SE King Road and SE Harmony Road.



Exhibit 10: 2035 Average 15-minute Peak Hour Travel Time on SE Fuller Road Corridor (Southbound)

* indicates at-grade railroad crossing (not modeled in this analysis)

As seen in the exhibit, the savings in travel time are most significant during the hour between 5:00 and 6:00 PM. The average travel times on the corridor in the northbound direction differed negligibly between the alternatives during the PM peak hour (*graph of travel times on SE Fuller Road in the northbound direction provided in Appendix A*).

The other metrics assessed on the Fuller Road corridor (i.e. travel time reliability, travel speed, congestion) showed similar trends between the alternatives. *Full results for operations in the southbound direction are provided in Appendix F.*

GRADE-SEPARATION AT HARMONY ROAD/LINWOOD AVENUE

Grade-separating the railroad crossing at the Harmony Road/Linwood Avenue intersection would eliminate the influence of rail on vehicular traffic and also provide opportunities for improving the Harmony Road/Linwood Avenue intersection and surrounding roadways (which are currently constrained by the railroad). The expected delays from railroad crossings were calculated and the results are presented in Table 4.

Performance Element	Value
Average number of crossings (per hour)	1.24
Maximum number of crossings (per hour)	2
5th percentile railroad closure time (minutes)	1.00
Average railroad closure time (minutes)	1.93
95th percentile railroad closure time (minutes)	4.00
Maximum railroad closure time (minutes)	6.00

 Table 4
 Estimated 2035 Railroad Crossing Closures at Harmony Road/Linwood Avenue (5:00-6:00 PM)

As seen in the table, the average closure time during the peak hour is just under 2 minutes, with a 95th percentile closing time of 4 minutes. Therefore, a railroad crossing has the potential to add 2 to 4 minutes of additional travel time for vehicles that encounter a train crossing under the No-Build and Alternative 4. The MOEs presented above for the Harmony Road and Fuller Road corridors do not include the influence of railroad closures for the 2035 No-Build alternative and Alternative 4 (which do not include a grade-separated crossing). Delay associated with railroad crossing closure is in addition to the travel times reported in the plots and tables. *Therefore, while Alternative 4 and Alternative 2 (Sunnybrook extension and grade-separated crossing) perform similarly based on the speeds on the Harmony Road corridor (see Table 3), these times do not include the railroad crossing delay.*

The delay results presented in Table 4 were used to adjust the average travel times on the corridor for the No Build alternative and Alternative 2, assuming that 5% of vehicles encounter a train crossing (based on the frequency of trains and average crossing time). The results are shown in Table 5.

	Eastb	oound	Westbound		
Alternative	Average Travel Time (without railroad crossing adjustment)	Adjusted Average Travel Time (includes railroad crossing delay)	Average Travel Time (without railroad crossing adjustment)	Adjusted Average Travel Time (includes railroad crossing delay)	
Existing	5.5	5.5	4.6	4.6	
No Build*	7.5	7.6	5.0	5.1	
2	5.8	5.8	3.7	3.7	
3	6.0	6.0	3.8	3.8	
4*	5.7	5.8	4.6	4.7	
5	5.8	5.8	3.7	3.7	
6	6.0	6.0	3.8	3.8	

Table 5 Average Travel Times (minutes) along Harmony Corridor (82nd to Hwy 224)

* indicates at-grade railroad crossing

As seen in the table, rail crossings are not likely to significantly affect average travel time on the corridor, although they could substantially increase travel times for users that encounter a train crossing. These results are based on the assumption that rail use increases about 25% by 2035. If rail use increases more significantly, the anticipated delays and average travel times could increase significantly. Also, these results do not account for additional delays due to queues or congestion that result from the train crossing. Therefore, the adjusted average travel times may underestimate the influence of the at-grade railroad crossings in the Bo Build alternative and Alternative 4.

In addition to eliminating delays from railroad crossings, grade-separating the crossing at Harmony Road/Linwood Avenue provides opportunities for improving the intersection, which is anticipated to operate over capacity and at a LOS F in the future without improvements (see Table 1). Without the grade-separation, the intersection of Harmony Road/Linwood Avenue acts as a valve that blocks vehicles from passing through the intersection. Table 6 compares the volume of vehicles that the intersection is able to serve between Alternatives 2 and 4.

Time Period	Alt 2	Alt 4*	Delta
3:00 – 4:00 PM	2572	2262	310
4:00 – 5:00 PM	2640	2303	337
5:00 – 6:00 PM	2914	2549	365
Total	8126	7114	1012

 Table 6
 2035 Total Exiting Volume at Harmony Road/Linwood Avenue Intersection

* indicates at-grade railroad crossing

As seen in the table, the intersection is able to serve approximately 14 percent more vehicles with the grade-separation over the peak hour period. In addition, grade-separating the intersection is necessary to widen Harmony Road and construct the improvements included in Alternatives 2, 3, 5 and 6.

ALTERNATIVE COST ESTIMATES AND ESTIMATED PEAK HOUR SAVINGS

In order to more fully conceptualize the findings presented above, the cost estimate and 2035 weekday PM peak hour travel time savings were calculated for each alternative. The cost estimate and travel time saved during the PM peak period was monetized for the average motorist under each alternative is shown in Table 7. The travel time savings was determined by multiplying the number of motorists on the corridor under each alternative and a set time value of money to extrapolate the value of time saved during the PM peak period each day.

Alt	Projects	Cost Estimate ¹ by Project	Total Cost Estimate	Travel Time Saved During PM Peak Period (3-6 PM) ¹ (minutes)	Value of Time ¹ Saved During PM Peak Period (3- 6 PM) ³
2	Sunnybrook Extension (U001)	\$10,600,000	¢20,600,000	2.60	\$2.010
	Grade-Separated RR Crossing (U103)	\$20,000,000	\$50,000,000	2.09	\$2,910
	Harmony Widening to 5 lanes from Fuller Road to 82nd (partial TSP ID U104)	\$7,067,000			
3	82nd Widening (TSP ID U109)	\$2,780,000	\$29,847,000	2.44	\$2,400
	Grade-Separated RR Crossing (U103)	\$20,000,000			
4*	Sunnybrook Extension (U001)	\$10,600,000	\$10,600,000	2.11	\$2,010
5	Greater Harmony Widening to 5 Lanes (TSP ID U104)	\$34,130,000	\$54,130,000	4.19	\$4,760
	Grade-Separated RR Crossing(U103)	\$20,000,000			
	Harmony Widening to 5 lanes from OR 224 to Fuller (partial TSP ID U104)	\$22,753,000			
6	Sunnybrook Extension (U001)	\$10,600,000	\$53,353,000	4.09	\$4,910
	Grade-Separated RR Crossing (U103)	\$20,000,000			

Table 7 Time Sa	vings for Alternatives	– Harmony Road	(OR 224 to 82 nd	¹ Avenue)
-----------------	------------------------	----------------	-----------------------------	----------------------

¹ Cost Estimates developed for Clackamas County TSP Updated

² Value of time = \$20.35 per hour based on Portland Travel Demand Model Stated Preference Value of Time Survey, adjusted for 2012 dollars ³ Travel time savings only capture weekday PM peak hour, but weekday AM, weekend and holiday periods are likely to also experience TT benefits

* indicates at-grade railroad crossing (travel time saved adjusted to account for rail crossings)

As seen in Table 7, Alternative 6 produces the most significant travel time savings, followed closely by Alternative 5. Alternative 2 produces about half the travel time savings of Alternative 5 or 6, followed by Alternatives 3 and 4. The DTA analysis suggests that both widening Harmony Road to 5 lanes between OR 224 and Fuller Road (as in Alternative 5 and 6) and creating additional capacity between Fuller Road and 82nd, either by widening Harmony Road (Alternative 5) or with the Sunnybrook Extension (Alternative 6), are necessary to create these increased operational benefits.

For the most part, the relationships between the cost estimate and travel time savings are proportional for all the alternatives. For example, Alternative 5 and 6 cost about twice as much as Alternative 2 and 3, and produce about twice the travel time savings. Alternative 4 is the exception, as it costs significantly less than Alternative 2 or 3 and produces approximately the same travel time savings. However, it is important to note that Alternative 4 does not address the operational deficiency at the Harmony Road/Linwood Avenue intersection.

Benefit-Cost Comparison

While Alternatives 5 and 6 provide the best overall operational results and reliability, it is important to also evaluate the alternatives from both a benefit-cost standpoint and relative to what motorists experience without improvements to the corridor. Thus, the benefit-cost of the alternatives as well as the average travel time was compared to the no build alternative to provide an additional perspective. Table 8 provides the benefit cost of the alternatives based on the weekday 3:00 – 6:00 PM period travel time savings over the 20-year forecast period.

Alternative	Cost Estimate	Savings per Day ¹	Savings per Year ²	20 Year Savings	B/C Ratio (20 years)
2	\$30,600,000	\$2,910	\$1,062,150	\$21,243,000	0.49
3	\$29,847,000	\$2,400	\$876,000	\$17,520,000	0.42
4*	\$10,600,000	\$2,010	\$733,650	\$14,673,000	0.99
5	\$54,130,000	\$4,760	\$1,737,400	\$34,748,000	0.46
6	\$53,353,000	\$4,910	\$1,792,150	\$35,843,000	0.48

Table 8 Benefit/Cost Ratio for Alternatives Based on 20-year Weekday PM (3 to 6 PM) Travel Time Savings

¹ Travel time savings only capture weekday PM peak hour, but weekday AM, weekend and holiday periods are likely to also experience TT benefits ² Savings per year extrapolated by multiplying savings per day times 260; savings do not account for AM, weekend, or holiday time savings

* indicates at-grade railroad crossing (travel time saved adjusted to account for rail crossings)

As shown in the table, Alternative 4 provide the highest benefit cost over the forecast period based on travel time savings, followed by Alternative 2. However, it should be noted that Alternative 4 does not address the identified operational deficiencies at the SE Harmony/SE Linwood intersection. Alternative 2 does address this deficiency as provides an increased benefit-to-cost ratio compared to the remaining alternatives.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

The following findings are based on the DTA analysis:

- The different alternatives for the Clackamas Regional Center Southwest Access Corridor (Harmony Road/Sunnyside Road/82nd Avenue area) perform similarly from an operations perspective on most study corridors. Significant differences between the alternatives were observed on the Harmony Road (eastbound and westbound travel) and Fuller Road (southbound travel) corridors.
- Alternatives 5 and 6 provide the most substantial operational benefits on Harmony Road from a motorist perspective, resulting in significant travel time savings compared to the no build alternative (and existing conditions).
- Alternatives 2, 3 and 4 produce year 2035 travel times on the Harmony Road corridor similar to what motorists experience today (2010 existing conditions), while Alternatives 5 and 6 improve operations in 2035 compared to today.
- All alternatives reduce variability in travel times (reflecting greater travel time reliability) from hour-to-hour and day-to-day on the Harmony Road Corridor. Alternative 5 produces the most reliable travel times, followed by Alternative 6.
- Alternatives 2, 4 and 6 (all include Sunnybrook Extension) provide the greatest savings in travel time on Fuller Road for motorists traveling in the southbound direction, although the differences are not as significant as on Harmony Road.
- Grade-separating the intersection at Harmony Road/Linwood Avenue (Alternatives 2, 3, 5, and 6) is not likely to significantly reduce the average travel times on the SE Harmony Road corridor. However, it allows for improvements to the Harmony Road/Linwood Avenue intersection, which is projected to fail in the future and also facilitates approximately 14 percent more vehicles during the peak hour time period.
- In order to meet current Metro RTP requirements and County zoning and concurrency requirements and address the identified deficiencies within the Clackamas Regional Center Southwest Access Corridor, Alternatives 2, 3, 5 or 6 would need to be included in the County's TSP.
- Alternative 2 provides the highest benefit/cost ratio compared to the alternatives that address the existing and future deficiencies within the Clackamas Regional Center Southwest Access Corridor.

These findings will be used to inform the selection of alternatives and prioritization of projects on the Clackamas County TSP Master List.

NEXT STEPS -- ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, TRANSPORTATION INVESTMENT AND REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

The above findings and conclusions can be used to consider how to best encourage economic development in the Clackamas Regional Center and meet the overall goals and objectives of the County's Transportation System Plan. The current and projected congestion and intersection failures within the Clackamas Regional Center Southwest Access Corridor directly impact future development as well as the velocity of County investment in transportation infrastructure.

The existing County concurrency requirements set out in the Zoning and Development Ordinance establish level-of-service and v/c ratio standards for intersections in the Regional Center that new development must maintain at day of opening. Due to the congestion projected in this area, new development would be required to make substantial, expensive and potentially unattainable (where public right-of-way is not available) improvements to resolve transportation impacts. Thus, new development under current regulations would become increasingly economically infeasible without the County investing in the immediate near-term to implement transportation improvements in the area.

Therefore, in order to allow continued economic development in the Clackamas Regional Center, meet Metro's RTP requirements and the goals and objectives of the TSP, the County would need select one of the following framework options as part of the TSP:

- Framework Option #1: Select and implement Alternative 2, 3, 5, or 6 along with other transportation improvements in the Clackamas Regional Center and increase the velocity of investment within this area to allow for future development to continue to meet and maintain the current zoning and concurrency measures of effectiveness (level of service and v/c ratios) standards; or
- Framework Option #2: Modify the current zoning and concurrency measures of effectiveness standards to reduce the velocity and needed level of investments in this area and allow motorists to experience longer periods of congestion.

To help inform this decision, the DTA analysis contained herein provides the operational benefits and costs associated with the transportation improvement alternatives as well as how they perform in 2035 compared to today. Alternatives 2 and 4 effectively generally maintain existing operations in the area through 2035, while Alternatives 3, 5 and 6 improve the transportation system beyond how it operates today. Alternative 4 is significantly less expensive than the other options, but doesn't address congestion at the Harmony Road/Linwood Avenue intersection. Therefore, to allow economic development to move forward under the current zoning and concurrency requirements, Alternative 2 at a minimum would need to be implemented at a minimum to meet Metro's RTP requirements and the goals and objectives of the TSP.

In order to assess how Alternative 2 relates to the intersection operations reported in Table 1 and current concurrency standards, the intersections that did not meet standards under the Low Build

Scenario were reanalyzed with the improvements and projected traffic volumes for Alternative 2. The results are reported in Table 9.

Table 9 2035 Low Build Traffic Operations Analysis Results at Study Intersections in the Clackamas Regional Center

ID	Intersection	Jurisdiction	Performance Standard	Meets Standard with Alternative 2?
123	SE Lake Rd/SE International Way	County	v/c = 0.99	Yes (v/c = 0.97)
124	SE Harmony Rd/SE Linwood Ave ¹	County	v/c = 0.99	Yes (v/c = 0.94)
136	SE Sunnybrook Blvd/ OR 213 (SE 82 nd Ave)	ODOT	v/c = 1.1	Yes (v/c = 0.98)

v/c = volume-to-capacity ratio

1 Assumes additional turn lanes at intersection are included with grade-separation improvement

As seen in the table, with the improvements included in Alternative 2, the three intersections that do not meet standards under the Low Build Scenario operate acceptably.

Based on the information contained herein and developed to date through the development of the TSP, the consultant team has provided the following recommendations for both framework options for consideration by the County:

Framework Option #1 Recommendations: The County includes Alternative 2 in the TSP project list as it provides the best return of investment from a travel time perspective, allows for continued economic development in the vicinity of the Clackamas Regional Center with accelerated County funding, and meets the requirements of the existing County Zoning and Concurrency Ordinances as well as the Regional Transportation Plan.

Framework Option #2 Recommendations: The County modifies it operational measures of effectiveness standards to include travel time in lieu of, or in addition to volume-to-capacity ratio and level of service and sets the new standards to tolerate a level of increased congestion. This approach allows for continued development without making it economically infeasible, the County to either delay or completely eliminate the improvement alternatives in the Harmony Road/Sunnyside Road/82nd Avenue area and comply with the Regional Transportation Plan.





Projects in Local and Regional Transportation Plans

ID	PROJECT NAME	PROJECT LOCATION	PROJECT DESCRIPTION
Α	Linwood Avenue	Linwood/Monroe intersection	Add curbs/sidewalks, improve horizontal alignments
В	Monroe Street	72nd Avenue to Fuller Road	Improve to collector standard
С	Boyer Drive	82nd Avenue to Fuller Road	New two lane extension
D	Fuller Road	King Road to Harmony Road	Reconstruct and widen road to collector standards
E	Fuller Road	King Road to Harmony Road	Add bike lanes and sidewalks
F	Causey Avenue	Fuller Road to I-205	Widen and add bike lanes
G	Causey Avenue	Extend Causey over I-205 to Frontage Road	Construct three lane overpass to Frontage Road
н	SE 85th Avenue	Causey Avenue to Monterey Avenue	Improve to collector standard with bike lanes and sidewalk
I	West Monterey Avenue Extension	82nd Avenue to Fuller Road	Two-lane extension to improve east-west connectivity
J	Monterey Avenue	82nd Avenue to 92nd Avenue	Widen to three lanes with parking to main street standards
К	Monterey Overpass	Extend Monterey over I-205	Construct overpass to Frontage Road
			Reconstruct street to narrow travel lanes and bike lanes and
L	Lake Road	21st Avenue to Highway 224	add sidewalks, landscaped median, curbs, storm drainage
			and left turn refuges at some intersections
М	SE 82nd Avenue	Causey Avenue to Sunnyside Road	Boulevard treatment
N	SE 82nd Avenue	Sunnyside Road to Sunnybrook Road	Widen to seven lanes with boulevard treatment
0	Sunnyside Road	82nd Avenue to 97th Avenue	Restripe to add bike lanes
D	Highway 224 Extension (Suprise Corridor)	L-205 to Highway 212/122nd Avenue	Construct new four lane highway and reconstruct Highway
•			212/122nd Avenue Interchange
Q	Hillcrest Street	92nd Avenue to Stevens Road	Add sidewalks
R	Causey Extension	I-205 Frontage Road to W. Otty Road	Collector with bike lanes and sidewalks
S	Railroad Avenue Bike/Ped Improvement	37th Avenue to Linwood Road	Retrofit bike lanes and sidewalks
Т	Oatfield Road	Oatfield Road/Park Road intersection	Install traffic signal and left-turn lanes
U	Oatfield Road	Oatfield Road/Courtney Road intersection	Install traffic signal
v	Aldercrest Drive	Thiessen Road to Oatfield Road	Reconstruct and widen (urban)
w	I-205 LRT Extension	Gateway RC to Clackamas TC	Construct Light Rail Transit facility
Y	Thiessen Road	Thiessen Road/Hill Road intersection	Widen, add left-turn lane on Thiessen Road
Х	Webster Road	Highway 224 to Gladstone City limits	Widen to three lanes and conduct Highway 224 study
Z	Webster Road	Webster Road/Lake Road intersection	Add left-turn lanes
AA	37th Avenue Bike/Ped Improvement	Highway 224 to Harrison Street	Retrofit bike lanes and sidewalks
BB	McLoughlin Boulevard	Harrison Street to Kellogg Creek	Complete boulevard design improvements
	Harmony Boad	Highway 224 to 82 nd Avenue	Reconstruct intersection, add overcrossing over railroad,
			widen to five lanes
DD	Sunnybrook Extension	82 nd Avenue to Harmony Road	Extend as a minor arterial

Harmony Road Area Transportation Improvement Project

The Policy Review Committee (PRC) asked the project team to conduct a more in depth level of screening for Harmony Road alternatives and to consider the new alternatives suggested by the Project Advisory Committee (PAC). The PAC suggested new alternatives that primarily direct traffic from Harmony Road to SE 82nd Avenue for access to Highway 224.

The project team evaluated a total of 10 alternatives—nine having variations of improvements suggested by the public, agencies, technical team, PAC and the PRC, and the No-Build alternative. The screening focused on four key issues:

- Transportation
- Displacements
- Natural Resources (Trees, Wetlands, Waterways)
- Hazardous Materials

Several discipline areas were not evaluated during the Level 3 Screening. However, this evaluation provides key information on alternative impacts as requested by the PRC. This third round of screening built on information gathered during the first two rounds of screening conducted in Fall 2007. A brief summary of the findings for this evaluation is provided in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1 includes the general findings of the four key issues for comparative purposes.

Table 2 summarizes the transportation analysis findings for the following groups of alternatives:

- Alternative 1 (No-Build)
- Alternatives 2, 3 and 4 (the PAC-requested alternatives)
- Alternatives 5, 6 and 7 (Harmony Road widened to 3 or 5 lanes with no Sunnybrook Extension)
- Alternatives 8, 9 and 10 (Harmony Road widened to 3 or 5 lanes with Sunnybrook Extension)

General Findings

The traffic analysis found:

- There is limited benefit from expanding SE 82nd Avenue to 7 lanes between Sunnybrook Boulevard to Highway 224. Extending SE 84th Avenue parallel to SE 82nd Avenue would not significantly change future travel demand on Harmony Road.
- Without providing additional capacity and a grade-separated railroad crossing at the Harmony/ Linwood/Railroad Avenue intersection, the corridor would experience significantly increased congested conditions and vehicle delays and queues due to future traffic demands, numerous driveway access points, and train crossings.

The findings of the Level 3 screening evaluation indicate potential impact trade-offs, including the following:

- Potential displacements are all higher and roughly equivalent for the Harmony Road build alternatives (Alternatives 5-10), while the transportation analysis showed the greatest benefit for Alternatives 7-10.
- From a natural resources perspective:
 - Alternative 1 (No-Build) had the least amount of potential impact to natural resource elements.
 - Alternatives 2-5 that proposed expanding SE 82nd Avenue to Highway 224 had the highest potential impact to wetlands and waterways on either side of SE 82nd Avenue, with the greatest potential impact occurring with inclusion of the SE 84th Avenue extension in Alternative 4.
 - Harmony Road build Alternatives 7-10 that exclude the expansion of SE 82nd Avenue to Highway 224 mostly had moderate impacts to wetlands and waterways, while potential tree impacts are higher as Harmony Road is widened to the south and Sunnybrook Boulevard is extended. Alternative 6 had relatively fewer potential impacts to natural resource elements as it does not include Sunnybrook Extension and limits Harmony to 3 lanes.
 - There is less differentiation among the alternatives in the Hazardous Materials evaluation. Only Alternative 1 (No-Build) had a low potential for hazardous material impacts.

			L	Alterr	native	s Eva	luate	d		
Findings	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Transportation ¹	0	0	0	0	0	0		•		
Displacements	•	•	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Natural Resources										-
Trees	•			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wetlands	•	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0
Waterways	•	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	
Hazardous Materials		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 1: Summary of Key Issues Findings

= Low impact potential; or ¹Significantly improves transportation conditions in Harmony Road corridor
 = Moderate impact potential; or ¹Moderately improves transportation conditions in Harmony Road corridor

O = High impact potential; or ¹Does not improve transportation conditions in Harmony Road corridor

Table 2: Key 2030 Traffic Findings

		Alternatives and	d Key Design Elements	
	I	2, 3, 4	5 ^{*,**} , 6**, 7	8, 9, 10**
Alternative Description	No Build	82nd Widening / 84th New Road	 Harmony Widened (3 or 5 Lanes) Grade Separated Intersection at Linwood and Harmony No Sunnybrook Extension 	 Harmony Widened (3, 5 or 3/5 Lanes) Grade Separated Intersection at Linwood and Harmony With Sunnybrook Extension
2030 Traffic Volumes (ADT) at Harmony west of Fuller	23,000	23,000 to 23,500	23,000 to 26,000	26,000 to 29,500
2030 Failing Intersections	 Sunnyside and 82nd Avenue Harmony Road and Linwood Avenue Highway 224 and Johnson Road 	 Sunnyside and 82nd Avenue Harmony Road and Linwood Avenue Highway 224 and Johnson Road 	Sunnyside and 82nd AvenueHighway 224 and Johnson Road	 Highway 224 and Johnson Road Sunnybrook Blvd and 82nd Avenue***
2030 Key Findings	 Harmony Road congestion would increase (compared to today) with significant queues and delays Harmony/Linwood intersection would operate with significant delays and queues 	 Harmony Road would remain congested (compared to no build) with significant queues and delays 82nd / 84th Avenue improvements would not significantly change travel demand on Harmony Road Harmony/Linwood intersection would operate with significant delays and queues 	 Grade separated rail-crossing would significantly improve operation at the Linwood/Harmony intersection Access management measures would be necessary on Harmony to maintain acceptable traffic flow. Access to the community college area would be moderately improved with widening of Harmony 2030 traffic volumes on Harmony would increase by up to 3,000 ADT (+13%) more than No-Build Harmony/82nd would operate essentially "at-capacity" with a v/c ratio of approximately 1.00 Improvement in Harmony Road traffic operations when compared to Alternatives 1 through 4 (Alternative 7 demonstrates significant improvement) 	 Grade separated rail-crossing would significantly improve operation at the Linwood/Harmony intersection. Access management measures would be necessary on Harmony to maintain acceptable traffic flow. Access to the community college area would be significantly improved with addition of Sunnybrook Extension and 3/5 lanes on Harmony Five lanes on Harmony between 82nd Ave and Fuller would not be required with Sunnybrook Extension Significant improvement in Harmony Road traffic operations when compared to Alternatives 1 through 6 Additional analysis or mitigation would be needed at the Sunnybrook and 82nd Avenue intersection.

		• 2030 traffic volumes on Harmony would
		increase by up to 6,500 ADT (+28%)
		more than No-Build

* Alternative 5 includes 82nd Ave with 7 lanes

** Alternative 5 and 6- Harmony Road east of Linwood would need to be 4 lanes to accommodate intersection turning movements.

*** Level of Service can be improved to LOS E by adding a 2nd eastbound through lane and 2nd westbound left turn lane

HARMONY ROAD ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

ALTERNATIVE 1 2030 No Build

Key Findings

- The Harmony Road/Linwood Avenue/Railroad Avenue intersection would operate with significant vehicle delay and queues.
- The Harmony Road corridor would experience vehicle congestion due to numerous access points and future traffic demands.
- Mitigation would be required at the Sunnyside Road/82nd Avenue intersection.
- A second northbound left turn lane would be required at the Deer Creek Lane/82nd Avenue intersection.
- Capacity improvements would be needed to accommodate future traffic demands on Highway 224 at Johnson Road.



Harmony Road West of B2, Avenue	20,000	
Harmony Road west of Fuller Road	23,000	S
Harmony Road west of Linwood Avenue	18,000	เห
Sunnybrook Blvd west of 82 nd Avenue	-	S
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnyside Road	45,000	В
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnybrook Blvd	57,000	н
Highway 224 west of Johnson Road	44,000	D
		*

2030 PM Peak Hour Tr	affic Opera	tions
Intersection	LOS	V/C
Sunnyside Road/82 nd Avenue	F E*	1,34 1.00*
Harmony Road/Fuller Road	С	0.90
Sunnybrook Blvd/82 nd Avenue	D	1.00
Harmony Road/Linwood Ave	F	1.19
Hwy 224/Johnson Road	F	1.22
Deer Creek Lane/82 nd Avenue	E C**	1.20 0.93**
* Add 2 nd left turn lane to each approach ** Add 2nd portbound left turn lane		

Assumptions Assumes all Improvement projects identified for the no build scenario.

The no build scenario includes a seven lane boulevard cross-section on SE 82nd Avenue from Sunnyside to Sunnybrook.

The no build scenario includes the Sunrise Corridor from I-205 to SE 122nd Avenue. The Sunrise Corridor project includes the Deer Creek Lane extension as a five lane roadway between Hwy 224 and SE 82nd Avenue and a new traffic signal at the Deer Creek Lane/SE 82nd Avenue intersection.

HARMONY ROAD ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

ALTERNATIVE 2

2030 No Build + SE 82nd Avenue With 7 Lanes

Key Findings

- The 7 lane section on 82nd Avenue between Sunnybrook Blvd and Highway 224 and the double westbound left turn lanes at Sunnyside Road/82nd Avenue would not significantly change the travel demand on Harmony Road.
- The Harmony Road/Linwood Avenue/Railroad Avenue Intersection would operate with significant vehicle delay and queues.
- The Harmony Road corridor would experience vehicle congestion due to numerous access points and future traffic demands.
- Additional mitigation would be required at the Sunnyside Road/82nd Avenue intersection.
- Capacity improvements would be needed to accommodate future traffic demands on Highway 224 at Johnson Road.



2030 Daily Traffic Volumes (veh	icles/day)
Harmony Road west of 82 nd Avenue	20,000
Harmony Road west of Fuller Road	23,000
Harmony Road west of Linwood Avenue	18,000
Sunnybrook Blvd west of 82 nd Avenue	-
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnyside Road	46,000
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnybrook Blvd	60,000
Highway 224 west of Johnson Road	44,500

2030 PM Peak Hour Tr	affic Operat	tions
Intersection	LOS	V/C
Sunnyside Road/82 nd Avenue		1.15 1.04*
Harmony Road/Fuller Road	С	0.88
Sunnybrook Blvd/82 nd Avenue	С	0,88
Harmony Road/Linwood Ave	F	1.20
Hwy 224/Johnson Road	Е	1.11
Deer Creek Lane/82 nd Avenue	D	1.01
* Add 2 nd northbound, southbound and e	astbound left turr	lanes

Assumptions

Assumes all improvement projects identified for the no build scenario.

SE 82nd Avenue would be widened to a seven lane cross-section from Sunnybrook to the Highway 224 interchange.

 At the Sunnyside Road/SE 82nd Avenue intersection, the second westbound thru lane would convert to a second westbound left turn lane.

1,1

224

HARMONY ROAD ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

ALTERNATIVE 3

2030 No Build + SE 82nd Avenue With 7 Lanes + Removal of the Sunrise Corridor project+ Improved Connections to Hwy 224

 Key Findings
The removal of the Sunrise Corridor project would have limited impact on the travel patterns in the study area.
Highway 224 would require a 7 lane section between the between the SE 82 ^{no} Avenue ramps.
The 7 lane section on 82 ¹⁰ Avenue between Sunnybrook Blvd and Highway 224 and the double westbound left turn lanes at
Sunnyside Road/82 rd Avenue would not significantly change the travel demand on Harmony Road.
The Harmony Road/Linwood Avenue/Railroad Avenue intersection would operate with significant vehicle delay and queues.
The Harmony Road corridor would experience vehicle congestion due to numerous access points and future traffic demands.
Additional mitigation would be required at the Sunnyside Road/82 nd Avenue intersection.
Capacity improvements would be needed to accommodate future traffic demands on Highway 224 at Johnson Road.
Concentual Design
NOSTRE Californ B
Harmony
Lalie Sunnybrook

🗧 - New Signal

2030 Daily Traffic Volumes (yeh	icles/day)	2030 PM Pea	k Hour Ti
Harmony Road west of 82 nd Avenue	20,000	Intersection	
Harmony Road west of Fuller Road	23,500	Sunnyside Road/82 nd Avenue	е —
Harmony Road west of Linwood Avenue	19,500	Harmony Road/Fuller Road	
Sunnybrook Bivd west of 82 nd Avenue		Sunnybrook Blvd/82 nd Avenue	
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnyside Road	43,500	Harmony Road/Linwood Ave	
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnybrook Blvd	50,000	Hwy 224/Johnson Road	
Highway 224 west of Johnson Road	42,000	Hwy 224/82 nd Avenue NB Ramp	
		Hwy 224/82 nd Avenue SB Ramp	
		* Add 2 nd northbound, southbound ar	nd

Assumptions ي ميريني جوار توريخ م

- Assumes all improvement projects identified for the no build scenario except the Sunrise Corridor project. The Deer Creek Lane extension and the Deer Creek Lane/SE 82nd Avenue traffic signal are not assumed.
- SE 82nd Avenue would be widened to a seven lane cross-section from Sunnybrook to the southbound off-ramp and northbound on-ramp to Highway 224.
- The improved connections to Hwy 224 would widen the SE 82nd Avenue on-ramp and off-ramp to two lanes. SE 82nd Avenue would transition from seven lane north of the ramps to five lanes south of the ramps by dropping the outside southbound lane at the off-ramp and adding the outside northbound lane at the on-ramp. At the Sunnyside /SE 82nd intersection, the second westbound thru lane would convert to a second westbound left turn lane. Hwy 224 would widen to a seven lane cross-section between the SE 82nd Avenue ramps. The cross-section would include:

- o two left turn lanes and two through lanes in the eastbound direction
 - o one left turn lane and two through lanes in the westbound direction
- No sidewalks would be provided on SE 82nd Avenue south of Sunnybrook Boulevard.

HARMONY ROAD ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

ALTERNATIVE 4 2030 No Build+ SE 82nd Avenue With 7 Lanes + SE 84th Avenue Extension

Key Findings

- The 84th Avenue extension would not significantly change the travel demand on Harmony Road.
- The 84th Avenue extension would have a marginal benefit to traffic operations on 82nd Avenue.
- The 7 Jane section on 82nd Avenue between Sunnybrook Blvd and Highway 224 and the double westbound left turn Janes at Sunnyside Road/82nd Avenue would not significantly change the travel demand on Harmony Road.
- The Harmony Road/Linwood Avenue/Railroad Avenue intersection would operate with significant vehicle delay and queues,
- The Harmony Road corridor would experience vehicle congestion due to numerous access points and future traffic demands.
- Additional mitigation would be required at the Sunnyside Road/82nd Avenue intersection.
- Capacity improvements would be needed to accommodate future traffic demands on Highway 224 at Johnson Road.



* Add 2nd northbound, southbound and eastbound left turn lanes.

Assumptions

- Assumes all improvement projects identified for the no build scenario.
- = SE 82nd Avenue would be widened to a seven lane cross-section from Sunnybrook to the Highway 224 interchange.
- SE 84th Avenue extension would be added. This new roadway would extend from Sunnyside Road to the Deer Creek Lane/SE 82nd Avenue intersection. The SE 84th Avenue extension would be assumed as a three lane collector with a 30 mph speed limit. The alignment of SE 84th Avenue is to be determined.
- Deer Creek Lane would provide a four lane cross-section between SE 82nd Avenue and SE 84th Avenue.
- At the Sunnyside Road/SE 82nd Avenue intersection, the second westbound thru lane would convert to a second westbound left turn lane.
ALTERNATIVE 5

2030 No Build + SE 82nd Avenue With 7 Lanes + Harmony Road With 3 Lanes and Grade-Separated Railroad Crossing

 Key Findings Access management measures (such as driveway closure and consolidation) would be necessary on Harmony Road to maintain acceptable traffic flow. Unsignalized access points would experience difficulties due to limited gaps in the traffic stream. The 7 lane section on 82nd Avenue between Sunnybrook Bivd and Highway 224 and the double westbound left turn lanes at Sunnyside Road/82nd Avenue would not significantly change the travel demand on Harmony Road. Linwood Avenue would require a 5 lane section between Harmony Road and Railroad Avenue. Harmony Road would require a 4 lane section east of Linwood Avenue. Additional mitigation would be required at the Sunnyside Road/82nd Avenue intersection. A second northbound left turn lane would be required at the Deer Creek Lane/82nd Avenue intersection. Capacity improvements would be needed to accommodate future traffic demands on Highway 224 at Johnson Road. 					
Conceptual Design					
2030 Daily Traffic Volumes (vehi	cles/day)	2030 PM Peak Hour T	raffic Opera	tions	
Harmony Road west of 82nd Avenue	20,000	Intersection	LOS	V/C	
Harmony Road west of Fuller Road	23,000	Sunnyside Road/82 nd Avenue	F <u>E*</u>	1.16 <u>1</u> ,05*	
Harmony Road west of Linwood Avenue	20,000	Harmony Road/Fuller Road	С	0.89	
Sunnybrook Blvd west of 82 nd Avenue	-	Sunnybrook Blvd/82 nd Avenue	С	0.88	
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnyside Road	46,000	Harmony Road/Linwood Ave	E C**	1.04 0.73**	
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnybrook Blvd	59,500	Hwy 224/SE Johnson Road	F	1.22	
Highway 224 west of Johnson Road	43,000	Deer Creek Lane/82 nd Avenue	C	0.86	
		* Add 2 ^{ad} northbound, southbound and e ** Linwood with five lane section from H 4 lane section east of Linwood.	astbound left turn armony to Railroa	lanes. d. Harmony with	

Assumes all improvement projects identified for the no build scenario.

SE 82nd Avenue would be widened to a seven lane cross-section from Sunnybrook to the Highway 224 interchange.

- Harmony Road would be widened to a three lane cross-section from Fuller Road to Lake Road/International Way. East of Linwood Avenue, Harmony Road would be four lanes wide to accommodate two southbound left turn lanes from Linwood Avenue.
- At the Sunnyside Road/SE 82nd Avenue intersection, the second westbound thru lane would convert to a second westbound left turn lane.

* A grade-separated railroad crossing would be assumed on Harmony Road at the Linwood Avenue/Railroad Road intersection.

- Linwood Avenue would provide a five lane cross-section between Harmony Road and the realigned Railroad Avenue.

• The Lake Road/International Way/Harmony Road intersection would be relocated to the east to improve access spacing.

Access to Cedar Crest Drive from Harmony Road would be closed.

A traffic signal would be provided at the SE 67th Avenue/Harmony Road intersection.

ALTERNATIVE 6

2030 No Build + Harmony Road With 3 Lanes and Grade-Separated Railroad Crossing

Key Findings

- Access management measures (such as driveway closure and consolidation) would be necessary on Harmony Road to maintain acceptable traffic flow. Unsignalized access points would experience difficulties due to limited gaps in the traffic stream.
- Linwood Avenue would require a 5 lane section between Harmony Road and Railroad Avenue. Harmony Road would require a 4 lane section east of Linwood Avenue.
- Additional mitigation would be required at the Sunnyside Road/82nd Avenue intersection.
- A second northbound left turn lane would be required at the Deer Creek Lane/82nd Avenue intersection.
- Capacity improvements would be needed to accommodate future traffic demands on Highway 224 at Johnson Road.



2030 Daily Traffic Volumes (vehi	cles/day]
Harmony Road west of 82 nd Avenue	20,000
Harmony Road west of Fuller Road	24,000
Harmony Road west of Linwood Avenue	20,000
Sunnybrook Blvd west of 82 nd Avenue	-
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnyside Road	45,000
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnybrook Blvd	57,000
Highway 224 west of Johnson Road	43,000
	···
1	

2030 PM Peak Hour Tr	affic.Opera	tions
Intersection	LOS	V/C
Sunnyside Road/82 nd Avenue	F D*	1.33 0,95*
Harmony Road/Fuller Road	D	0.93
Sunnybrook Blvd/82 nd Avenue	D	0.99
Harmony Road/Linwood Ave	E C***	1.04 0.74***
Hwy 224/SE Johnson Road	F	1.21
Deer Creek Lane/82 nd Avenue	D C**	1,05 0.94**
* Add 2 nd left turn lane to all approaches.		

** Add 2nd northbound left turn lane.

*** Linwood with five lane section from Harmony to Railroad. Harmony with 4 lane section east of Linwood.

1.1.1

- Assumes all improvement projects identified for the no build scenario.
- Harmony Road would be widened to a three lane cross-section from Fuller Road to Lake Road/International Way. East of Linwood Avenue, Harmony Road would be four lanes wide to accommodate two southbound left turn lanes from Linwood Avenue.
- A grade-separated railroad crossing would be assumed on Harmony Road at the Linwood Avenue/Railroad Road Intersection.
- Linwood Avenue would provide a five lane cross-section between Harmony Road and the realigned Railroad Avenue.
- The Lake Road/International Way/Harmony Road intersection would be relocated to the east to improve access spacing.
- Access to Cedar Crest Drive from Harmony Road would be closed.
- A traffic signal would be provided at the SE 67th Avenue/Harmony Road intersection.

ALTERNATIVE 7

2030 No Build + Harmony Road With 5 Lanes and Grade-Separated Railroad Crossing

Key Findings

- The additional capacity on Harmony Road would increase traffic volumes 2,000 to 3,000 vehicles per day in the year 2030.
- Linwood Avenue would require a 5 lane section between Harmony Road and Railroad Avenue.
- A second northbound left turn lane would be required at the Deer Creek Lane/82nd Avenue intersection.
- Capacity improvements would be needed to accommodate future traffic demands on Highway 224 at Johnson Road.



2030 Daily Traffic Volumes (vehicles/day)		2030 PM Peak Hour Traffic Operations		
Harmony Road west of 82 nd Avenue	22,000	Intersection	LOS	V/C
Harmony Road west of Fuller Road	26,000	Sunnyside Road/82 nd Avenue	E	1.02
Harmony Road west of Linwood Avenue	22,500	Harmony Road/Fuller Road	С	0.71
Sunnybrook Blvd west of 82 nd Avenue	-	Sunnybrook Blvd/82 nd Avenue	D	0.98
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnyside Road	45,500	Harmony Road/Linwood Ave	C**	0.67*
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnybrook Blvd	56,000	Hwy 224/SE Johnson Road	F	1.21
Highway 224 west of Johnson Road	42,000	Deer Creek Lane/82 nd Avenue	D C*	1.05
		* Add 2nd northbound left turn lane ** Linwood with five lane section from	Harmony to Railro	ad

- Assumes all improvement projects identified for the no build scenario.
- Harmony Road would be widened to a five lane cross-section with access management measures from SE 82nd Avenue to Lake . Road/International Way.

- A grade-separated railroad crossing would be assumed on Harmony Road at the Linwood Avenue/Railroad Road intersection. in.
- Linwood Avenue would provide a five lane cross-section between Harmony Road and the realigned Railroad Avenue. ÷.
- The Lake Road/International Way/Harmony Road intersection would be relocated to the east to improve access spacing. .
- a
- Access to Cedar Crest Drive from Harmony Road would be closed. At the Sunnyside Road/SE 82nd Avenue intersection, all approaches would have double left turn lanes. ы
- A traffic signal would be provided at the SE 67th Avenue/Harmony Road intersection. П

ALTERNATIVE 8

2030 No Build + Harmony Road With 5 Lanes + Sunnybrook Extension With 3 Lanes and Grade-Separated Railroad Crossing

Key Findings

- Five lane Harmony Road between 82nd Ave and Fuller Rd would not be required with the Sunnybrook extension.
- The additional capacity on Harmony Road would increase traffic volumes 2,000 to 3,000 vehicles per day in the year 2030.
- Linwood Avenue would require a 5 lane section between Harmony Road and Railroad Avenue.
- Additional analysis or mitigation would be needed at the Sunnybrook Boulevard/82nd Avenue intersection.
- A second northbound left turn lane would be required at the Deer Creek Lane/82nd Avenue intersection.
- Capacity improvements would be needed to accommodate future traffic demands on Highway 224 at Johnson Road.



2030 Daily Traffic Volumes (veh	icles/day)
Harmony Road west of 82 nd Avenue	15,000
Harmony Road west of Fuller Road	29,500
Harmony Road west of Linwood Avenue	24,500
Sunnybrook Blvd west of 82 nd Avenue	15,000
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnyside Road	41,000
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnybrook Blvd	56,500
Highway 224 west of Johnson Road	42,000

2030 PM Peak Hour Tr	affic Operat	lions
Intersection	LOS	V/C
Sunnyside Road/82 nd Avenue	D	0.94
Harmony Road/Fuller Road	С	-
Sunnybrook Blvd/82 nd Avenue	F E*	1.55 1.10*
Harmony Road/Linwood Ave	C***	0.74***
Hwy 224/SE Johnson Road	F	1.22
Deer Creek Lane/82 nd Avenue	D C**	1.07 0.94**

* Add 2^{nd} eastbound through lane and 2^{nd} westbound left turn lane ** Add 2nd northbound left turn lane

*** Linwood with five lane section from Harmony to Railroad

- Assumes all improvement projects identified for the no build scenario.
- Harmony Road would be widened to a five lane cross-section with access management measures from SE 82nd Avenue to Fuller Road.
- Harmony Road would be widened to a five lane cross-section with access management measures from Fuller Road to Lake Road/International Way.
- A grade-separated railroad crossing would be assumed on Harmony Road at the Linwood Avenue/Railroad Road intersection.
- Linwood Avenue would provide a five lane cross-section between Harmony Road and the realigned Railroad Avenue.
- The Sunnybrook extension would be added from Fuller Road/Harmony Road to Sunnybrook Blvd/SE 82nd Avenue. The Sunnybrook extension would be assumed as a three lane collector with a 35 mph speed limit.
- The Sunnybrook Boulevard/Fuller Road/Harmony Road intersection would be controlled by a two-lane roundabout.
- The Lake Road/International Way/Harmony Road intersection would be relocated to the east to improve access spacing.
- Access to Cedar Crest Drive from Harmony Road would be closed.
- At the Sunnyside Road/SE 82nd Avenue intersection, all approaches would have double left turn lanes.
- A traffic signal would be provided at the SE 67th Avenue/Harmony Road intersection.

ALTERNATIVE 9

2030 No Build + Harmony Road With 3/5 Lanes + Sunnybrook Extension With 3 Lanes and Grade-Separated Railroad Crossing

Key Findings Access management measures (driveway closure/consolidation) would be needed on the Harmony Road 3 lane section to maintain acceptable traffic flow. Unsignalized access points would experience difficulties due to limited gaps in the traffic stream.

- Linwood Avenue would require a 5 lane section between Harmony Road and Railroad Avenue.
- Additional analysis or mitigation would be needed at the Sunnybrook Boulevard/82nd Avenue intersection.
- A second northbound left turn lane would be required at the Deer Creek Lane/82nd Avenue intersection.
- Capacity improvements would be needed to accommodate future traffic demands on Highway 224 at Johnson Road.



2030 Daily Traffic Volumes (vehi	çles/day)
Harmony Road west of 82 nd Avenue	13,000
Harmony Road west of Fuller Road	29,000
Harmony Road west of Linwood Avenue	24,000
Sunnybrook Blvd west of 82 nd Avenue	16,000
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnyside Road	40,000
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnybrook Blvd	56,000
Highway 224 west of Johnson Road	42,000

2030 PM Peak Hour Tr	affic Operat	tions
Intersection	LOS	V/C
Sunnyside Road/82 nd Avenue	D	0.94
Harmony Road/Fuller Road	С	-
Sunnybrook Blvd/82 nd Avenue	F E*	1.55 1.10*
Harmony Road/Linwood Ave	C***	0.74***
Hwy 224/SE Johnson Road	F	1.22
Deer Creek Lane/82 nd Avenue	D C**	1.07 0.94**
* Add 2nd eastbound through lane and s	econd westbound	left turn lane

* Add 2nd eastbound through lane and second westbound left turn lane ** Add 2nd northbound left turn lane *** Linuxond with Suc lane conting from Magmany to Bailmad

*** Linwood with five lane section from Harmony to Railroad

- Assumes all improvement projects identified for the no build scenario.
- Harmony Road would be widened to a three lane cross-section from SE 82nd Avenue to Fuller Road.
- Harmony Road would be widened to a five lane cross-section with access management measures from Fuller Road to Lake Road/International Way.
- * A grade-separated railroad crossing would be assumed on Harmony Road at the Linwood Avenue/Railroad Road intersection.
- Linwood Avenue would provide a five lane cross-section between Harmony Road and the realigned Railroad Avenue.
- The Sunnybrook extension would be added from Fuller Road/Harmony Road to Sunnybrook Blvd/SE 82nd Avenue. The Sunnybrook extension would be assumed as a three lane collector with a 35 mph speed limit.
- The Sunnybrook Boulevard/Fuller Road/Harmony Road intersection would be controlled by a two-lane roundabout.
- The Lake Road/International Way/Harmony Road intersection would be relocated to the east to improve access spacing.
- Access to Cedar Crest Drive from Harmony Road would be closed.
- At the Sunnyside Road/SE 82nd Avenue intersection, all approaches would have double left turn lanes.
- A traffic signal would be provided at the SE 67th Avenue/Harmony Road intersection.

ALTERNATIVE 10

2030 No Build + Harmony Road With 3 Lanes + Sunnybrook Extension With 3 Lanes and Grade-Separated Railroad Crossing

Key Findings

- Access management measures (driveway closure/consolidation) would be necessary on Harmony Road to maintain acceptable traffic flow. Unsignalized access points would experience difficulties due to limited gaps in the traffic stream.
- Linwood Avenue would require a 5 lane section between Harmony Road and Railroad Avenue. Harmony Road would require a 4 lane section east of Linwood Avenue.
- Additional analysis or mitigation would be needed at the Sunnybrook Boulevard/82nd Avenue intersection.
- A second northbound left turn lane would be required at the Deer Creek Lane/82nd Avenue intersection.
- Capacity improvements would be needed to accommodate future traffic demands on Highway 224 at Johnson Road.



2030 Daily Traffic Volumes (vehi	cles/day)	2030 PM Peak Hour T	raffic Opera	tions
Harmony Road west of 82 nd Avenue	14,500	Intersection	LOS	V/C
Harmony `Road west of Fuller Road	26,000	Sunnyside Road/82 nd Avenue	D	0.95
Harmony Road west of Linwood Avenue	20,000	Harmony Road/Fuller Road	С	~
Sunnybrook Blvd west of 82 nd Avenue	12,500	Sunnybrook Blvd/82 nd Avenue	F E*	1.45 1.04*
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnyside Road	41,000	Harmony Road/Linwood Ave	E C***	1,12 0,74***
82 nd Avenue south of Sunnybrook Blvd	57,000	Hwy 224/SE Johnson Road	F	1.21
Highway 224 west of Johnson Road	42,000	Deer Creek Lane/82 nd Avenue	D C**	1.07 0.95**
		* Add 2nd eastbound through lane and ** Add 2nd northbound left turn lane *** Linwood with five lane section from with 4 lane section east of Linwood.	second westbound	l left turn lane bad. Harmony

- Assumes all improvement projects identified for the no build scenario.
- Harmony Road would be widened to a three lane cross-section from SE 82nd Avenue to Fuller Road.
- Harmony Road would be widened to a three lane cross-section from Fuller Road to Lake Road/International Way. East of Linwood Avenue, Harmony Road would be four lanes wide to accommodate two southbound left turn lanes from Linwood Ave.
- A grade-separated railroad crossing would be assumed on Harmony Road at the Linwood Avenue/Railroad Road intersection.
- Linwood Avenue would provide a five lane cross-section between Harmony Road and the realigned Railroad Avenue.
- The Sunnybrook extension would be added from Fuller Road/Harmony Road to Sunnybrook Blvd/SE 82nd Avenue. The Sunnybrook extension would be assumed as a three lane collector with a 35 mph speed limit.
- The Sunnybrook Boulevard/Fuller Road/Harmony Road intersection would be controlled by a two-lane roundabout.
- The Lake Road/International Way/Harmony Road intersection would be relocated to the east to improve access spacing.
- Access to Cedar Crest Drive from Harmony Road would be closed.
- At the Sunnyside Road/SE 82nd Avenue intersection, all approaches would have double left turn lanes.
- A traffic signal would be provided at the SE 67th Avenue/Harmony Road intersection.

GLOSSARY

LOS

The concept of level-of-service (LOS) has been developed to correlate traffic volume data to subjective descriptions of traffic performance at intersections. Intersections are the controlling bottlenecks of traffic flow, and the ability of a roadway system to carry traffic efficiently is nearly always diminished in their vicinity.

An intersection's LOS is similar to a "report card" rating, based on average vehicle delay. LOS A, B and C indicate conditions where vehicles can move freely. LOS D and E are progressively worse. For signalized intersections, LOS F represents conditions where the average delay for all vehicles through the intersection exceeds 80 seconds per vehicle, generally indicated by long queues and delays. Under this operating condition, delay is highly variable, and it is difficult to estimate average stopped vehicle delay accurately because congestion often extends into adjacent intersection affecting operation.

V/C

The volume to capacity ratio (V/C) is used as a measure of effectiveness for signalized and unsignalized intersection operation. The V/C is calculated by dividing the volume entering the intersection by the total capacity (maximum volume the intersection could serve). The V/C describes the amount of intersection capacity that is utilized by the volume. For example, a 0.85 V/C represents intersection volumes consuming 85% of the available capacity at that intersection. A V/C of 1.0 suggests there is no available capacity at that intersection and not one more vehicle could be accommodated.

Daily Traffic Volumes

The forecasted 2030 daily traffic volumes for the selected roadway sections are based on forecasted 2030 PM peak hour volumes from the Metro travel demand model. Existing PM peak hour traffic volumes were compared to existing daily traffic volumes to estimate the appropriate volume relationship in the study area. Existing traffic count data found the daily traffic volumes were approximately 11 times higher than the PM peak hour. This relationship was assumed to continue in the 2030 forecast year. Therefore, forecasted 2030 PM peak hour volumes were factored by 11 to represent 2030 daily traffic volumes.

-1

Preliminary Landscape Plan (West)













Sunnybrook West Extension



Preliminary Landscape Plan (East)







Sunnybrook West Extension



Transportation Benefits

PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE

- 82nd provides poor pedestrian and bike environment
- Alternative route to 82nd and Harmony
 Avoid 82nd widening (Sunnyside to Sunnybrook) and longer crosswalks
 Promotes grid street network for all modes
- Provides a multi-modal facility with an enhanced pedestrian and bicycle environment





Potential Landscape Cross Sections





Sunnybrook West Extension











Galaxy Magnolia







Golden Desert Ash





Raywood Ash



Oregon White Oak







Sunnybrook West Extension

Landscape Tree Options

Bowhall Maple

Flowering Crabapple



















Sunnybrook West Extension



Tree Canopy



SunnybrookWest Extension



SE Sunnybrook Blvd EB : SE 82nd Ave and SE Sunnyside Rd



Change in total travel time through corridor from 15:00 to 18:00 Based on average of 20 simulated days of travel time

Time

SE Sunnybrook Blvd WB : SE Sunnyside Rd and SE 82nd Ave

Change in total travel time through corridor from 15:00 to 18:00 Based on average of 20 simulated days of travel time



Change in total travel time through corridor from 15:00 to 18:00 Based on average of 20 simulated days of travel time 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 No Build* Alt 2 - Sunnybrook extension and Linwood/Railroad improvements 2 Alt 3 - Harmony (82nd to Fuller) and 82nd widening and Linwood/Railroad improvements Alt 4 - Sunnybrook extension and no Harmony improvements* 1 Alt 5 - Harmony widening (82nd to Hwy 224) and Linwood/Railroad improvements Alt 6 - Sunnybrook extension and Harmony widening (Fuller to Hwy 224) and Linwood/Railroad improvements Existing (2010) 0 Т 15:00 15:30 16:00 16:30 17:00 17:30 18:00

SE Harmony Rd EB : Hwy 224 and SE 82nd Ave

Time

SE Harmony Rd WB : SE 82nd Ave and Hwy 224

Change in total travel time through corridor from 15:00 to 18:00 Based on average of 20 simulated days of travel time





Total corridor travel time (min)



SE Sunnyside Rd EB : SE 82nd Ave and SE Sunnybrook Blvd



Time

SE Sunnyside Rd WB : SE Sunnybrook Blvd and SE 82nd Ave







Total corridor travel time (min)

SE Fuller Rd NB : SE Harmony Rd and SE King Rd

Change in total travel time through corridor from 15:00 to 18:00

Based on average of 20 simulated days of travel time



Time

SE Fuller Rd SB : SE King Rd and SE Harmony Rd

Change in total travel time through corridor from 15:00 to 18:00 Based on average of 20 simulated days of travel time



SE Johnson Creek Blvd EB : SE 45th Pl and I–205





Time

SE Johnson Creek Blvd WB : I–205 and SE 45th PI

Change in total travel time through corridor from 15:00 to 18:00 Based on average of 20 simulated days of travel time



Total corridor travel time (min)

SE 82nd Ave NB : Hwy 224 and SE Johnson Creek Blvd

Change in total travel time through corridor from 15:00 to 18:00 Based on average of 20 simulated days of travel time



Time

SE 82nd Ave SB : SE Johnson Creek Blvd and Hwy 224





I-205 NB : Clackamas / 82nd Dr and SE Woodstock / Foster Blvd



Time

I-205 SB : SE Woodstock / Foster Blvd and Clackamas / 82nd Dr





Change in total travel time through corridor from 15:00 to 18:00 Based on average of 20 simulated days of travel time



Hwy 224 EB : Hwy 99E and I-205



Time

Hwy 224 WB : I-205 and Hwy 99E

Change in total travel time through corridor from 15:00 to 18:00 Based on average of 20 simulated days of travel time







Time







Time







Time

DynusT corridor length: 1.27 mi





Time

DynusT corridor length: 1.27 mi





Time













Total corridor travel time (min)

Time

DynusT corridor length: 1.27 mi





Total corridor travel time (min)

Time

DynusT corridor length: 1.27 mi





Time







Time















Total corridor travel time (min)





No Build*



Max speed : 32.2 mph Min speed : 5.7 mph

Alt 2 – Sunnybrook extend / RR improve



Max speed : 31.7 mph Min speed : 5.7 mph

Alt 3 – Harmony (82nd–Fuller) and 82nd widen / RR improve



Max speed : 31.6 mph Min speed : 5.7 mph
Alt 4 – Sunnybrook extend and no Harmony widen*



Alt 5 – Harmony widen (82nd–Hwy 224) / RR improve



Alt 6 – Sunnybrook ext / Harmony widen (Fuller-224) / RR







Alt 3 – Harmony (82nd–Fuller) and 82nd widen / RR improve



Alt 4 – Sunnybrook extend and no Harmony widen*



Alt 5 – Harmony widen (82nd–Hwy 224) / RR improve



Alt 6 – Sunnybrook ext / Harmony widen (Fuller-224) / RR



No Build*



Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 148.6 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 3, representing 59.5% of total lane mile hours

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed



Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 113.1 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 2.5, representing 46.9% of total lane mile hours

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed

Alt 3 – Harmony (82nd–Fuller) and 82nd widen / RR improve



Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 133.3 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 3, representing 46.7% of total lane mile hours

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed

Alt 4 – Sunnybrook extend and no Harmony widen*



Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 91.9 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 2.4, representing 46.7% of total lane mile hours

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed

Alt 5 – Harmony widen (82nd–Hwy 224) / RR improve



Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 84.5 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 3.5, representing 39.9% of total lane mile hours

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed

Alt 6 – Sunnybrook ext / Harmony widen (Fuller-224) / RR



Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 44.6 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 1.5, representing 18.7% of total lane mile hours

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed



Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 47.4 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 1.6, representing 34.2% of total lane mile hours

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed



Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 25.7 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 1.1, representing 22.5% of total lane mile hours

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed

Alt 3 – Harmony (82nd–Fuller) and 82nd widen / RR improve



Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 27.9 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 1.4, representing 23.8% of total lane mile hours

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed



Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 39 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 1.3, representing 26.1% of total lane mile hours

Alt 4 – Sunnybrook extend and no Harmony widen*

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed

Alt 5 – Harmony widen (82nd–Hwy 224) / RR improve



Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 22.2 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 1.4, representing 16.9% of total lane mile hours

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed



Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 19.4 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 1.1, representing 15% of total lane mile hours

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed

	Northbound			Southbound		
Alternative	5 th Percentile	Average	95 ^{\\\} Percentile	5 th Percentile	Average	95''' Percentile
Existing*	3.5	3.2	2.8	6.6	4.4	3.5
NoBuild*	4.1	3.4	2.9	8.9	5.6	3.8
2	3.7	3.3	2.9	5.5	3.7	2.9
3	3.7	3.3	2.8	6.3	4.5	3.5
4*	3.7	3.3	2.8	5.8	4.1	3.2
5	3.7	3.3	2.8	5.9	4.4	3.5
6	3.8	3.3	2.9	4.3	3.5	2.9

Average 5pm-6pm Travel Times (minutes) along Fuller (King to Harmony)

* indicates at-grade railroad crossing (not modeled)

Alternative	Northbound			Southbound		
	5 th Percentile	Average	95 Percentile	5 th Percentile	Average	95 Percentile
Existing*	21.7	24.0	27.4	11.6	17.3	22.0
NoBuild*	18.8	22.7	26.6	8.5	13.7	19.9
2	20.8	23.1	26.6	14.0	20.6	26.0
3	20.8	23.3	26.8	12.1	16.9	21.6
4*	20.5	23.0	26.8	13.2	18.7	24.0
5	20.8	23.3	26.8	12.9	17.3	22.0
6	19.9	23.0	26.6	17.5	21.5	26.1

Average 5pm-6pm Speed (mph) along Fuller (King to Harmony)

* indicates at-grade railroad crossing (not modeled)









Time







DynusT corridor length: 1.1 mi





Time







DynusT corridor length: 1.1 mi











Alt 2 – Sunnybrook extend / RR improve



Max speed : 23.4 mph Min speed : 18.2 mph

Alt 3 – Harmony (82nd–Fuller) and 82nd widen / RR improve



Max speed : 23.5 mph Min speed : 12.4 mph

Alt 4 – Sunnybrook extend and no Harmony widen*



Max speed : 23.3 mph Min speed : 17 mph

Alt 5 – Harmony widen (82nd–Hwy 224) / RR improve



Alt 6 – Sunnybrook ext / Harmony widen (Fuller-224) / RR





Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 42.7 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 1.9, representing 52.2% of total lane mile hours

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed

Alt 2 – Sunnybrook extend / RR improve SE Fuller Rd SB between SE King Rd and SE Harmony Rd Space-time diagram of congestion from 15:00 to 18:00 SE King Rd 0 0.07 SE 79th Ave connection 0.19 -SE Monroe St Not Congested 0.46 SE Causey Ave 0.65 SE Monterey Ave Congested 1.08 SE Harmony Rd Τ Т 16:30 15:00 15:30 16:00 17:00 17:30

Location (miles)

Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 5.3 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 0.2, representing 6.7% of total lane mile hours

Time

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed
Alt 3 – Harmony (82nd–Fuller) and 82nd widen / RR improve



Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 16.2 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 1.2, representing 28.9% of total lane mile hours

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed

Alt 4 – Sunnybrook extend and no Harmony widen*



Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 13.5 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 0.5, representing 15.1% of total lane mile hours

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed

Alt 5 – Harmony widen (82nd–Hwy 224) / RR improve



Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 10.8 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 1, representing 24.1% of total lane mile hours

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed

Alt 6 – Sunnybrook ext / Harmony widen (Fuller-224) / RR



Total corridor vehicle hours of delay related to congestion: 1.2 Total corridor congested lane mile hours: 0.1, representing 1.6% of total lane mile hours

Congestion defined as actual speed <= 60% of free flow speed