TSP Policies - Document E, Urban Roads and Travel

INTRODUCTION

This document provides an overview of current policies regarding urban roads in the Clackamas County Comprehensive Plan and staff recommendations for revising those policies and creating new policies. The staff recommendations are based on review of the existing County Comprehensive Plan – Chapter 5, State Transportation Planning Rule (TPR), Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and TSP Vision, Goals and Objectives.

Key Questions (Draft)

- 1. Should the Comprehensive Plan broadly define the transportation improvements that are permitted uses in the Zoning and Development Ordinance? (Policy 130)
- 2. Should Policy 136, which addresses improvements required as a result of development, be divided into two parts to address on and off site improvements?
- 3. How specific should the County policies be on the adoption / integration of the Metro Green Streets standards as required by the Regional Transportation Functional Plan? (Policies 146 and 147)
- 4. Should the Comprehensive Plan continue to support the implementation of the eastern portion of the Sunrise Corridor (east of 172nd Avenue)? (Policies 149 A, B, C and D)
- 5. Are there additional policies that need to be in this document?

The Working Group Issues column in the following table identifies similar rural policies that were previously discussed and policies which may be applicable in both the rural and the urban areas.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

olicie	S	2
	Building Urban Roads	2
	Improvements to Serve Development	3
	Urban Road Functional Class	5
	Regional Design Types	5
	Urban Roadway Standards	5
	Green Streets	6
	Needed Roadway Improvement	6
	Other Urban Road Topics	6

Working Group Issues Definitions

- O= Overarching
- R = Regulatory (in County Code)
- M = Mandated (OAR, RTFP, etc)
- P = Program / agency

TSP Policies - Urban Roads and Travel

ID #	Current Location in Comp Plan	Current Policy	Staff Recommendations (proposed changes in red)	Working Group Issues
		Building Urban Roads		
130	Building Roads 36.0	Streets and roads are an allowed use in all zoning districts. All state and County policies relating to roads shall be considered when widening or constructing new roads.	Streets, and road alleys, bikeways, pedestrian facilities, multiuse paths, trails, and transit stops are allowed uses in all urban zoning districts. All state and County policies relating to roads-these facilities shall be considered when widening improving or constructing new roads transportation infrastructure.	May apply in urban & rural
131	Efficiency and Finance 1.0	Consider strategies for using the existing road system and its capacity most efficiently before building new roads or adding new capacity to existing roads. Transportation System Management techniques are a set of strategies that shall be used to make roadways operate more efficiently. Transportation System Management (TSM) strategies include; • Access Management • Alternative/Modified Standards (Performance and/or Design Standards) • Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) applications • Operational Improvements • Parking Standards.	Consider strategies for using the existing road system (including pedestrian and bike facilities associated with that system) and its capacity most efficiently before building new roads or adding new capacity to existing roads. Transportation System Management (TSM) techniques are strategies that shall be used to make roadways operate more efficiently. Transportation System Management (TSM) strategies include: Access management Alternative/modified standards (performance and/or design standards) Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) applications Operational improvements Parking standards Enhanced bike and pedestrian facilities Road diet	R P O Rural # 50

ID	Current	Current Policy	Staff Recommendations	Working
#	Location in		(proposed changes in red)	Group
	Comp Plan			Issues
		Building Urban Roads		
132	Functional	Limit zone change approvals to those that will not	Limit zone change approvals to those that will	R
	Classifications	require a roadway as planned in the Capital	not require a roadway as planned in the Capital	М
	& Roadway	Improvement Plan to be redesigned or increased to a	Improvement Plan to be redesigned or increased	0
	Standards	higher functional classification in order to maintain the	to a higher functional classification in order to	
	11.0	minimum acceptable performance evaluation Level.	maintain the minimum acceptable performance	Rural
		State transportation facilities shall be evaluated	evaluation level. State transportation facilities	#77
		according to the Oregon Highway Plan.	shall be evaluated according to the Oregon	
			Highway Plan, Regional Transportation Plan,	
			Transportation Planning Rule and other	
			applicable state requirements.	
133	New		Ensure that all Transportation Demand	0
			Management (TDM) programs are appropriate	May apply
			for all Clackamas County residents, including	in urban
			transportation disadvantaged populations.	and rural
134	New		The County's Transportation Demand	<u>o</u>
			Management (TDM) techniques shall include	
			education, encouragement and enforcement	Rural
			programs designed to increase efficient use of	# 53
			existing transportation infrastructure and to	
			minimize congestion and safety concerns by	
			influencing people's choice of mode, route and	
			time.	
		Improvements to Serve Development		
135	New		Rights-of-way for urban arterials and collectors	R
			shall be adequate to accommodate all required	
			road improvements including bikeways,	
			pedestrian facilities and drainage facilities.	

ID#	Current Location in Comp Plan	Current Policy	Staff Recommendations (proposed changes in red)	Working Group Issues
		Improvements to Serve Development		
136 A	Improvements to Serve Development 17.0	Require right-of-way dedication, on-site improvements to the applicable roadway standard as shown on Tables V-2 and V-3, and off-site improvements for new developments and land divisions necessary to handle expected traffic loads and travel by alternative modes. Where roadway standards are adopted by the County in Special Transportation Plans, those standards shall apply.	Require right-of-way dedication and on-site improvements to the applicable roadway standard as shown on Tables V-2 and V-3, and off-site improvements for new developments and land divisions necessary to handle expected traffic loads and travel by alternative active modes.	R O Rural # 54 # 57
136 D	Improvements to Serve Development 17.0	Should we split Policy 136 into two policies	Require off-site improvements to address expected traffic increases resulting from new developments and land divisions necessary to handle expected traffic loads and travel by alternative active modes.	
137	Improvements to Serve Development 18.0	Require development to be served by adequate roadway facilities.	Require development to be served by adequate transportation roadway facilities that provide safe access for all modes.	O Rural # 55
138 -	Improvements to Serve Development 19.0	Require implementation of a local street network for undeveloped sites illustrated on Map V-4. Existing streets shall be extended to provide a direct, connected street system.	No change This map should be updated to reflect the requirements of the RTFP and to reflect any relevant annexations or development.	R M

ID#	Current Location in Comp Plan	Current Policy Improvements to Serve Development	Staff Recommendations (proposed changes in red)	Working Group Issues
139	Improvements to Serve Development 23.0	Where appropriate, develop and implement neighborhood traffic circulation plans intended to improve circulation while minimizing neighborhood disruption and environmental problems.	Where appropriate in urban areas, develop and implement neighborhood traffic circulation plans intended to improve circulation for all modes while minimizing safety concerns and exposure to air and noise pollution.	O R May apply in urban & rural Similar to Rural #81
		Urban Functional Classifications		
140	Functional Classifications & Roadway Standards 9.0	Designate and develop roadways according to the functional classifications and guidelines listed in Tables V-2 and V-3 while allowing flexibility to accommodate characteristics of terrain, scenic qualities, existing development, and adopted Special Transportation	No change Review the maps and tables to identify any needed changes.	R O Rural # 63
141	Functional Classifications & Roadway Standards 10.0	Plans. Designate freeways, arterials, collectors and connectors as shown on Maps V-2a and V-2b. Roadways that do not presently exist but are shown on these maps are shown in approximate locations.	No change- Review the maps and tables to identify any needed changes.	R O Rural # 64
		Regional Design Types		
142	Efficiency and Finance 4.0	Preserve as much as possible the efficient function of the regional roadway system in development of any new roads.	Preserve as much as possible the efficient function of the regional transportation roadway system in development of any new roads.	O May apply in urban and rural
143	New		Coordinate with Metro and local governments in implementing the Regional Transportation Functional Plan, Urban Growth Management Functional Plan, and local transportation plans.	May apply in urban and rural

ID#	Current Location in Comp Plan	Current Policy Urban Roadway Standards	Staff Recommendations (proposed changes in red)	Working Group Issues
144	Functional Classifications & Roadway Standards 13.0	Design arterials and collectors to allow safe and convenient passage of buses in urban areas and, where necessary, rural areas.	Design arterials and collectors to allow safe and convenient passage of buses, bicycles and pedestrians in urban areas and, where necessary, rural areas.	O R May apply in urban and rural
145	Special Transportation Plans 1.0	The SE 172ndAvenue/ SE190th Drive Corridor Management Plan is adopted by reference as part of Chapter 5 of the Clackamas County Comprehensive Plan.	No change	0
		Green Streets		
146		New	Support the use of low impact development applications (i.e. green streets) to minimize the cost and impacts of managing storm water.	R
147		New	Integrate Metro's alternative street standards with the County Road Standards	R
		Needed Roadway Improvement		
148	Needed Roadway Improvement 7.0	Fund and build the roadway improvement projects needed to accommodate and appropriately manage future traffic demands for the next 20 years. The list of these projects follows as Table V-1. Maps illustrating their locations are included as Maps V-1a and V-1b.	Fund and build the <u>transportation</u> improvement projects needed to accommodate and appropriately manage future demands <u>of all modes</u> for the next 20 years. The list of these projects follows as Table V-1. Maps illustrating their locations are included as Maps V-1a and V-1b.	R O Rural # 70

ID #	Current Location in	Current Policy	Staff Recommendations (proposed changes in red)	Working Group
	Comp Plan		(proposed ununges in rea)	Issues
	•	Needed Roadway Improvement		
149	Needed	Designate the Sunrise Corridor along a new alignment	Support the implementation of the Sunrise	R
Α	Roadway	of Highway 212 in rural Clackamas County as a future	Project, as defined in the Sunrise Project; and I-	
	Improvement	planned highway corridor.	205 to Rock Creek Junction, as defined in the	Rural
	7.1		Sunrise Project FEIS and designated as a regional	# 71
			principal arterial in the Regional Transportation	
			Plan.	
			Identify the Designate the Sunrise <u>Corridor</u>	
			(parallel to Highway 212, between 172 nd Avenue	
			and US 26) along a new alignment of Highway	
			212 in rural Clackamas County as a future	
			planned highway corridor.	
149	ODOT Proposed		Maintain Highway 212 east of 172nd in urban	
В	Revision		and rural Clackamas County as the state highway	
			corridor in the current alignment.	
149	ODOT Proposed		Look for opportunities to achieve a four lane	
С	<u>Revision</u>		cross-section with a center median in urban	
			portions of Highway 212 east of 172nd as part of	
			land development, and otherwise recognize	
			improvements will be a local responsibility	
149	ODOT Proposed		Work with area local governments to establish	
D	Revision		an arterial street network consistent with	
			regional arterial street spacing policy to provide	
			access and distribute trips.	
150	New		The County shall create a fee in lieu of a new	
			transportation facility construction program that	
			may be used in the land development process to	
			allow developers to pay for all on- and off-site	
			transportation system facilities required as part	
			of the land development process.	

ID #	Current Location in Comp Plan	Current Policy	Staff Recommendations (proposed changes in red)	Working Group Issues
		Other Urban Road Topics		
151	Efficiency and Finance 6.0	Coordinate with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) in implementing the Oregon Transportation Plan (OTP), Oregon Highway Plan (OHP), Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), and with other state, local and regional jurisdictions in their roadway planning efforts.	Coordinate with the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) in implementing the Oregon Transportation Plan (OTP), Oregon Highway Plan (OHP), and Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), and other state transportation planning policies, guidelines and programs.	O M
152	Needed Roadway Improvements 8.0	Maintain a current and complete 5-year Capital Improvement Program. It shall contain needed future transportation projects in priority order, with estimated costs and assigned responsibility for funding. It should be updated and adopted periodically by the Board of County Commissioners	No change	R M O May apply in urban and rural
153	Improvements to Serve Development 25.0	Discourage through trips on local, connector and collector roadways.	In the urban area, discourage motor vehicle through trips on local, connector and collector roads, and encourage bicycle and pedestrian travel on these roads.	O Rural # 82
154	Improvements to Serve Development 26.0	Develop neighborhood traffic calming policies that will enable the County to address inappropriate travel patterns and speeds.	Develop and implement neighborhood traffic calming strategies that will improve the safety and convenience of travel by all modes, particularly in areas with high crash rates and high rates of bicycle and/or pedestrian activity	0
155	New		<u>Develop and implement a Collector Traffic</u> <u>Calming Program in the urban area.</u>	R
156	Improvements to Serve Development 27.0	Allow flexible roadway criteria and standards for local streets that are less than 200 feet in length, are expected to carry very low traffic volumes, and are not capable of being extended.	In urban areas, allow flexible roadway criteria and standards for local streets that are less than 200 feet in length, are expected to carry very low traffic volumes, and are not capable of being extended.	R

ID #	Current Location in	Current Policy	Staff Recommendations (proposed changes in red)	Working Group
	Comp Plan			Issues
		Other Urban Road Topics		
157	Improvements	Private streets may be appropriate in areas with	In urban areas, private streets may be	0
	to Serve	topographic constraints that make construction of a	appropriate in areas with topographic	M
	Development	road to County standards not feasible. Private roads	constraints that make construction of a road to	
	28.0	are not classified as local streets, are not maintained by	County standards not feasible. Private roads are	
		the County, and don't necessarily provide connectivity	not classified as local streets and are not	
			maintained by the County. and don't necessarily	
			provide connectivity	
158	Improvements	Require that changes to the Comp Plan land use	No change	R
	to Serve	designations within the Interchange Management		
	Development	Areas identified on Map V-12 must be consistent with		Rural
	29.0	OAR 660-012-0060. If the land uses allowed by the new		# 78
		Comp Plan land use designation would cause the		
		interchange mobility standards to be exceeded, the		
		change either shall be denied or improvements shall be		
		made such that the mobility standards are met.		
159	Parking	Set minimum and maximum parking limits on allowed	In urban areas, set minimum and maximum	R
	1.0	off-street parking relative to building size, location and	parking limits on allowed off-street parking	
		use, and adjacent land uses.	relative to building size, location and use, and	Rural
			adjacent land uses.	# 79
160	Parking	On-street parking may be prohibited in front of schools	In urban areas, on-street parking may be	R
	8.0	as needed to ensure student safety and school security,	prohibited in front of schools as needed to	
		and shall be reviewed on a school by school basis.	ensure student safety and school security, and	Rural
			shall be reviewed on a school by school basis.	# 80